



PASSED FOR PUBLICATION & CONTINUED TO 5.19.98

1.8  
10.1

NEIGHBORHOODS, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

CITY OF SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA

1231 I STREET ROOM 300 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2904

PLANNING DIVISION 916-264-5381 FAX 916-264-5328

CONTINUED FROM May 26, 1998 TO June 3, 1998, 7:00 P.M.

May 4, 1998

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: ORDINANCE AMENDING THE DISTRICTS ESTABLISHED BY THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE NO. 2550, FOURTH SERIES, AS AMENDED BY REZONING 3.36 DEVELOPED ACRES OF PROPERTY FROM HOSPITAL (H) ZONE TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-1) ZONE FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3201 DEL PASO BOULEVARD IN NORTH SACRAMENTO (P97-087) APN: 252-0302-005

LOCATION AND DISTRICT: 3201 Del Paso Boulevard District 2

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the item be passed for publication of title and continued to May 19, 1998.

CONTACT PERSON: Taiwo Jaiyeoba, Associate Planner 264-8287

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 12, 1998

SUMMARY:

This item is presented at this time for approval of publication of title pursuant to City Charter, Section 32.

10.1

CONTINUED  
FROM ~~May 26, 1998~~  
TO ~~June 30, 1998, 7:00 PM~~

THIS ITEM HAS BEEN CONTINUED TO JUNE 30, 1998  
7:00 P.M. (YEE)

10.1

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERKS OFFICE  
CITY OF SACRAMENTO

APR 16 3 54 PM '98

City of SACRAMENTO

RE: P97-004 NEWTON BOOTH ANNEX OFFICE PROJECT

Thank you for the advance notice of the city council meeting regarding the Newton Booth Annex office project. We have been residents and property owners at 2120 27<sup>th</sup> Street for over eight years, and intend to remain so for the foreseeable future.

We have no objections to the above project as described. Jones and Stokes have been good neighbors and maintain their property with a sense of pride. They are quiet, and they are present. There has never been a cause for complaint. This is in contrast to some others in the area who have been difficult neighbors and the tenants of unresponsive (and possibly absentee) landlords. The proposed plan is tasteful, workable and would be a welcome addition to this, already mixed, mid-town area.

The primary objection to this project appears to be based upon a concern over the availability of housing in the central city. While we acknowledge the importance of this concern, we feel that the proposed ANNEX site is not a desirable site for housing due to its close proximity to the intersection of TWO freeways (U.S. 50 and the Capitol City Freeway). We live only 1/1/2 blocks from this junction and find the traffic noise to be difficult to bear. The houses that the ANNEX would displace are substantially closer and we believe that noise levels there would be extremely stressful.

We have observed, over the past several years, that some of these houses have been vacant for extended periods. Even when occupied, some owners/tenants of these houses have failed to maintain the grounds in a manner that displays any sense of pride in their community. These observations counter another possible objection, that a sense of community would be lost. There has not been much community to lose.

In summary, we feel that the replacement of these houses by the proposed expansion of a proven clean and quiet business would be an improvement to the neighborhood. We believe that the site in question is better suited to the proposed annex than to its current use. We urge council members to consider this project with open minds.

Charles Papeleux	<u>Charles Papeleux</u>	<u>4-13-98</u>
Gloria Perez	<u>Gloria J. Perez</u>	<u>4-13-98</u>