



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

August 4, 1981

CITY HALL
915 I STREET - 95814
(916) 449-5704

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Agreement Between the City of Sacramento and the
Sacramento Area Council of Governments (Light Rail)

SUMMARY

This agreement calls for the City to pass \$40,000 of \$162,000 the City will receive from Caltrans through to SACOG to defray the cost of study and consultant services provided in the course of the Alternatives Study of light rail transportation in the U.S. 50 and I-80 corridors. Approval is recommended.

BACKGROUND

The attached agreement provides for the final payment to SACOG for the I-80 & U.S. 50 AA/EIS activities performed by SACOG.

FINANCIAL DATA

The source of money involved in this agreement is the State of California, Department of Transportation, State Highway Account (Article XIX).

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council authorize the City Manager to execute this agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

Mac Mailes
Assistant City Manager
for Community Development

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipes, City Manager

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

AG 81021

AUG 11 1981

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

August 11, 1981

AGREEMENT
COVERING ALLOCATION OF 1980/81
ARTICLE XIX FUNDS
TO CITY OF SACRAMENTO

This amendment to the original agreement dated September 17, 1980 is entered into this 27th day of May, 1981 by the State of California, acting through the Department of Transportation, referred to herein as STATE, and the City of Sacramento, referred herein as CITY.

I. RECITALS

Paragraph #2, first sentence, is amended to read: "The Study will be a multi-agency effort with the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) as the lead agency."

Paragraph #3 is superseded as follows: "Of the \$262,000.00 in State funds covered by this Agreement, \$135,000.00 will be made available by the City of Sacramento to SACOG for payment of consulting services and other study costs. The remaining \$127,000.00 will be available to cover study costs incurred by the City of Sacramento and other public agencies as required."

Paragraph #4 is amended to read: "All reviews and approvals required by Section 199 have been obtained and the California Transportation Commission, by Resolutions FMT 81-3 and FMT 81-8, attached hereto as Exhibit B, has allocated funds for said project."

II. SCOPE OF WORK

Paragraph #4, first sentence, is amended to read: "The total obligation of

the State under this agreement shall not exceed \$262,000.00."

III. PAYMENT

Paragraph #2, last sentence, is superseded as follows: "Reimbursement of the initial \$100,000 will be made for work done after August 1, 1980, the date of California Transportation Commission allocation Resolution FMT 81-3, and prior to December 31, 1981. Reimbursement shall be made for the remaining \$162,000 for work done after April 24, 1981, the date of California Transportation Commission allocation Resolution FMT 81-8, and prior to December 31, 1982."

Paragraph #3 "September 30, 1981" is amended to read "December 31, 1982."

IV. REPORTS AND RECORDS

Paragraph #3, first line: "The Alternatives Analysis/DEIS Report and Final EIS/EIR required by this..." is amended to read "The Alternatives Analysis/DEIS Report and final EIR required by this..."

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Paragraph #2 "SRAPC" is changed to "SACOG."

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

Section 1. Use of Requested Funds

Paragraph #2, line 1: "The Alternatives Analysis and Environmental Impact

Statement will help..." is amended to read "The Alternatives Analysis and Draft Environmental Impact Statement will help..."

Paragraph #3, line 1-4: "The goal of the study is to complete an Alternatives Analysis/DEIS on the non-freeway alternatives, select a preferred alternative(s) and prepare a final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and a final Environmental Impact Report (EIR)." is amended to read "The goal of the study is to complete a Draft Alternatives Analysis/EIS and a final Environmental Impact Report, prepare a Preferred Alternative Report, and perform preliminary engineering to the extent necessary on the alternative(s) to be presented in the final EIS.

Section 2. The Work Plan

Paragraph 2.2.5, CIRCULATE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS/DEIS, is superseded as follows: "The Steering Committee will (1) solicit recommendations from the public, staff and consultants by circulating the Draft Alternatives Analysis/EIS/EIR and holding public hearings, and (2) study the impacts analyzed in the Draft EIS/EIR and subsequent staff responses to public comments pertaining to the Draft Alternatives Analysis/EIS/EIR."

Paragraph 2.2.6, PREPARE FINAL EIS/EIR AND 4(f) CLEARANCES is superseded as follows: "PREPARE RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS. A written response to the public comments on the Alternatives Analysis/DEIS will be prepared and a final EIR will be completed."

Paragraph 2.2.7, CIRCULATE FINAL EIS/EIR is superseded as follows: "Prepare Preferred Alternative Report." A report identifying the

locally preferred alternative will be prepared and submitted to UMTA for its approval.

Paragraph 2.2.8, PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING ON ALTERNATIVES is added as follows: "Preliminary engineering on the locally preferred alternative and other alternatives will be conducted as necessary for work on a final Alternatives Analysis/Environmental Impact Statement.

Section 3. Project Financing

Paragraph #1 is amended to read: "The Alternatives Analysis/DEIS and Final EIR will be funded from the following sources:

1. \$20,000 from the City of Sacramento
2. \$412,000 from the State Article XIX Transit Guideway Funds; \$150,000 from the 1979/80 allocation and \$262,000 from the 1980/81 allocation.
3. \$40,000 from planning funds allocated to SACOG from the Local Transportation Fund pursuant to the Transportation Development Act.
4. \$400,000 from Federal funds.

All other items and conditions shall remain as stated in the original agreement dated September 17, 1980.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this agreement by their duly authorized officers.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 a municipal corporation

By *[Signature]*
 Contract Officer

By *Walter J. Slize*

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:

T. Carroll
 Attorney, Legal Division

James P. Jackson, City Attorney
[Signature]
 Deputy City Attorney

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

EXEMPT FROM DEPARTMENT OF
 GENERAL SERVICES APPROVAL
 IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOV. CODE 14780

[Signature]
 LEO J. TROMBATORE
 District Director of Transportation
 District 3

EXEMPT FROM PERSONNEL BOARD
 REVIEW PER MEMO OF 8-27-73
 ITEM 6.

APPROVED
[Signature]
 Department of Finance

SOURCE		CHARGE		EXP. AUTH.	SPECIAL DESIGNATION		OBJECT	AMOUNT	DR ER	FISCAL YEAR	ENCUMBRANCE DOCUMENT NUMBER
DIST	UNIT	DEF	POST	LED INVE. ACCT CHK ORDER NO.	JOB NO. R W PARCEL NO LOCATION BRIDGE NO. ETC						
031802				9.021102			649	162,000.00	R	51	LC19121PR
<small>I hereby certify on my personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the project and purpose of the expenditure stated above.</small>							SIGNATURE OF ACCOUNTING OFFICER				DATE
782		510		1980							
							<u><i>C. Nakagawa</i></u> DATE: <u>5/21/81</u>				

APR 24 1981

FMT-81-8

STATE HIGHWAY ACCOUNT FOR LOCAL ASSISTANCE
MASS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (1980-81 FISCAL YEAR)

Resolution No. FMT-81-8

MB 22 Program
Article XIX Guideway Funding

WHEREAS, Article XIX of the California Constitution permits the use of motor vehicle tax revenues for the planning, design and construction of public mass transit guideways; and

WHEREAS, The Budget Act of 1980 appropriates funds for Local Assistance, Mass Transportation Program; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sacramento has made application for \$162,000 of Article XIX funds in the State Highway Account for the purpose of conducting preliminary engineering as part of the ongoing Sacramento Northeast Corridor Alternatives Analysis/Environmental Impact Statement; and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Transit Development Agency formed by a joint powers agreement executed on March 30, 1981, will, if appropriate, assume the responsibilities of lead agency for the Northeast Corridor development project after a preferred alternative is selected; and

WHEREAS, preparation of the Sacramento Northeast Corridor Alternatives Analysis/Environmental Impact Statement is included in the Regional Transportation Improvement Program and the funds for such work are programmed in the current State Transportation Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sacramento is a member of the Sacramento Transit Development Agency;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the California Transportation Commission allocate \$162,000 from Budget Act Item 182, 1980-81 fiscal year for preliminary engineering on alternatives currently being studied in the Sacramento Northeast Corridor Alternatives Analysis/Environmental Impact Statement, as proposed in the application submitted to the California Department of Transportation in April 1981.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That these funds be expended to refine cost estimates, to determine the relative effectiveness of alternatives discussed in the final Alternatives Analysis/Environmental Impact Statement, and to develop data regarding the impacts of these alternatives and appropriate mitigation measures; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That no funds be expended which would favor one alternative over another.

SECOND ADDENDUM
TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
AND THE
CITY OF SACRAMENTO

WHEREAS:

(1) The CITY OF SACRAMENTO (hereinafter called "City") and the SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (hereinafter called "SACOG") have previously entered into a written agreement dated October 7, 1980, to which a "First Addendum" was approved effective September 17, 1980; and,

(2) Said agreement and the addendum provide for payment of certain funds by City to SACOG in the total amount of two hundred twenty-nine thousand two hundred dollars (\$229,200); and,

(3) City and California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS) have amended their agreement, which amendment is made a part of this Second Addendum (Exhibit 1) and by this reference incorporated herein, to provide an additional one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars (\$162,000) to the project; and,

(4) City intends to make available to SACOG, an additional forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) from the one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars (\$162,000) thus obtained for payment of consulting services and other study costs; and

(5) City and SACOG have agreed that said forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) shall be paid to SACOG under and pursuant to the terms of the City-SACOG agreement dated

October 7, 1980 and the September 17, 1980 addendum and that all terms and conditions of said agreements shall be incorporated in full into this second addendum.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED BETWEEN CITY AND SACOG THAT:

(1) The City agrees to provide to SACOG forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) of the total one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars (\$162,000) to be provided by the State of California pursuant to Exhibit 1.

(2) Said sum of forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) shall be used by SACOG in accordance with the terms and conditions of the City-SACOG agreement dated October 7, 1980, and the September 17, 1980 addendum which agreements are incorporated in full herein by this reference, including all exhibits thereto.

(3) SACOG further agrees that said funds shall be used in accordance with the terms and conditions of the City-CALTRANS agreement dated May 27, 1981, which is Exhibit 1 hereto.

(4) The agreement shall be deemed to have an effective date of April 24, 1981.

(5) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section IV of the City-SACOG agreement dated October 7, 1980, said agreement and all addendums thereto shall terminate on December 31, 1981 unless terminated earlier as provided by Section V of the City-SACOG agreement.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

William G. Holliman, Jr.
WILLIAM G. HOLLIMAN, JR.
General Counsel

SACRAMENTO AREA COUNCIL OF
GOVERNMENTS

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

Recommended by

James A. Barnes
JAMES A BARNES
Executive Director

WALTER J. SLIPE
City Manager

APPROVED BY:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Larry Mark
LARRY MARK, Chairman

JAMES P. JACKSON, City Attorney

BY William P. Carozzo
WILLIAM P. CAROZZO
Deputy City Attorney

DATE: July 27, 1981

ATTEST:

LORRAINE MAGANA
City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 81-598

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL ON DATE OF

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF CONTRACT
BETWEEN CITY OF SACRAMENTO AND SACRAMENTO AREA
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

That the contract between the City of Sacramento and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments for partial payment for services in connection with the study of the North-East Corridor is hereby approved, and the City Manager is authorized to sign said contract on behalf of the City of Sacramento.

.....

MAYOR

ATTEST:

.....

CITY CLERK

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

AUG 11 1981

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET

CITY HALL ROOM 203

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

LORRAINE MAGANA
CITY CLERK

August 17, 1981

Sacramento Area Council of Governments
700 "H" Street
Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: James A. Barnes

Dear Mr. Barnes:

On August 11, 1981, the City Council adopted a resolution authorizing the execution of the second addendum to the Agreement between SACOG and the City of Sacramento dated October 7, 1980.

For your records, we are enclosing two fully executed copies of said second addendum.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Miller
Deputy City Clerk

MM/bb

Enclosures

cc: Planning Department