

REPORT TO LAW & LEGISLATION COMMITTEE City of Sacramento

915 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-2671

CONSENT May 18, 2010

Honorable Members of the Law and Legislation Committee

Subject: Legislative Position: Support SB 1036 (Cedillo) related to tax administration:

disclosure information

Location/Council District: Citywide

Recommendation: Adopt a support position on SB 1036 (Cedillo) related to tax

administration: disclosure information.

Contact: Brad Wasson, Revenue Manager, Department of Finance, (916) 808-5844

Presenters: n/a

Department: Finance

Division: Revenue

Organization No: 09610

Description/Analysis

Issue: This bill allows cities to contract with third parties to view taxpayer information received from the Franchise Tax Board (FTB). According to the bill's author's office, this bill is intended to assist FTB and cities in exchanging business taxpayer information in order to identify businesses that have not paid business license taxes and related fees. By authorizing cities to obtain the assistance of contractors in processing tax data, this bill will help cities improve tax collections, increase enforcement of city business tax laws, and thereby increase city revenue. The contractors authorized under this bill would be restricted to obtaining and filling out eight fields of data required by FTB from business tax records, cross referencing cities' business tax records with FTB income tax records, and validating a business's existence by providing additional business indicators. The bill does not propose to share taxpayer information other than for the purpose of collecting taxes. Contractors are precluded from using this information for any other purpose.

Legislative Position: Support SB 1036 (Cedillo)

Policy Considerations: This bill represents an opportunity to increase Business Operations Tax collections which are deposited into the City's general fund.

Environmental Considerations: None.

Rationale for Recommendation: In these difficult budgetary times, it is critical to recognize the benefits and efficiencies to the program for cities to obtain necessary technical expertise on this matter and establish requirements to ensure that the confidentiality of the data will be maintained.

Financial Considerations: None.

Emerging Small Business Development (ESBD): n/a

Respectfully Submitted by:

Brad Wasson, Revenue Manager

Approved by:

Leyne Milstein Director of Finance

Recommendation Approved:

GUS VINA

Interim City Manager

Table of Contents:

Pg 1 Report

Pg 3 Attachments

Attachments

Pg 3 Draft Position Letter

Pg 4 Bill Text

Pg 8 Bill Analysis

Legislative Position: Support SB 1036 (Cedillo)

Attachment 1

May 18, 2010

The Honorable Gilbert Cedillo State Capitol, Room 5100 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: <u>SB 1036 (Cedillo)</u>. Tax administration: contracted assistance – NOTICE OF SUPPORT

Dear Senator Cedillo:

The City of Sacramento is pleased to support SB 1036.

This bill establishes various requirements and conditions governing an agent retained by a city to assist with reviewing tax information supplied by the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) as part of a reciprocal tax information sharing agreement authorized by existing statute between a city and the FTB.

In these difficult budgetary times, it is critical to recognize the benefits and efficiencies to the program for cities to obtain necessary technical expertise on this matter and establish requirements to ensure that the confidentiality of the data will be maintained.

Thank you for your leadership on this important measure.

Sincerely,

LAUREN HAMMOND, Chair Law & Legislation Committee

cc: Chair and Members, Senate Revenue and Taxation Committee David Jones, Principal, Emanuels, Jones and Associates Cynthia Bryant, Director, Governor's Office of Planning and Research Michael Prosio, Legislative Affairs Secretary, Governor's Office

Attachment 2

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 20, 2010 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 6, 2010

SENATE BILL

No. 1036

Introduced by Senator Cedillo (Coauthor: Assembly Member Huffman)

February 12, 2010

An act to amend Section 19551.1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to taxation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1036, as amended, Cedillo. Tax administration: disclosure information: Franchise Tax Board and cities.

Existing law authorizes a city that has entered into a reciprocal agreement, as defined, with the Franchise Tax Board to exchange tax information, as provided, but limits the use of that information to employees of the taxing authority of a city.

This bill would expand that limitation by authorizing any agent designated by resolution of the city to examine all of the tax information, provided that the resolution certifies that the designated agent satisfies specified conditions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 19551.1 of the Revenue and Taxation
- 2 Code is amended to read:
- 3 19551.1. (a) (1) The Franchise Tax Board may permit the tax
- 4 officials of any city to enter into a reciprocal agreement with the

SB 1036

6

7 8

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28 29

Franchise Tax Board to obtain tax information from the Franchise Tax Board, as specified in subdivision (b).

- (2) For purposes of this section, "reciprocal agreement" means a formal agreement to exchange information for tax administration purposes between tax officials of a city and the Franchise Tax Board.
- (b) The information furnished to tax officials of a city under this section shall be limited as follows:
- 9 (1) The tax officials of a city are authorized to receive 10 information only with respect to taxpayers with an address as 11 reflected on the Franchise Tax Board's records within the 12 jurisdictional boundaries of the city who report income from a 13 trade or business to the Franchise Tax Board.
 - (2) The tax information that may be provided by the Franchise Tax Board to a city is limited to a taxpayer's name, address, social security or taxpayer identification number, and business activity code.
 - (3) (A) Tax information provided to the taxing authority of a city may not be furnished to, or used by, any person other than an employee of that taxing authority or agent designated by resolution of the city, to examine all of the tax information.
 - (B) The resolution shall certify that an agent designated by the resolution, meets all of the following conditions:
 - (i) Has an existing contract with the city to examine those tax records.
 - (ii) Is required by that contract to disclose information contained in, or derived from, those tax records only to an employee of the city or agent who is authorized by the resolution to examine the information.
- 30 (iii) Is prohibited by that contract from performing consulting 31 services for private industry during the term of that contract.
- (iv) Is prohibited by that contract from retaining the information
 contained in, or derived from, those tax records, after that contract
 has expired.
- (v) Has executed a confidentiality statement, as provided by the
 Franchise Tax Board. Each executed confidentiality statement
 shall be retained by the city and made available to the Franchise
 Tax Board during its disclosure review of the city or as otherwise
 requested by the Franchise Tax Board. The confidentiality

3

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

22 23

27

28

29

32 33 -- 3 --

SB 1036

statement shall be executed by each agent authorized under this paragraph.

- (4) The information provided to the tax officials of the city by the Franchise Tax Board under this section is subject to Section 19542, and may not be used for any purpose other than the city's tax enforcement, or as otherwise authorized by state or federal law
- (5) Section 19542.1 applies to this section.
- (c) The Franchise Tax Board may not provide any information pursuant to this section until all of the following have occurred:
- (1) An agreement has been executed between a city and the Franchise Tax Board, that provides that an amount equal to all first year costs necessary to furnish the city information pursuant to this section shall be received by the Franchise Tax Board before the Franchise Tax Board incurs any costs associated with the activity permitted by this section. For purposes of this section, first year costs include costs associated with, but not limited to, the purchasing of equipment, the development of processes, and labor.
- (2) An agreement has been executed between a city and the Franchise Tax Board, that provides that the annual costs incurred by the Franchise Tax Board, as a result of the activity permitted by this section, shall be reimbursed by the city to the Franchise Tax Board.
- (3) Pursuant to the agreement described in paragraph (1), the
 Franchise Tax Board has received an amount equal to the first year
 costs.
 - (d) Any information, other than the type of tax information specified in subdivision (b), may be requested by the tax officials of a city from the Franchise Tax Board by affidavit. At the time a tax official makes the request, he or she shall provide the person whose information is the subject of the request, with a copy of the affidavit and, upon request, make the information obtained available to that person.
- (e) This section does not invalidate any other law. This section does not preclude any city or county from obtaining information about individual taxpayers, including those taxpayers not subject to this section, by any other means permitted by state or federal law.

SB 1036

11 12

(f) Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect any obligations, rights, or remedies regarding personal information provided under state or federal law.

(g) Notwithstanding subdivision (c), the Franchise Tax Board shall waive a city's reimbursement of the Franchise Tax Board's cost if a city enters into a reciprocal agreement as defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a). The reciprocal agreement shall specify that each party shall bear its own costs to furnish the data involved in the exchange authorized by this section and Section 10 19551.5, and a city shall be precluded from obtaining reimbursement as specified under Section 5 of the act adding this subdivision.

(h) This section shall remain in effect through and including 13 14 December 31, 2013, and shall be repealed on January 1, 2014.

O

May 18, 2010

Legislative Position: Support SB 1036 (Cedillo)

BILL ANALYSIS

|SENATE RULES COMMITTEE | . . . SB 1036 |
|Office of Senate Floor Analyses |
|1020 N Street, Suite 524 |
|(916) 651-1520 Fax: (916) |
|327-4478

THIRD READING

Bill No: SB 1036

Author: Cedillo (D), et al

Amended: 4/20/10

Vote: 21

SENATE REVENUE & TAXATION COMMITTEE : 4-1, 4/14/10

AYES: Wolk, Alquist, Ashburn, Padilla

NOES: Walters

SUBJECT : Tax administration: disclosure information

SOURCE : Author

<u>DIGEST</u>: This bill allows cities to contract with third parties to view-taxpayer information received from the Franchise Tax Board.

ANALYSIS: Existing law generally prohibits unlawful disclosure or inspection of any income tax return information except as specified in law. Criminal sanctions, including imprisonment, apply to Franchise Tax Board (FTB) personnel convicted of unlawful disclosure or inspection of tax records. FTB must notify a taxpayer if criminal charges have been filed for willful unauthorized inspection or disclosure of their tax data. However, FTB may publish statistical data related to taxpayer information so long as nothing specific to a single taxpayer is disclosed.

Existing law allows an exception to the above provisions by $$\tt CONTINUED$$

SB 1036 Page

2

authorizing FTB to provide tax information - name, address, social security number and business activity code to a city subject to a written agreement between the city and FTB. The city may request information only on taxpayers who file a tax return within its boundaries and report trade or business income. Only city employees may use this information; they are bound by the same confidentiality requirements as FTB employees. This statute is repealed on January 1, 2014.

This bill allows a city with a reciprocal agreement with FTB to adopt a resolution to enter into a contract with a third-party vendor to process the taxpayer data received by FTB.

This bill specifies that any agent designated by the resolution to examine tax records must meet certain conditions, including already having an existing contract with the city and executing a confidentiality statement as provided by FTB.

FISCAL EFFECT : Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: No Local: No

SUPPORT : (Verified 4/28/10)

California Professional Firefighters Cities of Gilroy, Livermore, Newport Beach, Pleasanton, Roseville, San Rafael, and Visalia League of California Cities

OPPOSITION : (Verified 4/28/10)

Cal-Tax California Chamber of Commerce

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: According to the author's office, this bill is intended to assist FTB and cities exchange business taxpayer information in order to identify businesses that have not paid business license taxes and related fees. By authorizing cities to obtain the assistance of contractors in processing tax data, this bill will help cities improve tax collections, increase enforcement of city business tax laws, and thereby increase

CONTINUED

SB 1036 Page

3

city revenue.

The contractors authorized under this bill would be restricted to obtaining and filling out eight fields of data required by FTB from business tax records, cross referencing cities' business tax records with FTB income tax records, and validating a business's existence by providing additional business indicators.

The author's office in a rebuttal to the concerns raised at the policy hearing by the opposition would like to clarify that this bill does not propose to share taxpayer information for the purpose of collecting taxes but only for the purposes of identifying to the FTB or partnering city entities that have not paid their taxes and/or fees do. It does not affect the tax collection process once they are identified.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: Opponents of this bill have expressed the concern that taxpayer confidentiality could be compromised if private individuals and consulting firms are permitted to access taxpayer information provided by the FTB. Cal-Tax states that "the mishandling of taxpayer records has been well-documented in the case of private debt collection firms assigned to handle state and local government tax collections, and we object to extending access to these records to other private firms hired by local governments to enhance local revenue."

DLW:mw 4/28/10 Senate Floor Analyses

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION: SEE ABOVE

**** END ****