

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-226

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF MAR 30 2004

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND ADOPTING THE MITIGATION MONITORING PLAN FOR THE SYCAMORE TERRACE APARTMENTS LOCATED ON THE NORTH AND EAST PARCELS ADJACENT TO THE INTERSECTION OF CORPORATE WAY AND PARK CITY COURT, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.

(P03-101)

(APNs: 031-0054-015 & 016)

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has prepared a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the above identified project;

WHEREAS, the Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for the above-identified project pursuant to the requirements of CEQA;

WHEREAS, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration and comments received during the public review process were considered prior to action being taken on the project;

WHEREAS, based upon the Mitigated Negative Declaration and the comments received during the public review process, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment, provided that mitigation measures are added to the above-identified project.

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has prepared a Mitigation Monitoring Plan for ensuring compliance and implementation of the mitigation measures as prescribed in the Initial Study for the above identified project; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 21081.6 of the California Public Resources Code, the City of Sacramento requires that a Mitigation Monitoring Plan be developed for implementing mitigation measures as identified in the Initial Study for the project;


FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO THAT:

1. The Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Sycamore Terrace Apartments (P03-101) be approved.
2. The Mitigation Monitoring Plan is adopted for the proposed Sycamore Terrace Apartments project based upon the following findings:
 - a. One or more mitigation measures have been added to the above-identified project;
 - b. A Mitigation Monitoring Plan has been prepared to ensure compliance and implementation of the mitigation measures for the above-identified project, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1.


MAYOR

ATTEST:


CITY CLERK

P03-101

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Exhibit 1 – Mitigation Monitoring Plan

SYCAMORE TERRACE APARTMENTS PROJECT (P03-101) MITIGATION MONITORING PLAN

This Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP) has been required by and prepared for the City of Sacramento Planning and Building Department, Environmental Planning Services, 1231 I Street, Room 300, Sacramento, CA 95814, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 21081.6.

SECTION 1: PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

Project Name / File Number: Sycamore Terrace Apartments Project (P03-101)
Owner/Developer- Name: The Spanos Company
Address: 1341 W. Robinhood Dr.
Stockton, CA 95207

Project Location / Legal Description of Property (if recorded):

The project site is located south of Interstate-5/Florin Road exit, with Park City Court ending along the southern boundary. The project site is situated on Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 031-0054-015 and-016. The project site is located in the Pocket Community Plan area.

Project Description:

The proposed project consists of the entitlements to construct a 244-unit apartment complex consisting of 11 apartment buildings plus a clubhouse within the Greenhaven Executive Park PUD. The project includes the following entitlements:

- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT of 11.6± acres from Low Density Residential (4-15 du/na) to Medium Density Residential (16-29 du/na);
- COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT of 5.0± acres of Residential 3-6 du/na and 6.6± acres of Residential 7-15 du/na to 11.6± acres of Residential 16-29 du/na;
- Greenhaven Executive Park PUD SCHEMATIC PLAN AMENDMENT to redesignate 11.6± acres of single-family development to Multi-family development;
- Greenhaven Executive Park PUD GUIDELINES AMENDMENT to include Sycamore Terrace Apartment development on 11.6± acres within the Greenhaven Executive Park PUD;
- REZONE of 11.6± acres from the Single-family Alternative Planned Unit Development (R-1A PUD) to the Multi-family Planned Unit Development (R-2B PUD) zone;

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 3 0 2004

- SPECIAL PERMIT to develop an 11 apartment building plus clubhouse, 244-unit apartment complex within the Greenhaven Executive Park Planned Unit Development
- SPECIAL PERMIT to allow the gating of the apartment complex
- LOT MERGER to merge two (2) parcels (parcel 2-8.03± acres and Parcel 3-3.55± acres) into one (1) 11.6± acre parcel in the Multi-Family Planned Unit Development (R-2B PUD) zone

SECTION 2: GENERAL INFORMATION

The Plan includes mitigation for Water, Air Quality, Biological, Noise, and Cultural Resources. The intent of the Plan is to prescribe and enforce a means for properly and successfully implementing the mitigation measures as identified within the Initial Study for this project. Unless otherwise noted, the cost of implementing the mitigation measures as prescribed by this Plan shall be funded by the owner/developer identified above. This Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP) is designed to aid the City of Sacramento in its implementation and monitoring of mitigation measures adopted for the proposed project.

Mitigation Monitoring Plan

Introduction

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires review of any project that could have significant adverse effects on the environment. In 1988, CEQA was amended to require reporting on and monitoring of mitigation measures adopted as part of the environmental review process. This Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP) is designed to aid the City of Sacramento in its implementation and monitoring of measures adopted from the Sycamore Terrace Apartments Mitigated Negative Declaration and Draft Initial Study (MND/DIS).

Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures are taken from the Sycamore Terrace Apartments Project MND/DIS, and are assigned the same number they had in the MND/DIS. The MMP describes the actions that must take place to implement each mitigation measure, the timing of those actions, and the entities responsible for implementing and monitoring the actions.

MMP Components

The components of each monitoring form are addressed briefly, below.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

Mitigation Measure: All mitigation measures that were identified in the Sycamore Apartments Project MND/DIS are presented, and numbered accordingly. The mitigation measures from the MND/DIS are presented by topic (e.g., Noise).

Monitoring Program: For every mitigation measure, one or more actions are described. These are the center of the MMP, as they delineate the means by which MND/DIS measures will be implemented, and, in some instances, the criteria for determining whether a measure has been successfully implemented. Where mitigation measures are particularly detailed, the action may refer back to the measure.

Timing: Each action must take place prior to the time at which a threshold could be exceeded. Implementation of the action must occur prior to or during some part of approval, project design or construction or on an ongoing basis. The timing for each measure is identified.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure: This item identifies the entity that will undertake the required action.

Entity Responsible for Ensuring Compliance: The City of Sacramento is responsible for ensuring that most mitigation measures are successfully implemented. Within the City, a number of departments and divisions will have responsibility for monitoring some aspect of the overall project. Occasionally, monitoring parties outside the City are identified. These parties are referred to as "Responsible Agencies" by CEQA.

Verification of Compliance: This section provides confirmation that a measure has been implemented, with space for the signature, title, and department of the individual who is verifying compliance. A space is also provided for notes.

Where more than one action is required in the monitoring program, each item is numbered, and the timing and responsible parties are numbered accordingly.

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-1

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Developer shall maintain the equipment according to the manufacturer's specifications.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 3 0 2004

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-1 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-2

Mitigation Measure:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

The Project Developer shall enclose, cover or water twice daily all soil piles during construction of the project.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-3

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Developer shall water exposed soil with adequate frequency to keep soil moist at all times during construction of the project.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-3 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-4

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Developer shall water all haul roads twice daily during construction of the project.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-4 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-5

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Developer shall cover the load of all haul/dump trucks securely during construction of the project.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-5 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – AIR QUALITY

Mitigation Measure AQ-6

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Developer shall maintain all construction equipment (both mobile and stationary) according to the manufacturer's specifications.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on all construction plans and documents.

Timing:

1. Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed, the mitigation measures shall be verified on grading plans.
2. Measures shall be implemented in field during construction.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. SMAQMD

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure AQ-6 has been performed and the measure was

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 7 – BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure BR-1

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Applicant/Developer shall retain a qualified wildlife biologist to conduct a burrowing owl survey prior to construction, according to the latest DFG's Burrowing Owl Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines to verify that no burrowing owls are present on site. If no burrowing owls are found within 500 feet of the construction areas during the pre-construction survey, no further action would be necessary. If burrowing owls are detected within 500 feet of the proposed construction areas, the following mitigation measures outlined in the 1995 California Department of Fish and Game's Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation shall be implemented to ensure that impacts to burrowing owls are less-than-significant:

BR-1(a): If avoidance is the preferred method of dealing with potential project impacts, then no disturbance should occur within 50 meters (approximately 160 feet) of occupied burrows during the nonbreeding season of September 1 through January 31 or within 75 meters (approximately 250 feet) during the breeding season of February 1 through August 31. Avoidance also requires that a minimum of 6.5 acres of foraging habitat be permanently preserved contiguous with occupied burrow sites for each pair of breeding burrowing owls (with or without dependent young) or single unpaired resident bird. The configuration of the protected habitat should be approved by the Department of Fish and Game (DFG).

BR-1(b): Occupied burrows should not be disturbed during the nesting season (February 1 through August 31) unless a qualified biologist approved by the Department verifies through non-invasive methods that either: (1) the birds have not begun egg-laying and incubation; or (2) that juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

BR-1(c): To offset the loss of foraging and burrow habitat on the project site, a minimum of 6.5 acres of foraging habitat (calculated on a 100 meters or approximately 300 feet foraging radius around the burrow) per pair or unpaired resident bird, should be acquired and permanently protected. The protected lands should be adjacent to occupied burrowing owl habitat and at a location acceptable to the DFG. Protection of additional habitat acreage per pair or unpaired resident bird may be applicable in some instances.

BR-1(d): When destruction of occupied burrows is unavoidable, existing unsuitable burrows should be enhanced (enlarged or cleared of debris) or new burrows created (by installing artificial burrows) at a ratio of 2:1 on the protected lands site.

BR-1(e): If owls must be moved away from the disturbance area, passive relocation techniques (as described below) should be used rather than trapping. At least one or more weeks will be necessary to accomplish this and allow the owls to acclimate to alternate burrows.

Passive Relocation – With One-Way Doors

Owls should be excluded from burrows in the immediate impact zone and within a 50 meter (approximately 160 feet) buffer zone by installing one-way doors in burrow entrances. One-way doors (e.g., modified dryer vents) should be left in place 48 hours to ensure owls have left the burrow before excavation. Two natural or artificial burrows should be provided for each burrow in the project area that will be rendered biologically unsuitable. The project area should be monitored daily for one week to confirm owl use of burrows before excavating burrows in the immediate impact zone. Whenever possible, burrows should be excavated using hand tools and refilled to prevent reoccupation. Sections of flexible plastic pipe should be inserted into the tunnels during excavation to maintain an escape route for any animals inside the burrow.

Passive Relocation –Without One-Way Doors

Two natural or artificial burrows should be provided for each burrow in the project area that will be rendered biologically unsuitable. The project area should be monitored daily until the owls have relocated to the new burrows. The formerly occupied burrows may then be excavated. Whenever possible, burrows should be excavated using hand tools and refilled to prevent reoccupation. Sections of flexible plastic pipe should be inserted into burrows during excavation to maintain an escape route for any animals inside the burrow.

Monitoring Program:

Submittal of construction plans with mitigation measures identified. Implementation of mitigation measures prior, during, and after construction.

Timing:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

Mitigation measures shall be completed prior to the issuance of a Notice to Proceed.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Applicant/ Developer

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. Dept. of Fish & Game

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure BR-1 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 5 – BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure BR-2

Mitigation Measure:

The Project Applicant/Developer shall meet and coordinate with the USFWS concerning the elderberry stump and stems. The Project Applicant/Developer shall prepare a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) under the Section 10 process of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and shall implement mitigation measures BR-6 through BR-9 or other equivalent mitigation measures as developed by USFWS through the Section 10 consultation process.

BR-2(a): Elderberry shrubs must be transplanted to a location approved by the USFWS if they cannot be avoided by the proposed project. A qualified biologist (monitor) must be on-site for the duration of the transplanting of the elderberry shrubs to ensure that no

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 30 2004

unauthorized take of the valley elderberry longhorn beetle occurs. If an unauthorized take occurs, the monitor shall have the authority to stop the work until corrective measures have been completed. The monitor must immediately report any unauthorized take of the beetle or its habitat to the USFWS and to the CDFG.

BR-2(b): Elderberry shrubs must be transplanted when the plants are dormant, approximately between November through the first two weeks of February, after they have lost their leaves. Transplanting during the non-growing season will reduce shock to the plant and increase transplantation success.

BR-2(c): The plant shall be cut back 3 to 6 feet from the ground or to 50 percent of its height (whichever is taller) by removing branches and stems above this height for transplanting. The trunk and all stems measuring 1.0 inch or greater in diameter at ground level shall be replanted. Any leaves remaining on the plant shall be removed.

The planting area must be at least 1,800 square feet for each elderberry transplant. The root ball shall be planted so that its top is level with the existing ground. The soil shall be compacted sufficiently so that settlement does not occur. As many as five additional elderberry plantings (cuttings or seedlings) and up to five associated native species plantings may also be planted within the 1,800 square foot area with the transplant. The transplant and each new planting shall have its own watering basins measuring at least three feet in diameter. Watering basins shall also have a continuous berm measuring approximately eight inches wide at the base and six inches high.

The soil shall be saturated with water. Fertilizers or other supplements shall not be used.

The elderberry shrubs shall be monitored to ascertain if additional watering is necessary. If the soil is sandy and well-drained, the shrubs may need to be watered weekly or twice monthly. If the soil is clayey and poorly-drained, it may not be necessary to water the initial saturation. However, most transplants require watering through the first summer. A drip watering system and timer is ideal. However, in situations where this is not possible, a water truck or other apparatus may be used.

BR-2(d): Each elderberry stem measuring 1.0 inch or greater in diameter at ground level that is adversely affected (i.e., transplanted or destroyed) must be replaced, in the conservation area, with elderberry seedlings or cuttings at a ratio indicated in the following table:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 3 0 2004

Table 1: Minimization ratios based on location (riparian vs. non-riparian), stem diameter of affected elderberry plants at ground level, and presence or absence of exit holes.

Location	Stems (maximum diameter at ground level)	Exit Holes on Shrub Y/N (quantify) ¹	Elderberry Seedling Ratio ²	Associated Native Plant Ratio ³
non-riparian	stems >=1" & =<3"	No:	1:1	1:1
		Yes:	2:1	2:1
non-riparian	stems > 3" & 5"	No:	2:1	1:1
		Yes:	4:1	2:1
non riparian	stems >=5"	No:	3:1	1:1
		Yes:	6:1	2:1
riparian	stems >=1" & =<3"	No:	2:1	1:1
		Yes:	4:1	2:1
riparian	stems >3" & <3"	No:	3:1	1:1
		Yes:	6:1	2:1
riparian	stems >=5"	No:	4:1	1:1
		Yes:	8:1	2:1

¹All stems measuring one inch or greater in diameter at ground level on a single shrub are considered occupied when exit holes are present anywhere on the shrub.

²Ratios in the Elderberry Seedling Ratio column correspond to the number of cuttings or seedlings to be planted per elderberry stem (one inch or greater in diameter at ground level) affected by a project.

³Ratios in the Associated Native Plant Ratio column correspond to the number of associated native species to be planted per elderberry (seedling or cutting) planted.

Minimization ratios based on location (riparian vs. non-riparian), stem diameter of affected elderberry plants at ground level, and presence or absence of exit holes. Table 1 on page 16 of this Mitigation Monitoring Plan indicates the minimization ratios based on location (riparian vs. non-riparian), stem diameter of affected elderberry plants at ground level, and presence of absence of exit holes.

Monitoring Program:

Submittal of construction plans with mitigation measures identified. Implementation of mitigation measures prior, during, and after construction.

Timing:

Mitigation measures shall be completed prior to the issuance of a Notice to Proceed.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:

2004-226

DATE ADOPTED:

MAR 3 0 2004

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Project developer/contractor.

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Building Department
2. Dept. of Fish & Game

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure BR-2 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 7 – BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure BR-3

Mitigation Measure:

The Applicant shall hire an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) certified arborist to assess each tree's condition and the project impacts on the each tree. Any Eucalyptus tree considered to have poor structure could be removed at the developer's discretion. Any Eucalyptus tree considered to be healthy shall be saved and preserved. If a healthy tree cannot be preserved or saved, it shall be replaced at a 1:1 ratio. The arborist report shall make recommendations on which trees could be preserved and which ones could be removed and replaced.

Monitoring Program:

Submittal of certified arborist report. The arborist report must be adequate to City's satisfaction.

Timing:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Prior to Notice of Proceed.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Applicant/Developer

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

1. City Planning & Bldg. Dept
2. Parks & Recreation Dept., Tree Services

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure BR-3 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 10 – NOISE

Mitigation Measure N-1

Mitigation Measure:

The picnic area shall be moved to a location shielded from I-5 by one of the apartment buildings.

Monitoring Program:

Construction plans shall be revised to show new location of picnic area.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Timing:

Prior to issuance of any Notice to Proceed.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Applicant

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

City Planning & Building Department

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure N-1 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 10 – NOISE

Mitigation Measure N-2

Mitigation Measure:

Table 2 includes which windows and sliding glass doors facing Interstate-5 shall be installed with the required STC rating.

Monitoring Program:

Construction plans shall include notes on the STC rating of the applicable sliding glass doors and windows.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Timing:

Prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Applicant

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

City Planning & Building Department

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure N-2 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Table 2: Windows and sliding glass doors facing Interstate-5 shall be installed with the required STC rating:

Unit/Room Type	STC Rating for Windows*	STC Rating for Sliding Glass Door*
A1 Bedroom, 1 st Floor	28	-
A1 Living Room, 1 st Floor	-	31
B Bedroom, 1 st Floor	28	-
B Living Room, 1 st Floor	-	31
C Bedroom 2, 1 st Floor	28	-
C Living Room, 1 st Floor	31	31
A1 Bedroom, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	35	-
A1, Living Room, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	-	35
B Bedroom, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	31	-
B Living Room, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	-	35
C Bedroom 2, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	31	-
C Living Room, 2 nd and 3 rd Floors	35	38
* Minimum STC ratings necessary to meet 45 dB Ldn Interior Noise Level Standard		

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 11 – CULTURAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure CR-1

Mitigation Measure:

If subsurface archaeological or historical remains are discovered during construction, work in the area shall stop immediately and a qualified archaeologist and a representative of the Native American Heritage Commission shall be consulted to develop, if necessary, further mitigation measures to reduce any archaeological impact to a less-than-significant level before construction continues.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on the Map and within the Standard Construction Specifications

Timing:

Measures shall be implemented in field during grading and construction activities.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

Developer/Contractor

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

City Planning & Building Department

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure CR-1 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

INITIAL STUDY SECTION 11 – CULTURAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure CR-2

Mitigation Measure:

If human burials are encountered, all work in the area shall stop immediately and the Sacramento County Coroner's office shall be notified immediately. If the remains are determined to be Native American in origin, both the Native American Heritage Commission and any identified descendants must be notified and recommendations for treatment solicited (CEQA Section 15064.5); Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5; Public Resources Code Section 5097.94 and 5097.98.

Monitoring Program:

Note shall be included on the Map and within the Standard Construction Specifications

Timing:

Measures shall be implemented in field during grading and construction activities.

Parties Responsible for Implementing Measure:

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004

Developer/Contractor

Entities Responsible for Ensuring Compliance:

City Planning & Building Department

Verification of Compliance:

The required monitoring of Mitigation Measure CR-2 has been performed and the measure was found to be successfully implemented:

Notes:

Title: _____

Agency/Department: _____

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-226

DATE ADOPTED: MAR 30 2004