



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

March 3rd, 1981

REAL ESTATE AND STREET ASSESSMENTS DIVISION
915 I STREET
CITY HALL ROOM 207

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
TELEPHONE (916) 449-5626

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
FEB 25 1981

IRVIN E. MORAES
REAL ESTATE SUPERVISOR

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members In Session:

SUBJECT: Heckes Trainor Tract No. 3 and Wright & Kimbrough
Tract No. 36 Street Lighting Assessment District,
Improvement Proceeding No. 5171

SUMMARY

Adoption of the necessary Resolutions to initiate the Assessment District.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This Improvement Proceeding is a continuation of the Council's policy initiated in 1974 to subsidize street improvements in low income neighborhoods.

Plans and specifications for Heckes Trainor Tract No. 3 and Wright & Kimbrough Tract No. 36 Street Lighting Assessment District have been completed and the work is estimated to cost \$118,250.00. On February 17th, 1981, the Budget and Finance Committee approved a maximum assessment of \$230.00 per lot in this Assessment District (See attached).

The \$230.00 per lot assessment (approximately \$4.00 per front foot) is a deviation from the \$3.00 per front foot assessment rate set for street lighting assessment districts by the Council in July of 1978.

Staff is of the opinion that most residential street light assessment districts shall be assessed on a unit basis and recommendations for a unit cost for individual assessment districts will be made as these districts are processed in the future.

FINANCIAL DATA

In the 1980-81 budget, the City Council budgeted \$53,000.00 of C. D. B. G. Funds for the construction of the project. The total cost of the project is estimated to be as follows:

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR -3 1981

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

HRG 3-24-81

Estimated Construction Cost	\$ 95,000.00
Incidental Expenses	14,250.00
Bond Discount 85%	9,000.00
	<hr/>
Estimated Total Project Cost	\$118,250.00
Property Owners Share	56,250.00
	<hr/>
Estimated City Contribution	\$ 62,000.00

Rather than appropriate any additional funds at this time, it is recommended that bids be received first so that we can determine if additional funds are necessary or not.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the following Resolutions be adopted in the order listed below:

1. Resolution Approving District Map
2. Resolution Approving Plans, Specifications and Cost Estimate
3. Resolution Declaring that Public Convenience and Necessity Require said Improvements, which sets a Hearing for March 24th, 1981.
4. Resolution of Intention, which sets a Hearing for March 24th, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,



R. H. Parker
City Engineer

For Transmittal to City Council



Walter J. Slife
City Manager

RHP:IEM:bd
Attachments
File # 5171

March 3rd, 1981
DISTRICT NO. 5