

MARTY VAN DUYN

PLANNING DIRECTOR

CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

SUITE 300

927 TENTH STREET , SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604

February 10, 1982

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702) Annexations

By the City Council Office of the City Clerk

withdrawn

FEB 1 6 1982

SUMMARY:

The County Local Agency Foundation Commission (LAFCO) staff has indicated that these proceedings have been terminated due to statutory limitations on the completion of proceedings. The staff, therefore, recommends that these items be deleted from the Council's agenda. The Raney proposal is being refiled with LAFCO, and will be rescheduled for future Council action.

BACKGROUND

Action on these proposals were deferred pending the recent adoption of a City-County agreement for the exchange of property tax revenues from these areas. Due to the lengthy negotiations, the LAFCO staff has indicated that these proceedings have exceeded the statutory time limits for the completion of proceedings. The proponent of the Raney proposal is currently refiling with LAFCO, so this proposal will be rescheduled for future action. Proponents of the other proposal will be advised of the status of their proposal.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends the deletion of these items from the Council's agenda.

Respectfully submitted,

Marty Van Duyn Planning Director

FOR CITY COUNCIL INFORMATION WALTER J. SLIPE CITY MANAGER

MVD:TM:cp P-8578, P-8702

February 16, 1982 Districts 1 and 8



CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

725 "J" STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604 MARTY VAN DUYN PLANNING DIRECTOR

July 1, 1981

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUFJECT: North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702) Annexations

SUMMARY

Final City action on these uninhabited annexations have been deferred pending the adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues for these areas. The City and County staffs have been discussing this matter and progress has been made. The staff recommends continuing this matter until September 8, 1981.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pursuant to City Council policy, these two uninhabited annexations have been processed to the final stage (adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues, and resolutions ordering annexation). As the State did not enact the anticipated legislation providing for the distribution of property tax revenues when territory is annexed, the City and County staffs have made progress toward resolving this matter. The League of California Cities is seeking legislation to establish a satisfactory distribution formula.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends continuing this matter until September 8, 1981.

APPROVED

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

FOR CITY COUNCIL INFORMATION WALTER J. SLIPE

CITY MANAGER

MVD:TM:jm P-8578 P-8702

Respectfully submitted,

Văn Duyn

Planning Director

July 7, 1981 Districts 1 and 8



CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

725 "J" STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604 MARTY VAN DUYN
PLANNING DIRECTOR

May 7, 1981

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT:

North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702)

Annexations

SUMMARY

Final City action on these uninhabited annexations have been deferred pending the adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues for these areas. The City and Courty staffs have been discussing this matter, and progress has been node. The staff recommends continuing this matter until July 7, 1981.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pursuant to City Council policy, these two uninhabited annexations have been processed to the final stage (adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues, and resolutions ordering annexation). As the State did not enact the anticipated legislation providing for the distribution of property tax revenues when territory is annexed, the City and County staffs have made progress toward resolving this matter. The League of California Cities is seeking legislation to establish a satisfactory distribution formula.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends continuing this matter until July 7, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,

Marty Van Duyh Planning Director

FOR CITY COUNCIL INFORMATION
WALTER J. SLIPE
CITY MANAGER

MVD:TM:bw P-8578

P-8702 MAY 1 5 19

MAY 15 1981 17

OFFICE OF THE GITY CLERK May 14, 1981 Districts 1 and 8

HEARINGS

North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702) Annexations (D1&8) (Cont fm 1-13-81, #19)

Cont 40 5-12-81 MAR 10 1981



CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

725 "J" STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604 MARTY VAN DUYN
PLANNING DIRECTOR

January 7, 1981

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702)

Annexations

SUMMARY

Final City action on these uninhabited annexations have been deferred pending the adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues for these areas. The City and County staffs have been discussing this matter, and some progress has been made. The staff recommends continuing this matter until March 10, 1981.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pursuant to City Council policy, these two uninhabited annexations have been processed to the final stage (adoption of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues, and resolutions ordering annexation). As the State did not enact the anticipated legislation providing for the distribution of property tax revenues when territory is annexed, the City and County staffs have been meeting to discuss this matter, and some progress has been made toward resolving this matter. The League of California Cities will be seeking legislation in the next session to establish a satisfactory distribution formula.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends continuing this matter until March 10, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,

Marty Van Duyn Planning Director

FOR CITY COUNCIL INFORMATION
WALTER J. SLIPE
CITY MANAGER

MVD: TM: jm Attachments P-8578 P-8702 APPROVED A. TO

JAN 13 1981 C

January 13, 1981 3,10,81 Districts 1 and 8

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERKE



CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

725 "J" STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIF, 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604 MARTY VAN DUYN PLANNING DIRECTOR

October 2, 1980

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

North Bruceville Road (P-8578) and Raney (P-8702) SUBJECT:

Annexations

SUMMARY

The City and the County are processing agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues for these annexation areas. The staff recommends continuing this matter until November 12, 1980.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

LAFCo has approved these uninhabitated annexations, and the City has adopted prezoning designations for these areas. Final City action on ordering these annexations has been deferred pending the adoption by the City and County of agreements for the exchange of property tax revenues pursuant to Section 99 of the State Revenue and Taxation Code. The City and County staffs are reviewing draft agreements similar to the agreement recently adopted for the Zubiri/ Natomas Oaks annexation area. It is anticipated that these agreements will be submitted shortly to the Council and Board of Supervisors for this consideration.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends continuing this matter until November 12, 1980.

Respectfully submitted,

Leso Majuda don Martv Van Duyn

Planning Director

FOR CITY COUNCIL INFORMATION WALTER J. SLIPE CITY MANAGER

MVD:TM:jm

P-8578 P = 8702 11-18-80

October 7, 1980 Districts 1 and 8 INTERIM AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AND THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO RELATING TO THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR THE RANEY REORGANIZATION

THIS Interim Agreement is entered into on <u>September 30</u>, 1980, between the County of Sacramento and the City of Sacramento.

WHEREAS, Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code requires that prior to the effective date of a jurisdictional change, the Board of Supervisors and the City Council must agree by resolution upon the exchange of property tax revenues with respect to all properties subject to a jurisdictional change after July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, completion of the proposed RANEY REORGANIZATION, annexing territory to the City of Sacramento and detaching territory from the Natomas Fire District, pursuant to the District Reorganization Act of 1965, has been delayed only because of the requirements of Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code; and

WHEREAS, it is the policy and intent of the City of Sacramento ("the City") and the County of Sacramento ("the County"), in cooperation with the Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission ("LAFCO"), to adopt agreements which will govern the exchange of property tax revenues for these proposed changes of organization and all jurisdictional changes; and,

WHEREAS, the complexity of the issue and possible changes in the law have made the adoption of an Interim Agreement necessary; and

WHEREAS, both the City and the County do not wish to further delay the RANEY REORGANIZATION until a Final Agreement can be reached; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of both the City and the County to have the exchange of property tax revenues from the Raney Reorganization governed by the provisions of this agreement until a specific allocation of the property tax can be agreed to in a Final Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree that pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code property taxes received from the property within the boundaries of the Raney Reorganization (the "Property Tax Revenues") shall be exchanged and distributed in the following manner:

Section 1

The Raney Reorganization shall proceed and the annexation to the City of Sacramento of said territory shall be considered final and become effective for purposes of Section 99 (b) of the Revenue and Taxation Code. During each fiscal year following the end of the calendar year in which the Raney Reorganization is recorded, and until either a Final Agreement is adopted by both the City and County or until state law is amended to provide an alternative method of distribution, the property tax revenues received from the Raney Reorganization area, to wit: County General Fund (County Basic exclusive of debt service), County Roads, County Library, Natomas Fire District, shall be deposited by the County Auditor in a separate trust fund.

Section 2

Upon reaching a Final Agreement, the property tax revenues in the trust fund plus interest earned on said revenue during the period of

impoundment shall be distributed according to the provisions of such Final Agreement. The County Auditor shall only distribute such property tax revenues after he receives a copy of the Final Agreement and a resolution from both the City and County approving the Final Agreement.

Section 3

If prior to the executive date of any such Final Agreement the statutes governing the exchange of property tax revenues are revised, then the property tax revenues deposited in the trust fund shall be allocated pursuant to the provision of such statute.

ATTEST: Blee Starken Clerk of Board	Chairman, Beard of Supervisors COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	Mayor CITY OF SACRAMENTO

JSF:Maf 8/14/80

Oct 22 26 PM '80.

80-1230 RESOLUTION NO.

The foregoing is a correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors, Sacramento County, Cali-

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS fornia OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RELATIVE TO THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR THE RANEY REORGANITZATION

on September 30,1980 Dated October 2, 1980 Clerk of said Board of

Supervisors

nchastes

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to execute an INTERIM AGREEMENT in the form attached hereto, on behalf of the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a political subdivision · of the State of California, with the CITY OF SACRAMENTO for the exchange of property tax revenues for the Raney Reorganization.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors transmit a suitably prepared copy of this Resolution to the City of Sacramento and the Local Agency Formation Commission.

On a motion by Supervisor Wade , seconded by Supervisor Sheedy the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of the County of Sacramento, State of California, this 30th day of September , 1980, with the following vote, to wit:

AYES:

Supervisors.

Smoley, Wade, Sheedy

NOES:

Supervisors.

None

ABSENT: Supervisors,

Collin, Johnson

the Board of Supervisors person of County of Sacramento, California

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

In accordance with Section 25103 at the Covernment Code of the State of Collinging, a copy of this document has been delivered to the Ohi Iman of the Coord of Supervisors, County of Sourcesta, on

Supervisors SFP 30 the Board

(1A-B7)

FILED

SEP 35 1980

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

FFICE September 30, 1980

City of severalente

TO:

ONY OLERKS OFFICE
Board of Supervisors OF SACRAMENTO

Board of Supervisors, OF SACRAMETTO A P FOR DVED

County Executive's OF SACRAMETTO A P FOR DVED

BY RESOLUTION #80-1223 THRU 80-1230

FROM:

SUBJECT:

County Executive 3001 acc

EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES PURSUANT TO AB 8

SEP3 (1980

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the attached resolutions regarding the exchange of property tax revenues for the named reorganizations as requested by LAFCO and the cities involved.

DISCUSSION

Assembly Bill 8 amended the Revenue and Taxation Code to provide for a negotiated exchange of property tax revenues between agencies affected by a jurisdictional boundary change. Annexations cannot be completed until each affected jurisdiction adopts a resolution agreeing upon the disposition of the property tax revenues. The progress of the following reorganizations have been delayed until all of the required resolutions are adopted:

CITY OF GALT

A.M. Enterprises Resolution Heimgartner Resolution Michael Lopez Resolution Russell Resolution Rivergate Resolution Rowe Resolution CITY OF SACRAMENTO

North Bruceville Resolution Raney Resolution

Staff representatives from the County, cities of Galt and Sacramento, and LAFCO have been meeting to review the matter and develop joint recommendations for the negotiated exchanges. However, during the review, it was discovered that due to the current limited mechanics of the tax distribution process it is impossible to distribute the taxes on the basis of an equitable proportionate rate exchange. A computer program is now being developed to provide this capability. In the meantime, in order to allow the annexations to proceed, the cities and county staff are jointly recommending this interim agreement providing for the exchange of property tax revenues to be negotiated at a future date. In the interim, the tax revenues will be impounded by the County Auditor-Controller and distributed after the final agreement is approved.

Respectfully submitted,

GARY CASSADY, Administrator

Administration and Finance Agency

BB:n1 (4A-A14)

Attachments

cc: LAFCO; City of Sacramento; City of Galt; Auditor-Controller

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

BRIAN H. RICHTER
County Executive

RECEIVED CHY CLERKS OFFICE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

8 25 AM '80 OCT 6

RESOLUTION NO. 80-1229

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RELATIVE TO THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR THE NORTH BRUCEVILLE REORGANITZATION

The foregoing is a correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors, Sacramento County, Califomia Dated October 2,1980 Clerk of said Board of Supervisors

Deputy

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to execute an INTERIM AGREEMENT in the form attached hereto, on behalf of the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a political subdivision of the State of California, with the CITY OF SACRAMENTO for the exchange of property tax revenues for the NORTH BRUCEVILLE Reorganization.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors transmit a suitably prepared copy of this Resolution to the City of Sacramento and the Local Agency Formation Commission.

On a motion by Supervisor Wade , seconded by Supervisor Sheedy the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of the County of Sacramento, State of California, this 30th day of September, 1980, with the following vote, to wit:

AYES:

Supervisors,

Smoley, Wade, Sheedy

NOES:

Supervisors,

None

ABSENT: Supervisors,

Collin, Johnson

person of the Board of Subervisors County of Sacramento, California

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

In accordance with Section 25103 at the Overnment Code of the State of Culturnia, a cusy will be document has been delivated to the University of the Board of Supervisors, County of Secrements, ch

of Supervisor the Board

(1A-B7)

FILED

SEP 3: 1980

: OURCHVIAC

INTERIM AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AND THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO RELATING TO THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR THE NORTH BRUCEVILLE REORGANIZATION

THIS Interim Agreement is entered into on <u>September 30</u>, 1980, between the County of Sacramento and the City of Sacramento.

WHEREAS, Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code requires that prior to the effective date of a jurisdictional change, the Board of Supervisors and the City Council must agree by resolution upon the exchange of property tax revenues with respect to all properties subject to a jurisdictional change after July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, completion of the proposed North Bruceville Reorganization, annexing territory to the City of Sacramento and detaching territory from the Florin Fire District and the Southgate Recreation and Park District, pursuant to the District Reorganization Act of 1965, has been delayed only because of the requirements of Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code; and

WHEREAS, it is the policy and intent of the City of Sacramento ("the City") and the County of Sacramento ("the County"), in cooperation with the Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission ("LAFCO"), to adopt agreements which will govern the exchange of property tax revenues for these proposed changes of organization and all jurisdictional changes; and,

WHEREAS, the complexity of the issue and possible changes in the law have made the adoption of an Interim Agreement necessary; and

WHEREAS, both the City and the County do not wish to further delay the North Bruceville Reorganization until a Final Agreement can be reached; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of both the City and the County to have the exchange of property tax revenues from the North Bruceville Reorganization governed by the provisions of this agreement until a specific allocation of the property tax can be agreed to in a Final Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree that pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, property taxes received from the property within the boundaries of the North Bruceville Reorganization (the "Property Tax Revenues") shall be exchanged and distributed in the following manner:

Section 1

The North Bruceville Reorganization shall proceed and the annexation to the City of Sacramento of said territory shall be considered final and become effective for purposes of Section 99 (b) of the Revenue and Taxation Code. During each fiscal year following the end of the calendar year in which the North Bruceville Reorganization is recorded, and until either a Final Agreement is adopted by both the City and County or until state law is amended to provide an alternative method of distribution, the property tax revenues received from the North Bruceville Reorganization area, to wit:

County General Fund (County Basic exclusive of debt service), County Roads, County Library, Florin Fire District, Southgate Recreation and Park District, shall be deposited by the County Auditor in a separate trust fund.

Section 2

Upon reaching a Final Agreement, the property tax revenues in the trust fund plus interest earned on said revenue during the period of impoundment, shall be distributed according to the provisions of such Final

Agreement. The County Auditor shall only distribute such property tax revenues after he receives a copy of the Final Agreement and a resolution from both the City and County approving the Final Agreement.

Section 3

If prior to the executive date of any such Final Agreement the statutes governing the exchange of property tax revenues are revised, then the property tax revenues deposited in the trust fund shall be allocated pursuant to the provision of such statute.

ATTEST:

Clerk of Board

Mairjan, Board of Supervisor COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

City Clerk

Mayor CITY OF SACRAMENTO

JSF:Maf 8/14/80