



CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY MANAGER

March 17, 1981

CITY HALL  
915 I STREET - 95814  
(916) 449-5704

City Council  
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Fruitridge Fire Protection District Contract

SUMMARY

By its Resolution #3-80, the Fruitridge Fire Protection District requested, and City Council agreed, to examine the possibility of a contract between the City and the District. The contract would call for the City to provide fire protection in the Fruitridge area in exchange for the assignment of most of the district's revenues to the City. This report recommends that the contract negotiations be suspended because the City Charter prohibits "blanketing in" the District's personnel.

BACKGROUND

In the course of staff work and several meetings, the transfer of district personnel to the City workforce at comparable rank and pay emerged as the key issue.

The District position, in reliance on Section 53292 of the Health and Safety Code, calls for "blanketing in" district firefighters to City Civil Service (see Attachment A).

The City Attorney believes the code section applies, if at all, only in cases where cities create additional positions to cover new territory.

Section 84 of the City Charter prohibits blanketing any personnel into City Civil Service (see Attachment B).

In response to the inconsistency between the Charter and State Law, a process was designed that would bring all of the Fruitridge personnel into the City as entry-level trainees, regardless of their current ranks and rates of pay with transition to Civil Service entry-level firefighter grade six months subsequent to their date-of-hire as trainees (see Attachment C). This process is unacceptable to the District.

Other related issues include:

- Seniority
- Retirement benefit status
- Pre-employment physical examinations

**APPROVED**  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 24 1981

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

The impasse described above has serious implications that go beyond the question of the Fruitridge contract.

Any significant annexation of territory to the City will involve the relationship of special district personnel to the City. Virtually any inhabited annexation proposal will call for the absorption of special district personnel into the City service at comparable ranks and pay rates. Section 84 of the City Charter will prohibit the absorption of district personnel and will, therefore, frustrate any significant City annexation proposal that may be formulated in the future.

It appears that the law (State Code) now requires the City absorb district personnel if additional City positions are required by annexation or contract but prohibits (Charter Section 84) such "blanketing in" if the District personnel would be utilized to fill existing vacant positions. The rigidity of the situation will not allow the City or the Districts to deal with any circumstances which don't coincide with those two extremes. The Fruitridge contract proposal is an excellent example. The dilemma can be stated as:

- Advantages would accrue to both the City and the District from a contract which would bring District personnel into the City workforce.
- The City has vacant positions into which, absent Charter Section 84, District personnel could be transferred.
- The contract would require no additional positions as called for in Health and Safety Code Section 53292.
- Therefore, the City must create unneeded positions or the District must sacrifice the status and benefits of its employees in order to complete the contract.

#### FINANCIAL DATA

Fiscal and service benefits would probably accrue to both the City and the District if the contract could be implemented. However, that issue cannot be productively explored until the personnel issue is satisfactorily settled.

#### RECOMMENDATION


It is recommended that the City Council:

1. Direct that contract negotiations concerning fire protection in the Fruitridge Fire Protection District be suspended.
2. Refer the matter to the Planning and Community Development Committee for examination of the annexation implications of Section 84 of the City Charter.
3. Refer Section 84 of the City Charter to the Law and Legislative Committee for study of redrafting or repeal to allow a more reasonable approach to handling of non-City personnel in case of annexation or contract-for-services proposals.

Respectfully submitted,

Recommendation Approved:

  
Walter J. Slife, City Manager

  
Mac Mailes  
Assistant City Manager/  
Community Development

March 24, 1981

Senate Bill No. 246

CHAPTER 1220

An act to amend Sections 16113.8, 43073, and 53292 of the Government Code, to amend Sections 99.1 and 26483 of, and to add Section 99.9 to, the Revenue and Taxation Code, and to amend Section 10 of Chapter 852 of the Statutes of 1979, relating to local agencies, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

[Approved by Governor September 27, 1980. Filed with Secretary of State September 29, 1980.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 246, Marks. Local agency finances: state subventions: property taxes: labor relations.

(1) Existing law pertaining to property taxation provides for the reimbursement, in the 1980-81 fiscal year and thereafter, to local government jurisdictions for revenue loss by reason of exclusion for air and ground time spent within the state by certificated aircraft prior to its first revenue flight.

This bill would revise the computation for this reimbursement in those fiscal years.

(2) Existing law pertaining to the Financial Aid to Local Agencies Fund requires certain allocations to be made to local agencies on or before the last day of June and on or before the last day of December.

This bill would require the allocation be made on or before the last day of March instead of on or before the last day of December.

(3) Existing law contains provisions relative to property tax exchanges between local agencies in the case of jurisdictional changes.

This bill would make certain clarifying revisions to specified provisions of existing law in this regard. It would also prescribe that certain amendments made by any chapter of the Statutes of 1980 be construed to apply prospectively to jurisdictional changes, as specified.

(4) Existing law authorizes the legislative body of certain cities to elect to pay a special district, as defined, an amount equal to the amount a district would derive from its tax on all property within the incorporated limits of the city. In the case of such an election it authorizes the legislative body of the city to increase its property tax rate by such amount and requires the increases to be paid to the special district.

This bill would revise these provisions to make them consistent with provisions of existing law relative to property tax allocations, as specified. It would require the county auditor to make a specified report to the State Controller.

(5) Under existing law, whenever a fire protection district or city

fire department is dissolved or the area it serves is decreased by reason of a consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract, the fire protection district or city fire department taking over the duties of the dissolved or decreased district or department is required when hiring additional firemen to give first choice for the positions to firemen employed by the dissolved or decreased district or department. Existing law requires when such employees are hired as a result of consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract, the seniority or other employment rights of the employees of the district or department taking over the duties not be impaired.

This bill would permit the seniority or other employment rights of such employees to be impaired in certain counties where a specified memorandum of understanding has been reached, as specified.

(6) Under existing law, Sections 2231 and 2234 of the Revenue and Taxation Code require the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Other provisions require the Department of Finance to review statutes disclaiming these costs and provide, in certain cases, for making claims to the State Board of Control for reimbursement. The statutory provisions requiring reimbursement are supplemented by a constitutional requirement of reimbursement effective for statutes enacted on or after July 1, 1980.

This bill provides that no appropriation is made by this act pursuant to the constitutional mandate or Section 2231 or 2234, but recognizes that local agencies and school districts may pursue their other available remedies to seek reimbursement for these costs.

(7) This bill would take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

SECTION 1. Section 16113.8 of the Government Code, as added by Chapter 610 of the Statutes of 1980, is amended to read:

16113.8. (a) Each county auditor shall file a claim with the Controller on or before the last day of August of each year for reimbursement to local government jurisdictions for the tax loss attributable to the extension of the exclusion for air and ground time spent within the state by certificated aircraft prior to its first revenue flight provided for in Section 1152 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

(b) For the 1980-81 fiscal year and each year thereafter, the sum of the reimbursements pursuant to this section for all local governmental jurisdictions within a county shall be an amount equal to the claims that would have been filed under the exclusion, had the tax rate on such property been four dollars (\$4) per one hundred dollars (\$100) of assessed value.

(c) Proceeds received from the Controller shall be apportioned by the auditor to local government jurisdictions in the same proportion as revenues were distributed in the 1979-80 fiscal year

pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 5451) of Part 10 of Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

SEC. 2. Section 43073 of the Government Code is amended to read:

43073. The legislative body of any city which is encompassed entirely within the territory of a special district may elect to pay the district an amount equal to the amount the district would derive from its share of property tax allocations applicable to all property within the incorporated limits of the city.

(b) If a legislative body makes an election pursuant to subdivision (a), the county auditor shall reallocate property taxes due to the special district within the incorporated limits of the city to the city.

The county auditor shall report to the State Controller the amount of property taxes reallocated to a city pursuant to this subdivision, as well as the total amount of property taxes allocated to the city pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 95) of Part 0.5 of Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code. No reallocation made pursuant to this subdivision shall affect any allocations of property tax revenues to the city or special district in subsequent fiscal years pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 95) of Part 0.5 of Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

(c) If a city legislative body makes an election pursuant to subdivision (a), and upon apportionment of taxes by the county, the reallocated amounts shall be paid by the city or transferred by the county auditor to the district.

(d) If the legislative body of a city makes an election pursuant to subdivision (a), the legislative body shall notify the county auditor by no later than the first day of September of the fiscal year in which the election becomes effective.

(e) For purposes of this section, "special district" means any special district where the county board of supervisors act as, or has appointed, the governing body of such districts providing fire or library services to one or more cities or any county library service established under Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 27151) of Division 20 of the Education Code provided for one or more cities, if all property within the city is taxed to support the service.

(f) This section shall not apply in any case in which a city is making the payments described in this section to a district on the effective date of this section.

(g) If any additional costs are incurred by a county as a result of an election under this act by a city, the county may collect such costs from the city.

(h) This section shall apply only to cities which are in counties which have a population of 6,000,000 or more.

SEC. 3. Section 53292 of the Government Code is amended to read:

53292. Whenever a fire protection district or city fire department is dissolved or the area it serves is decreased by reason of a

consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract, and the fire protection district or city fire department taking over the duties of the dissolved or decreased district or department decides to hire additional firemen, it shall give first choice for the positions to be filled to firemen employed by the dissolved or decreased district or department. As nearly as possible, such employees who are hired shall be given positions with a rank comparable to that which they held in the dissolved or decreased district or department. No employee shall be hired who is over the mandatory retirement age of the fire protection district or city fire department which is taking over the duties of the dissolved or decreased district or department.

Where such employees are hired as a result of such consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract, the seniority or other employment rights of the employees of the fire protection district or fire department taking over the duties of the dissolved or decreased district or department shall not be impaired as a result of such consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract, except as otherwise agreed upon in a county, other than a county of the first class, in a memorandum of understanding with each employee organization, which has been recognized pursuant to Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 3500) of Division 4 of Title 1, and which represents employees of the district or department taking over the duties of the dissolved or decreased district or department who are in classes affected by such consolidation, merger, incorporation, annexation, or contract.

SEC. 4. Section 99.1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as added by Chapter 801 of the Statutes of 1980, is amended to read:

99.1. (a) For the purposes of Section 99, in the case of a jurisdictional change which will result in a special district providing one or more services to an area where such services have not been previously provided by any local agency, the following shall apply:

(1) The special district referred to in this subdivision and each local agency which receives an apportionment of property tax revenue from the area shall be considered local agencies whose service area or service responsibility will be altered by the jurisdictional change.

(2) The exchange of property tax among such local agencies shall be limited to property tax revenue from the annual tax increment generated in the area subject to the jurisdictional change and attributable to such local agencies.

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (5) of subdivision (b) of Section 99, any special district affected by the jurisdictional change may negotiate on its own behalf, if it so chooses.

(4) If a special district involved in the negotiation (other than the district which will provide one or more services to the area where such services have not been previously provided) fails to adopt a resolution providing for the exchange of property tax revenue, the board of supervisors of the county in the area subject to the

jurisdictional change is located shall determine the exchange of property tax revenue for such special district.

(b) The provisions of subdivisions (a), (b), (c) and (d) of Section 99 not in conflict with this section shall apply. The jurisdictional changes described in subdivisions (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) of Section 99 shall not be affected by the provisions of this section.

SEC. 5. Section 99.9 is added to the Revenue and Taxation Code to read:

99.9. No amendment made by any chapter of the Statutes of 1980 to Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code shall be construed except as expressly provided therein, to apply to a jurisdictional change initiated, pursuant to the applicable provisions of law governing such jurisdictional changes, prior to the effective date of the amendment and the provisions of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code in effect at the time the jurisdictional change initiated shall govern the procedures for, and exchange of, proper tax revenues between local agencies whose service area or service responsibility would be altered by such jurisdictional change.

SEC. 6. Section 26483 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, amended by Chapter 610 of the Statutes of 1980, is amended to read: 26483. All money deposited in the Financial Aid to Local Agencies Fund is hereby appropriated subject to the provisions of the budget bill. On or before the last day of June and on or before the last day of March the balance in the Financial Aid to Local Agencies Fund shall be allocated by the Controller to cities and counties in the following manner and amount:

(a) For the June allocation, one-half of the amount to be allocated to cities and counties shall be distributed based on population. The cities' allocation would be determined based on the proportion that the population of each city bears to the total population of California. The counties' allocation would be determined based on the proportion that the population residing in the unincorporated area of each county bears to the total population of California.

(b) The balance of the June allocation shall be allocated to cities and counties in the following manner:

(1) An amount shall be computed for each county by dividing the balance of the June allocation among counties in the same proportion that the amount of AFDC benefits paid to residents of each county during January, February, and March of the year bears to the total of all AFDC benefits paid to residents of California during the same period.

(2) For each city, and for the unincorporated area within each county, an amount shall be computed equal to the population of the city or the unincorporated area of the county divided by the per capita personal income of residents of the city or unincorporated area. For purposes of this paragraph, the population and per capita personal income figures shall be those used in the latest entitlement period for calculations of Federal Revenue Sharing funds under the

Federal State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972 as amended.

(3) The Controller shall allocate the amounts determined pursuant to paragraph (1) among the county and the cities within the county in the same proportion that the amount computed pursuant to paragraph (2) for each city and the unincorporated area of the county bears to the total of such amounts computed pursuant to paragraph (2) for all cities and the unincorporated area of the county. The amount computed pursuant to this paragraph with respect to the unincorporated area of the county shall be the county's share of the June allocation.

(c) For the March allocation, the same procedure shall be followed as for the June allocation, but "July, August and September" shall be substituted for "January, February and March."

(d) For purposes of this section a city includes a city and county.

(e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, for fiscal years 1980-81 and 1981-82 only, before the allocation is made pursuant to subdivision (c), the Controller shall make an allocation on or before the last day of December in the following manner and amounts:

(1) For a redevelopment agency which is within a county having a population of 5,000,000 persons or more, and which is within a general law city having a population of not less than 30,000 persons and not more than 36,000 persons, and which has a redevelopment project area of more than 500 acres and less than 1,300 acres as of September 1, 1979. The allocation shall equal one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).

(2) For a redevelopment agency which is within a county having a population of 5,000,000 persons or more, and which is within a general law city having a population of not less than 36,000 persons and not more than 41,000 persons, and which has a redevelopment project area of more than 500 acres and less than 1,300 acres as of September 1, 1979. The allocation shall equal fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000).

(3) For a redevelopment agency which is within a county having a population of 5,000,000 persons or more, and which is in a charter city having a population of not less than 70,000 persons and not more than 80,000 persons, and which has a redevelopment project area of not less than 100 acres and not more than 1,400 acres as of September 1, 1979. The allocation shall equal three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000).

SEC. 7. Section 10 of Chapter 852 of the Statutes of 1979, as amended by Chapter 801 of the Statutes of 1980, is amended to read:

Sec. 10. Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (b) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, any formation, organization, or incorporation of any public body, or any annexation thereto, or exclusion therefrom, or other change of boundaries thereof, or the consolidation, merger or dissolution of any public body, which was filed under Section 54900, 54901, 54902, 54903 or

54904 of the Government Code between January 2, 1978 and July 24, 1979, is hereby confirmed, validated and declared legally effective.

For purposes of determining the amount of property tax to be allocated in the fiscal year such creation or change in boundaries becomes effective for purposes of taxation and thereafter for the public bodies described in this section, the provisions of subdivisions (e) and (f) of Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code shall apply.

SEC. 8. Notwithstanding Section 2231 or 2234 of the Revenue and Taxation Code and Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, no appropriation is made by this act pursuant to these sections. It is recognized, however, that a local agency or school district may pursue any remedies to obtain reimbursement available to it under Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2201) of Part 4 of Division 1 of that code.

SEC. 9. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting such necessity are:

A variety of formulas are contained in statutes pertaining to state subventions and the allocation of property tax revenues, including the exchange of property tax revenues between local agencies in the case of jurisdictional changes. Existing law also contains provisions relating to the impairment of seniority and other employment rights of certain fire employees. In order to revise these formulas, to effectuate such subvention and allocations, and to permit certain memorandums of understanding to become effective, as soon as possible, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.



## CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## DEPARTMENT OF LAW

812 TENTH ST

SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814

SUITE 201

TELEPHONE (916) 449-5346

November 17, 1980

JAMES P. JACKSON  
CITY ATTORNEYTHEODORE H. KOBEY, JR.  
ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEYLELIAND J. SAVAGE  
DAVID BENJAMIN  
SAM JACKSON  
WILLIAM P. CARNAZZO  
SABINA ANN GILBERT  
STEPHEN B. NOCITA  
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYSMEMORANDUM

TO: DONNA GILES, Director of Personnel  
JOHN WORCHESTER  
GARY LITTLE  
WILLIAM POWELL

FROM: WILLIAM P. CARNAZZO, Deputy City Attorney

RE: FRUITRIDGE FIRE DISTRICT MATTER

My understanding is that Chief Powell is considering a proposal to enter into a written agreement with the Fruitridge Fire District whereunder the City would take over the District's duties and equipment, and receive the District's funding. The District would keep its real property.

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the City of Sacramento can, by virtue of such an agreement, summarily "blanket in" to the City classified service the employees of the District?

SUMMARY ANSWER

Due to Charter and Civil Service Rules constraints, such employees may not be "blanketed in".

ANALYSISA. Relevant Charter ProvisionsSec. 84. Examinations.

For the purpose of establishing eligible registers from which positions in the classified service shall be filled, it shall be the duty of the civil service board to conduct competitive examinations open to all persons who lawfully may be appointed to any position within the class for which such examinations are held, and who meet the minimum qualifications requisite to the performance of the duties of such position as established by the civil service board.

Positions in the higher classes shall be filled, as far as is practicable and consistent with the best interests of the service, by promotion following competitive tests of employees in lower classes holding permanent civil service status. The civil service board, shall, by rule, establish regulations for promotional eligibility, except that in the police and fire departments, all higher uniform positions in the classified service shall be filled from eligible registers established as a result of promotional examination only, and no such member shall be eligible for promotion to a higher class until that person has served at least three (3) years in such department and that in subsequent promotions the member must have held the rank from which that person is promoted for at least two (2) years.

All officers or employees shall be chosen or promoted, whenever a list of eligibles is furnished by the civil service board, from the three candidates standing highest on the list.

It is my understanding that one of the District's employees is a Captain. Under Section 84, the "higher classes" (which would include Captain) must be filled through promotional examination, and the person promoted must have served at least three years in the Sacramento Fire Department. These provisions effectively prevent bringing the District's Captain in as a Captain.

With respect to those who are in the firefighter class, the charter requires all appointments to be made through the civil service examination process. Aside from the transitional process set out in Charter Section 88 and Rule 6.7 (both of which are inapplicable), there appears to be no exception to this rule.

However, if a "lateral entry" rule was adopted by the Board, and a testing process was administered, a separate list could be created from which to fill positions, as long as the lateral entry procedure was an "open" one, not restricted to just Fruitridge Fire District Personnel.

#### B. Civil Service Rules.

Rule 6 requires that appointments be made from eligible lists. This rule implements Charter Section 84, set forth above.

The establishment of a lateral entry eligibility list by the Board would be permitted under this Rule, but there should be specific findings and reasons by the Board for the establishment of such a list. As set forth above, such a list would have to be "open" and unrestricted, and thus there would be no guarantee that Fruitridge Fire District personnel would be appointed from that list.



C. Other Considerations:

(1) Workers Compensation: If the District employees become City employees, then they are covered by the City's workers compensation system, irrespective of their physical condition, due to a provision in the law that the employer takes the employee as he is. The City may incur substantial liability if any one or more of the District employees are poor risks. Extensive physical examinations should be conducted by the District with results furnished to the City; or, the City should conduct its own examination.

(2) Retirement: Complications arise with respect to ordinary and disability retirement. The issues are numerous, and should be delineated by the Retirement System Manager.

(3) Affirmative Action: There is in effect at present a selective certification order with respect to the firefighter class. If the District employees become City employees, and fewer positions are filled, the effect on the selective certification process will be direct and immediate.

(4) Labor Relations: Since seniority and other issues are involved, Local 522 will have to be dealt with. There could be difficulties in this process.

SUMMARY

The Charter and Civil Service Rules prevent taking the Captain into the City classified service as a Captain. A possibility is a Y-rate with voluntary demotion to the firefighter class.

With regard to the firefighters, some method such as lateral entry (with limitations as set forth above) will have to be devised in view of the Charter and Civil Service Rules which otherwise effectively prohibit "blanketing in".

JAMES P. JACKSON  
City Attorney

By WILLIAM P. CARNAZZO  
Deputy City Attorney

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO



DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL  
801 NINTH STREET, ROOM 201  
SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814  
TELEPHONE (916) 449-5270

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE  
**RECEIVED**  
FEB 12 1981

DONNA L. GILES  
DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL

February 10, 1981

TO: F. Mac Mailes, Assistant Manager, Community Development  
William Powell, Chief, Fire Department

FROM: Donna L. Giles, Director of Personnel

SUBJECT: Fruitridge Fire District Contract Personnel

In regards to our previous discussion, I have met with John Worcester and Bill Carnazzo in an effort to find the most acceptable means to bring aboard firefighter staff from the Fruitridge Fire District.

After considerable discussion, it was felt at present that the most appropriate means would be to set up a separate class as a training class limited term, with the intent of providing Training Tower experience and other fire-related training for a designated period of time, and at the completion of six months, to transition qualified employees into the firefighter class, subject to passing our medical standards. This would conform with our transition concept from a Charter and Civil Service standpoint. The salary for this class would be comparable with our firefighter salary.

This proposal would tend to have an impact on the affirmative action hiring rate for the Fire Department, and should be taken into consideration. Staff could possibly be phased in over a period of time.

This proposed process would apply to entry-level only, and would not be appropriate for hiring at a higher level. The Charter specifically limits appointment to above-entry level to promotion from within the City.

If more information is needed, please give me a call; we will proceed at your direction.

  
Donna L. Giles  
Director of Personnel



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET  
CITY HALL ROOM 203

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814  
TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

LORRAINE MAGANA  
CITY CLERK

### MEMORANDUM

TO: LAW AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

FROM: LORRAINE MAGANA, CITY CLERK *lm*

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO ITEM NO. 44, AGENDA OF MARCH 24, 1981

DATE: MARCH 24, 1981

Pursuant to Council action, the following subject matter is referred to your committee for hearing, report and recommendation:

Study of redrafting or repealing Section 84 of the City Charter to allow more reasonable approach to handling of non-City Personnel in case of annexation or contract-for-services proposal.

LM:sj

cc: Councilperson Pope, Chr.  
" Connelly  
" Fisher

Planning



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET  
CITY HALL ROOM 203

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814  
TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

LORRAINE MAGANA  
CITY CLERK

### MEMORANDUM

TO: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

FROM: LORRAINE MAGANA, CITY CLERK *LM*

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO ITEM NO. 44, AGENDA OF MARCH 24, 1981

DATE: MARCH 24, 1981

Pursuant to Council action, the following subject matter is referred to your committee for hearing, report and recommendation:

Examination of the annexation implications of Section  
84 of the City Charter.

LM:sj

cc: Councilperson Rudin, Chr.

" Fisher  
" Roberts  
" Robie

Planning

