

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-223**

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF APR 05 2005

**A RESOLUTION INCREASING THE AMOUNT OF THE HABITAT CONSERVATION FEE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 18.40 OF TITLE 18 OF THE CITY CODE AND REQUIRING DEVELOPERS IN NATOMAS TO DEDICATE LAND FOR HABITAT MITIGATION IN LIEU OF PAYMENT OF HCP FEES IN SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES, TO TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY**

**WHEREAS:**

A. On August 7, 1997, the City Council of the City of Sacramento adopted Ordinance No. 97-046, which added Chapter 84.10 to Title 84 (now Title 18, Chapter 18.40) of the City Code, which created and established the authority for the Habitat Conservation Fee, which is used to fund the costs of implementation of the Natomas Basin Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). Chapter 18.40 of Title 18 directs the City Council, by resolution, to set the specific amounts of the fee and the manner in which the fee is to be paid.

B. On September 2, 1997, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 97-508, pursuant to which it established the specific amounts of the Habitat Conservation Fee and the manner in which the fee is to be paid.

C. Section 18.40.080 of Title 18 of the City Code contemplates periodic revision of the Habitat Conservation Fee by resolution of the City Council.

D. The Natomas Basin Conservancy (TNBC), a non-profit public benefit corporation, is the entity responsible for administration of the Natomas Basin HCP, which is funded by the Habitat Conservation Fee.

E. On March 2, 2005, the Board of Directors of TNBC recommended that the City of Sacramento increase the Natomas Basin HCF from the current rate of Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Four Dollars (\$16,124.00) per gross acre to Twenty-Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Seven Dollars (\$24,897.00) per gross acre). The request is based upon a HCP Finance

---

**FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY**

RESOLUTION NO.: 2005-223

DATE ADOPTED: APR 05 2005

Model dated February 2005, which established the need for an increase in the Habitat Conservation Fee to fund actual costs of administering the HCP.

F. Also on March 2, 2005, the Board of Directors of TNBC pursuant to the 2003 HCP, recommended that the City of Sacramento require the dedication of land in lieu of payment of the Land Acquisition portion of the HCP Fee under specific circumstances.

G. A public hearing on adoption of this Resolution to increase the Habitat Conservation Fee and require land dedication in lieu of payment of a portion of the Habitat Conservation Fee was heretofore noticed and set as part of a regularly scheduled hearing for April 5, 2005, at 2 PM in the City Council Chamber located at City Hall, 730 I Street, Sacramento, California, 95814.

H. Pursuant to Government Code Section 66017, development fee increases become effective sixty (60) days following their adoption, unless the resolution includes findings that immediate effectiveness is necessary to preserve the public health, safety, or welfare. In this case it is necessary that the fees become immediately effective rather than waiting for the sixty (60) day period to expire, because the current fee is not adequate to implement the HCP and the incidental take permits; the increase is needed now because grading permits will be issued and fees collected; and without the increase the HCP cannot be implemented, specifically necessary mitigation lands cannot be acquired, and the necessary public infrastructure, including flood protection, storm drainage, circulation, and provision of community services, that relies on the HCP, vital to public health, safety, and welfare, cannot be built.

I. Government Code Section 66017 also provides that where the fee increase is made immediately effective by resolution, that resolution can remain in effect for only thirty (30) days unless extended again for a maximum period of an additional thirty (30) day period. Staff intends to undertake that procedure, so that the increased fee will be applicable during the entire sixty (60) day period following adoption of this resolution.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1. Findings**

The City Council hereby finds as follows:

- (a) All provisions set forth above are true and correct and are hereby incorporated herein by reference as findings for purposes of this resolution. In addition, those findings and other provisions specified in the Ordinance (Ordinance No. 97-046) are incorporated herein by reference as findings for purposes of this resolution.
- (b) Participating in the HCP is one of several alternatives available for obtaining incidental take protection which a landowner may select to satisfy obligations imposed by the federal and state Endangered Species Acts, the Mitigation Monitoring Plans, and the SAFCA Permit. The Plan itself is designed to serve a number of purposes, including but not limited to the

---

**FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY**

RESOLUTION NO.: 2005-223  
DATE ADOPTED: APR 05 2005

satisfaction of the Mitigation Monitoring Plan requirements specified in the North Natomas Community Plan and requirements of the SAFCA Permit, relating to direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts associated with Urban Development in the Permit Area.

- (c) Because payment of the Habitat Conservation Fee pursuant to the HCP is only one of the available options for a landowner seeking to satisfy its obligations relating to direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts of urban development in the Natomas area, the fee is voluntary in nature and is not a mandatory imposition. It is therefore not legally required to demonstrate the satisfaction of the various nexus tests set forth in Government Code Sections 66000 et. seq. Nevertheless, the Council finds as to the increased Habitat Conservation Fee: (i) the amount of increased fee has been set based upon the HCP Finance Model, dated February 2005, which has been reviewed and considered by the Board of Directors of TNBC,, and which has been reviewed and considered by the Council; (ii) the HCP Finance Model represents a rational, fair and equitable method of allocating the costs of implementation of the HCP, and allocates the burden among development projects in a manner which is approximately proportionate to the impacts which may be reasonably anticipated from such development activity; and (iii) the relationship between the increased Habitat Conservation Fee and the adverse environmental impacts it is designed to mitigate are clearly established in numerous environmental impact reports, including but not limited to those relating to the North Natomas Community Plan and the Comprehensive Drainage Plan for North Natomas, all of which are incorporated by reference as part of the findings supporting this resolution.
- (d) The amount of the Habitat Conservation Fee, as increased by this resolution, is consistent with the City's General Plan, the North Natomas and South Natomas Community Plans, the North Natomas Financing Plan 2002 Update, the Mitigation Monitoring Plans and the SAFCA Permit.

### **SECTION 2. Amount of Fee**

The amount of the Fee, which a landowner may voluntarily select as the landowner's preferred alternative for satisfaction of its legal obligations to mitigate for the adverse impacts of Urban Development on biological resources, for property located within the Permit Area is hereby set at the rate of Twenty-Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Seven Dollars (\$24,897.00) per gross acre of development.

### **SECTION 3. Land Dedication Requirement**

Developers seeking an Urban Development Permit from the City shall pay all components of the Habitat Conservation Fee (\$24,897 per gross acre) except in the specific circumstances stated below. When the specific circumstances apply, the developer is required to dedicate land in lieu of payment of the Land Acquisition Fund of the Habitat Conservation Fee. When the developer is required to dedicate land (or exercises his/her option to dedicate land), the Habitat Conservation Fee is \$12,397 per gross acre plus the required mitigation land dedicated to TNBC in compliance with the land acquisition criteria pursuant to the 2003 Natomas Basin HCP. Mitigation land is determined to be required at a ratio of one half acre of mitigation land for every acre of developed land.

---

**FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY**

RESOLUTION NO.: 2005-223

DATE ADOPTED: APR 05 2005

The specific circumstances when mitigation land is required in lieu of payment of the Land Acquisition portion of the Habitat Conservation Fee are:

- 1) land developments of 50 acres or less would continue to enjoy the option of full payment of the Natomas Basin HCP fee or land dedication in lieu of the Land Acquisition portion of the HCP fee;
- 2) developments of greater than 50 acres up to 100 acres would be required to dedicate land in lieu of the land cost portion of the Land Acquisition Fund, although affected developers may obtain a waiver from TNBC if it can be shown that the Land Acquisition Fund portion of the Natomas Basin HCP fee to be paid could adequately cover the cost to TNBC for land acquisition, and
- 3) developments of greater than 100 acres must dedicate land in lieu of the land acquisition portion of the Land Acquisition Fund.

In recognition of the fact that it is difficult to acquire land at exactly a specified number of acres, projects in excess of 50 acres must acquire mitigation land but are eligible to pay Land Acquisition fees for any portion of their land, 50 acres or less.

The requirement to dedicate land in lieu of paying fees will expire on May 1, 2006, unless extended by the City by resolution.

**SECTION 4. Construction of Resolution**

The provisions of the resolution are subject and subordinate to the provisions of Chapter 18.40 of Title 18 of the City Code, as enacted by Ordinance No. 97-046, and shall at all times be construed and applied consistent therewith as the same presently exist or may from time to time be amended.

**SECTION 5. Judicial Action to Challenge this Resolution**

Any judicial action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside or annul this resolution shall be brought within 120 days of its adoption.

**SECTION 6. Effective Date**

This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption, pursuant to Government Code Section 66017. The current and immediate threat to the public health, safety and welfare necessitating the immediate effectiveness of this resolution is as follows: without collection of an adequate fee and implementation of the HCP, necessary public infrastructure, including flood protection, storm drainage, emergency evacuation, circulation access, and provision of community services, that relies on the HCP for mitigation and is vital to public health, safety, and welfare, cannot be built. The federal and state incidental take permits were issued on June 27, 2003 and July 10, 2003, respectively. Developers obtaining grading permits must pay an adequate fee to implement the HCP.

---

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2005-223

DATE ADOPTED: APR 03 2005

Staff is directed to return to the City Council prior to thirty (30) days following adoption of this resolution with all necessary staff reports, resolutions or other documents required in order to ensure the immediate effectiveness of this resolution during the sixty (60) day period following adoption of this resolution.

**SECTION 7. Severability**

If any section, phrase, sentence or other portion of this resolution for any reason is held or found to be invalid, void, unenforceable or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision, and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this resolution.

LEATHER FARGO

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

SHIRLEY CONCOLINO

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE ADOPTED: \_\_\_\_\_

2005-223

APR 05 2005