



Van Voonhies Mansion
on the
National Register of Historic Places

Bair & Bair

Attorneys at Law
925 "G" Street
Sacramento, California 95814

Pamela A. Bair
Steven R. Bair

Telephone (916) 441-2247

March 24, 1982

Honorable Mayor & City Council
City Hall
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Special Permit Hearing on 1024 F Street,
Request for Re-referral to Planning Commission

Dear Honorable Members:

I respectfully request that the above matter be re-referred to the Planning Commission for evaluation of a revised plan for mixed use of the subject property.

The possibility of mixed use was not considered when the Planning Commission first heard this matter, and Howard Yee indicates that Staff is not opposed to the re-referral. This course of action will prevent duplication of fees and most importantly avoid a substantial delay in again bringing this matter before the Planning Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven R. Bair

SRB/bb

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 30 1982

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK



Van Voorhies Mansion
on the
National Register of Historic Places

Bair & Bair
Attorneys at Law
925 "G" Street
Sacramento, California 95814

Pamela A. Bair
Steven R. Bair

Telephone (916) 441-2247

March 24, 1982

Ms. Lorraine Magana
City Clerk
City Hall

Re: Agenda for Council Meeting on March 30, 1982

Dear Ms. Magana:

Please file and place this request for re-referral to the Planning Commission on the City Council Agenda for March 30, 1982, so that it may be considered together with the scheduled Findings of Fact. This matter should not be placed on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in this matter.

Very sincerely yours,

BAIR & BAIR

Steven R. Bair

SRB/bb

Appeal of Steven & Pamela Bair vs. City of)
Sacramento Planning Commission's denial of)
a special permit to allow the conversion of)
a residential structure into office use in)
the R-0 zone located at 1024 "F" Street)
(P-9559))

NOTICE OF DECISION
AND
FINDINGS OF FACT

At its regular meeting of March 2, 1982, the City Council heard and considered evidence in the above entitled matter. Based on the oral and documentary evidence at such hearing, the Council upheld the City Planning Commission's decision and denied the appeal. The Council's action is based on the following findings:

1. The site is more appropriate for residential uses because it is surrounded on four sides by residential zoning and land uses.
2. The granting of the office use for this site would encourage other properties on this block to make similar requests, which would be detrimental to the residential character of the block.
3. The proposed office use would generate additional traffic and parking demand to an area where on-street parking is heavy.
4. The proposal is not in harmony with the objectives of the Alkali Flat Redevelopment Plan and Central City Plan which encourages the conservation of existing residential neighborhoods.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FILED
By the City Council
Office of the City Clerk
Cont 40
3-23-82
MAR 16 1982

FILED
By the City Council
Office of the City Clerk
Cont 40
3-30-82
MAR 23 1982

P-9559