

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAY 25 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

APPROVED

MAY 25 1999



10.2

DOWNTOWN AND REGIONAL
ENTERPRISE DEPARTMENT
DEVELOPMENT GROUP

SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT
CITY OF SACRAMENTO

**CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA**

1030 15TH STREET, SUITE 250
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

916-264-7223
FAX 916-264-8161

May 17, 1999

City Council
Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: JOINT PUBLIC HEARING ON SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE MERGED
DOWNTOWN SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PUBLIC
HEARING PURSUANT TO SECTION 33679 OF THE CALIFORNIA
HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

LOCATION & COUNCIL DISTRICT: Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment
Area, Council District #1

RECOMMENDATIONS

The resolutions attached to this report approve:

- Adopting a resolution of findings regarding the Final Negative Declaration of Environmental Impacts
- Adopting a resolution ruling on written and oral objections to the Second Amendment
- Adopting a resolution approving the Second Amendment
- Enacting an ordinance approving and adopting the proposed plan

CONTACT PERSON: Wendy Saunders, Development Manager, 264-8196
Paul Blumberg, Senior Management Analyst, 264-7204

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 25, 1999

SUMMARY

This report regards matters related to adoption of the proposed Second Amendment to the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Plan, including required public hearing and ordinance adoption. The proposed Second Amendment would extend the Redevelopment

Agency's use of eminent domain (property condemnation) authority for an additional twelve-year period. The powers of eminent domain will be extend for nonresidential properties only.

BACKGROUND

On April 8, 1999, the Redevelopment Agency and the City Council consented by resolution to hold a joint public hearing on May 25, 1999 for the purpose of considering the adoption of the Second Amendment. At that time, staff also transmitted information related to the Second Amendment for Council and Redevelopment Agency review prior to the joint public hearing.

Following presentations and public comments on the proposed Second Amendment to the Merged Downtown Redevelopment Plan, staff recommends that the City Council hear the first reading of the ordinance adopting the proposed Second Amendment.

If no written objections are received from property owners or affected taxing agencies regarding the proposed Second Amendment, the joint hearing of the City Council and the Redevelopment Agency should be closed and the Council and Redevelopment Agency should proceed with the following actions:

- Adopt a resolution of findings regarding the Final Negative Declaration of Environmental Impacts
- Adopt a resolution ruling on written and oral objections to the Second Amendment
- Adopt a resolution approving the Second Amendment
- Enact an ordinance approving and adopting the proposed plan

If written objections are received at or before the joint public hearing from property owners or affected taxing agencies, the public hearing should be continued to June 1, 1999 for the limited purpose of responding to the written comments. Prior to the June 1, 1999 joint public meeting, Agency staff and redevelopment consultants, including Agency Counsel, will prepare written findings in response to each written objection received, if any, from affected property owners or taxing agencies.

If the hearing is continued to June 1, 1999, the City Council and Redevelopment Agency should approve each of the items noted above plus:

- Adopt a resolution establishing findings in response to the written objections.

On April 22, 1999, the City Planning Commission adopted a resolution finding consistency of the proposed Second Amendment with the applicable General Plans and Community Plans (copy of which is on file with the City Clerk).

The documents submitted to the City Council for the joint public hearing of May 25, 1999 contain information pursuant to California Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et. seq.). Some of these documents (listed below and on file with the City Clerk) have been reviewed previously, including:

Agenda for the Joint Public Hearing
Procedural outline for the Joint Public Hearing
Proposed Second Amendment
Existing amended Merged Downtown Redevelopment Plan
Agency's Report to Council on the Second Amendment
The Negative Declaration/Initial Study

A notice of the Joint Public Hearing was mailed out to all Project Area property owners, businesses and existing organizations approximately 30 days prior to the May 25th hearing. In addition, the City Clerk has published a notice of the Joint Public Hearing in the Daily Recorder for the four consecutive weeks preceding the hearing. To date, there have been no public comments on the Second Amendment or Negative Declaration/Initial Study.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Aside from some minimal noticing expenses, there are no direct costs associated with the transmission of this report and the setting of a joint public hearing.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

The action proposed in this staff report is consistent with previously approved policy and no policy changes are being recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW


The draft Negative Declaration was prepared by Agency consultant and circulated for public review and comment during the period from March 1, through March 20, 1999. No comments were received during the public comment period. Staff will recommend certification of the final Negative Declaration immediately following the Joint Public Hearing of May 25, 1999.

ESBD CONSIDERATIONS: Not applicable


Respectfully submitted,

Approved:


Wendy Saunders
Development Manager


Thomas V. Lee
Deputy City Manager

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:


ROBERT P. THOMAS
City Manager

for

RESOLUTION NO. 99-021

ADOPTED BY THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

ON DATE OF _____

**CERTIFICATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE MERGED DOWNTOWN
SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PLAN**

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO
DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. The Agency has considered the Negative Declaration together with any public comments received during the public review process. The Agency hereby finds, on the basis of the whole record before it, that the Negative Declaration is adequate and complete and reflects the independent judgement and analysis of the Agency, and that there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project, the Second Amendment to the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Plan will have a significant effect on the environment.

Section 2. The Negative Declaration, in the form attached to this resolution and incorporated in this resolution by this reference, is hereby approved and adopted by the Agency. The Legal Department of the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency, 630 1 Street, Sacramento, California, is the custodian of the documents or other materials that constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based.

Section 3. The Executive Director of the Agency is authorized to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk of the County of Sacramento following the approval of the Second Amendment.


CHAIR

SECRETARY

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____



APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAY 25 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 99-262

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

**CERTIFICATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE MERGED DOWNTOWN
SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PLAN**

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO DOES HEREBY
RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. The Agency has considered the Negative Declaration together with any public comments received during the public review process. The Agency hereby finds, on the basis of the whole record before it, that the Negative Declaration is adequate and complete and reflects the independent judgement and analysis of the Agency, and that there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project, the Second Amendment to the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Plan will have a significant effect on the environment.

Section 2. The Negative Declaration, in the form attached to this resolution and incorporated in this resolution by this reference, is hereby approved and adopted by the Agency. The Legal Department of the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency, 630 1 Street, Sacramento, California, is the custodian of the documents or other materials that constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based.

Section 3. The Executive Director of the Agency is authorized to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk of the County of Sacramento following the approval of the Second Amendment.

MAYOR

ATTEST:


CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

5



RESOLUTION NO. 99-022
ADOPTED BY THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

ON DATE OF _____

**APPROVAL OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
FOR THE MERGED DOWNTOWN SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento ("Agency") has prepared a proposed second amendment to the amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project (" Second Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Agency has submitted the proposed Second Amendment to the Planning Commission of the City of Sacramento ("Planning Commission") for its report and recommendations, and the Planning Commission by Resolution on April 22, 1999, recommended approval and adoption of the proposed Second Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has prepared a Report to the City Council of the City of Sacramento on the proposed Second Amendment, and a Supplement to the Report to City Council, which contain the items and information set forth in Section 33352 of the Health and Safety Code and conform to the requirements of the Health and Safety Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

That the Second Amendment to the Amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project is hereby approved, and the Agency recommends that the Second Amendment be approved and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento.

CHAIR

SECRETARY

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAY 25 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 99-261

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

**APPROVAL OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
FOR THE MERGED DOWNTOWN SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento ("Agency") has prepared a proposed second amendment to the amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project (" Second Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Agency has submitted the proposed Second Amendment to the Planning Commission of the City of Sacramento ("Planning Commission") for its report and recommendations, and the Planning Commission by Resolution on April 22, 1999, recommended approval and adoption of the proposed Second Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has prepared a Report to the City Council of the City of Sacramento on the proposed Second Amendment, and a Supplement to the Report to City Council, which contain the items and information set forth in Section 33352 of the Health and Safety Code and conform to the requirements of the Health and Safety Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

That the Second Amendment to the Amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project is hereby approved, and the Agency recommends that the Second Amendment be approved and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento.

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

7

ORDINANCE NO. _____

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO APPROVING AND
ADOPTING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED
REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MERGED DOWNTOWN
SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Sacramento ("City Council") adopted Ordinance No. 2681, Fourth Series, on August 25, 1966, approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Riverfront Project, Project No. 4, and the City Council approved and adopted amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Riverfront Project, Project No. 4, by Ordinance No. 2992, Fourth Series, on May 27, 1971; and Ordinance No. 4426, Fourth Series, on September 30, 1980; and Ordinance No. 86-063, Fourth Series, on June 17, 1986; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 1936, Fourth Series, on September 13, 1955, approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Area Project, Project Area No. 2-A, and the City Council approved and adopted amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Area Project, Project Area No. 2-A, by Ordinance No. 1969, Fourth Series, on July 19, 1956; Ordinance No. 2088, Fourth Series, on October 2, 1958; Ordinance No. 2209, Fourth Series, on June 16, 1960; Ordinance No. 2288, Fourth Series, on April 27, 1961; Ordinance No. 2406, Fourth Series, on September 16, 1962; Ordinance No. 2422, Fourth Series, on November 1, 1962; Ordinance No. 2736, Fourth Series, on August 10, 1967; and Ordinance No. 3312, Fourth Series, on August 30, 1973; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 2208, Fourth Series, on June 16, 1960, approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Extension Project, Project Area No. 3, and the City Council approved and adopted amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Capitol Mall Extension Project, Project Area No. 3, by Ordinance No. 2423, Fourth Series, on November 1, 1962; Ordinance No. 2722, Fourth Series, on May 25, 1967; and Ordinance No. 2991, Fourth Series, on May 27, 1971; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 3146, Fourth Series, on July 20, 1972, approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Uptown Development Project, Project Area No. 8, and the City Council approved and adopted amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Uptown Development Project, Project Area No. 8, by Ordinance No. 4122, Fourth Series, on August 22, 1978; and Ordinance No. 4400, Fourth Series, on August 5, 1980; and

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

WHEREAS, the City Council approved and adopted the Amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project ("Merged Project") on June 17, 1986, by Ordinance Nos. 86-063, 86-064, 86-065, 86-066, and 86-067; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has since approved and adopted one amendment to the Amended Redevelopment Plan for then Merged Project ("Amended Redevelopment Plan"), on October 4, 1994, by Ordinance No. 94-046; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento ("Agency") has formulated and prepared a proposed second amendment to the Amended Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project ("Second Amendment"), which would extend the Agency's eminent domain authority over non-residential properties, providing the Agency with the ability to acquire land at a fair market value in instances where the assembly of parcels is necessary to facilitate development that will benefit the larger community and to complete redevelopment of the Merged Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has received from the Agency the proposed Second Amendment, a copy of which is on file at the office of the City Clerk, 915 1 Street, Room 304, Sacramento, California, and at the office of the Agency, 1030 15th Street, Suite 250, Sacramento California, together with the Report of the Agency on the Proposed Second Amendment to the Amended Redevelopment Plan and a Supplement to such Report ("Report to City Council"), including: 1) the reasons for amending the amended redevelopment plan; 2) a description of conditions existing in the merged project area; 3) effect of amendment on Merged Project Area programs; 4) the effect of the proposed Second Amendment on the method of financing the redevelopment of the Merged Project Area, and an assessment of continued economic feasibility of the Merged Project; 5) the effect of the Second Amendment on the method or plan for relocation of families and persons; 6) an analysis of the preliminary plan; 7) the report and recommendations of the Planning Commission; 8) a summary of consultations with merged project area owners, residents, community organizations, and others; 9) an initial study negative declaration on the Second Amendment; 10) report of county fiscal officer; 11) a neighborhood impact report; 12) an analysis of the report of the county fiscal officer a summary of consultations with affected taxing agencies, and response to written objections and concerns of affected taxing agencies; and 13) an implementation plan amendment plan amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Sacramento has submitted to the City Council its report and recommendations concerning the Second Amendment and its certification that the Second Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Sacramento; and

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

WHEREAS, as permitted by Section 15074 of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Guidelines, the Agency prepared, circulated for public review and comment, and approved a negative declaration and, based upon the environmental analysis contained in the Initial Study completed for the Second Amendment, found that there is no evidence that implementation of the Second Amendment will result in the creation of significant adverse impacts on the environment, and that preparation of an environmental impact report for the Second Amendment is not required; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Agency held a joint public hearing on May 25, 1999, on adoption of the Second Amendment in the Sacramento City Council Chambers, 915 I Street, Sacramento, California; and

WHEREAS, notice of said hearing was duly and regularly published in the Daily Recorder, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Sacramento, once a week for three successive weeks prior to the date of said hearing, and a copy of said notice and an affidavit of publication are on file with the City Clerk and the Agency; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of joint public hearing were mailed by first-class mail to the last known address of each assessee as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of Sacramento for each parcel of land in the Merged Project Area, and to residents, businesses, and other occupants in the same area; and

WHEREAS, each assessee in the Merged Project Area whose property would be subject to acquisition by purchase or condemnation under the provisions of the Second Amendment was sent a letter to such effect attached to the notice of the joint public hearing, including a map of the Merged Project Area; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of joint public hearing were mailed by certified mail with return receipt requested to the governing body of each taxing agency which receives taxes from property in the Merged Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered the report and recommendation of the Planning Commission, the Agency's Report to City Council, the Second Amendment and its economic feasibility, and the Initial Study/ Negative Declaration, has provided an opportunity for all persons to be heard, has received and considered all evidence and testimony presented for or against any and all aspects of the Second Amendment, and has made written findings in response to each written objection of an affected property owner and taxing entity.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The goals and objectives of the City Council with respect to the Merged Project Area are and continue to be:

1. The elimination of environmental deficiencies in the Merged Project Area, including, among others, mixed and shifting uses, small and irregular lots, obsolete, aged and deteriorated building types, inadequate or deteriorated public improvements, and incompatible and uneconomic land uses.
2. The assembly of land into parcels suitable for modern, integrated development with improved pedestrian and vehicular circulation in the Merged Project Area.
3. The replanning, redesign and development of undeveloped areas which are stagnant or improperly utilized.
4. The strengthening of retail and other commercial functions in the downtown area.
5. The strengthening of the economic base of the Merged Project Area and the community by the installation of needed site improvements either inside or outside the Merged Project Area to stimulate new commercial/light industrial expansion, employment, and economic growth.
6. The provision of adequate land for ventilation, light, and open spaces.
7. The establishment and implementation of performance criteria to assure high site design standards and environmental quality and other design elements which provide unity and integrity to the entire Merged Project.
8. The expansion and improvement of the community's supply of housing, including low- and moderate income housing.
9. The provision of an environment for social and economic growth.
10. The preservation and/or restoration, where feasible, of historically or architecturally significant structures.
11. The provision of opportunities for participation by owners and business tenants in the revitalization of their properties.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

Section 2. It is hereby determined that the Second Amendment submitted by the Agency is necessary and desirable.

Section 3. The City Council hereby finds and determines, based on the evidence in the record, including, but not limited to, the Agency's Report to the City Council and all documents referenced in the Report, and evidence and testimony received at the joint public hearing on adoption of the Second Amendment held on May 25, 1999, that:

The Merged Project was found and determined to be a blighted area in Ordinance No. 86-066 adopted by the City Council, and blight remains in the Merged Project Area. The continued redevelopment of the Merged Project Area is necessary to effectuate the public purposes declared in the Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.).

b) The combined blighted conditions cited above continue to be so prevalent and so substantial that it causes a reduction of, or lack, of proper utilization of the area to such an extent that it constitutes a serious physical and economic burden on the community which cannot reasonably be expected to be reversed or alleviated by private enterprise or governmental action, or both, without redevelopment, requiring the continued use of redevelopment in the interest of the health, safety and general welfare of the people of the City and the State. This finding is based on the fact that governmental action available to the City without redevelopment would be insufficient to cause any significant correction of the blighting conditions, and that the nature and costs of actions required to correct the blighting conditions continue to be beyond the capacity of the City and cannot be undertaken or home by private enterprise acting alone or in concert with available governmental action.

c) Adoption of the Second Amendment will assist in the continued redevelopment of the Merged Project in conformity with the Community Redevelopment Law and in the interests of the public peace, health, safety and welfare. This finding is based upon the fact that the purposes of the Community Redevelopment Law would be attained by the Merged Project: by eliminating areas suffering from economic dislocation or disuse; by replanning, redesigning and revitalizing areas which are stagnant or improperly utilized, and which actions could not be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without public participation and assistance; by protecting and promoting sound development and redevelopment of blighted areas; by improving the general welfare of the citizens of the City by remedying such injurious conditions through appropriate means; and by other appropriate activities.

d) The Second Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Sacramento, including, but not limited to, the housing element, which substantially complies with the requirements of Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580) of Chapter 3 of Division I of Title 7 of the Government Code. This finding is based on the finding of the Planning Commission of the City of Sacramento that the Second Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Sacramento.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

e) The adoption and carrying out of the Second Amendment will promote the public peace, health, safety, and welfare of the City of Sacramento and will effectuate the purposes and policies of the Community Redevelopment Law. This finding is based on the fact that redevelopment will benefit the Merged Project by continuing to correct conditions of blight and by continuing to coordinate public and private actions to stimulate development and improve the economic and physical conditions of the Merged Project, and by continuing to increase employment opportunities within the City.

f) The extension of the time period during which condemnation of non-residential properties and the ability to acquire a parcel containing an owner occupied single family residence, pursuant to a written consent of the affected property owner, are necessary to the execution of the Second Amendment and adequate provisions have been made for the payment for property to be acquired as provided by law. This finding is based upon the need to ensure that the provisions of the Amended Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Second Amendment, will be carried out and to prevent the recurrence of blight, and the fact that no property will be acquired until adequate funds are available to pay full compensation for it.

g) The Agency has a feasible method and plan for the relocation of families and persons who might be displaced, temporarily or permanently from housing facilities in the Merged Project. The Agency also has a feasible method and plan for the relocation of businesses. This finding is based upon the fact that the Amended Redevelopment Plan provides for relocation assistance according to law and the fact that such assistance, including relocation payments, constitutes a feasible method for relocation.

h) There are, or shall be provided, within the Merged Project or within other areas not generally less desirable with regard to public utilities and public and commercial facilities and at rents or prices within the financial means of the families and persons who might be displaced from the Merged Project, decent, safe and sanitary dwellings equal in number to the number of and available to such displaced families and persons and reasonably accessible to their places of employment. This finding is based upon the fact that no person or family will be required to move from any dwelling unit until suitable replacement housing is available for occupancy which meets the standards established in State law and regulations: Families and persons shall not be displaced before the adoption of a relocation plan pursuant to Sections 33411 and 33411.1 of the Community Redevelopment Law. Dwelling units housing persons and families of low or moderate income shall not be removed or destroyed prior to the adoption of a replacement housing plan pursuant to Sections 33334.5, 33413, and 33413.5 of the Community Redevelopment Law. This finding is made in accordance with Community Redevelopment Law and on the fact that adequate and appropriate sites within the Merged Project Area and other locations throughout the City will be available for replacement housing.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

i) The elimination of blight and the redevelopment of the Merged Project Area could not reasonably be expected to be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without the aid and assistance of the Agency. This finding is based upon the continued existence of blighting conditions and influences, and the inability of individual owners and developers to economically remove these blighting conditions and influences without continued substantial public assistance.

j) The matters set forth in Section 33367(d)(9), (d)(10), (d)(12), and (d)(13) of the Community Redevelopment Law are not applicable to or affected by the Revised Second Amendment and consequently, as provided in Section 33457.1 of the Community Redevelopment Law, no further findings with respect to such matters are warranted or required.

Section 4. The City Council is satisfied that permanent housing facilities will be available within three years from the time residential occupants of the Merged Project are displaced, if any, and that pending the development of such facilities, there will be available to any such displaced residential occupants temporary housing facilities at rents comparable to those in the City of Sacramento at the time of their displacement. No persons or families of low and moderate income shall be displaced from residences unless and until there is a suitable housing unit available and ready for occupancy by such displaced persons or families at rents comparable to those at the time of their displacement. Such housing units shall be suitable to the needs of such displaced persons or families and must be decent, safe, sanitary and otherwise standard dwellings.

Section 5. The Second Amendment, including the Amended Redevelopment Plan, is incorporated in this resolution by this reference and made a part of it as if fully set forth in the body of this resolution. This Second Amendment is approved and adopted. Ordinance Nos. 2681 (Fourth Series), 2992 (Fourth Series), 4226 (Fourth Series), 86-063 (Fourth Series), 86-066 (Fourth Series); 1936 (Fourth Series), 1969 (Fourth Series), 2088 (Fourth Series), 2209 (Fourth Series), 2288 (Fourth Series), 2406 (Fourth Series), 2422 (Fourth Series), 2736 (Fourth Series), 3312 (Fourth Series), 86-064 (Fourth Series); 2208 (Fourth Series), 2423 (Fourth Series), 2722 (Fourth Series), 2991 (Fourth Series), 86-065 (Fourth Series); 3146 (Fourth Series), 4122 (Fourth Series), 4400 (Fourth Series), 86-067; and 94-046; and the Amended Redevelopment Plan adopted and amended pursuant to such Ordinances for the Merged Downtown Sacramento Redevelopment Project are hereby amended by the Second Amendment so that the Amended Redevelopment Plan as adopted by Ordinance Nos. 2681 (Fourth Series), 1936 (Fourth Series), 2208 (Fourth Series), and 3146 (Fourth Series), and amended by Ordinance Nos. 2992 (Fourth Series), 4226 (Fourth Series), 86-063 (Fourth Series), 86-066 (Fourth Series); 1969 (Fourth Series), 2088 (Fourth Series), 2209 (Fourth Series), 2288 (Fourth Series), 2406 (Fourth Series), 2422 (Fourth Series), 2736 (Fourth Series), 3312 (Fourth Series), 86-064 (Fourth Series); 2423 (Fourth Series), 2722 (Fourth Series), 2991 (Fourth Series), 86-065 (Fourth Series); 4122 (Fourth Series), 4400 (Fourth Series), 86-067; and 94-046, is replaced by the Amended Redevelopment Plan. By this action, the Amended Redevelopment Plan is hereby designated as the official Redevelopment Plan for the Merged Project Area.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

Section 6. All written and oral objections to the adoption of Second Amendment have been considered evidenced by Resolution No. _____ adopted by the City Council on _____, 1999.

Section 7. In order to implement and facilitate the effectuation of the Second Amendment hereby approved, this City Council hereby: (a) pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out the Amended Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Second Amendment, is, (b) requests the various officials, departments, boards, and agencies of the City having administrative responsibilities in the Merged Project likewise to cooperate to such end and to exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner consistent with redevelopment of the Merged Project, and (c) stands ready to consider and take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to effectuate the Second Amendment.

Section 8. The City Clerk is hereby directed to send a certified copy of this Ordinance to the Agency, whereupon the Agency is vested with the responsibility for carrying out the Amended Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Second Amendment.

Section 9. The City Clerk is hereby directed to record with the County Recorder of Sacramento County a description of the land within the Merged Project Area and a statement that proceedings for the redevelopment of the Merged Project previously instituted under the Community Redevelopment Law, are continuing pursuant to the Second Amendment.

Section 10. The Building Department of the City of Sacramento is hereby directed for a period of two (2) years after the effective date of this Ordinance to advise all applicants for building permits within the Merged Project that the site for which a building permit is sought for the construction of buildings or for other improvements is within a redevelopment project.

Section 11. The City Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of the description and statement recorded pursuant to Section 9 of this Ordinance, a copy of this Ordinance, and a map or plat indicating the boundaries of the Merged Project Area, to the Auditor-Controller and Assessor of the County of Sacramento, to the governing body of each of the taxing agencies which receives taxes from property in the Merged Project Area, and to the State Board of Equalization, no later than thirty (30) days following the adoption of this Ordinance.

Section 12. The City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to certify the passage of this Ordinance and to cause the same to be published in the Sacramento Bee, a newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City of Sacramento.

Section 13. If any part of this Ordinance or the Second Amendment which it approves is held to be invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance or of the Second Amendment, and this City Council hereby declares that it would have passed the remainder of the Ordinance or approved the remainder of the Second Amendment if such invalid portion thereof had been deleted.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

Section 14. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect thirty (30) days after passage.

ADOPTED this _____ day of May, 1999.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

ORDINANCE NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____