

MINUTES

OF THE

SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
SACRAMENTO CITY FINANCING AUTHORITY

REGULAR MEETING

January 16, 2001

CALL TO ORDER

The Regular Meeting of the Sacramento City Council was called to order by Mayor Heather Fargo at 2:08 p.m. on the above date in the City Council Chamber located at 915 I Street.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Cohn, Hammond, Jones, Pannell, Sheedy, Waters, Yee, and Mayor Fargo

Absent: None

1.0 CONSENT CALENDAR (Items 1.1 through 1.11)

1.1 Application for State Grant Funding for Code Enforcement (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommendation adopted.

1.2 Neighborhood Landscaping District Annexation #17 - Resolution of Intent: (D-2)

- A. Accept landowner petitions and initiate proceedings to annex territory;
- B. Approve boundary map of area to be annexed;
- C. Approve Resolution of Intention to annex territory and levy an assessment upon the annexed property;
- D. Preliminarily approve an engineer's report and set a time and place for a hearing of protests. Hearing to be held March 6, 2001, 2:00 P.M.

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: A-D: ADOPT RESOLUTIONS

Adopted resolutions 2001-029, 2001-030, 2001-031, and 2001-032.

RESOLUTION 2001-029

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING LANDOWNER PETITION AND INITIATING PROCEEDINGS TO ANNEX TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD LANDSCAPING DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 (ANNEXATION NO. 17)

RESOLUTION 2001-030

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO APPROVING BOUNDARY MAP OF AREA TO BE ANNEXED TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD LANDSCAPING DISTRICT (ANNEXATION NO. 17)

RESOLUTION 2001-031

A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO ANNEX TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD LANDSCAPING DISTRICT AND TO LEVY AN ASSESSMENT UPON THE ANNEXED PROPERTY TO FINANCE THE COST OF MAINTENANCE SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED TO THE ANNEXED PROPERTY PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 (ANNEXATION NO. 17)

RESOLUTION 2001-032

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO PRELIMINARILY APPROVING AN ENGINEER'S REPORT ON MAINTENANCE SERVICES IN AND FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD LANDSCAPING DISTRICT, AS REVISED TO REFLECT ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY AND FIXING THE TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING OF PROTESTS TO THE PROVISION OF MAINTENANCE SERVICES, THE EXTENT OF THE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT AND THE LEVY OF THE ASSESSMENT AND PROVIDING FOR PROPERTY OWNER BALLOTS FOR SUCH ASSESSMENT DISTRICT (ANNEXATION NO. 17)

- 1.3 Annexation #2 to the North Natomas Neighborhood Landscaping Community Facilities District (CFD) Annexation No. 99-02 - initiate proceedings to levy a special tax and set a hearing date of February 20, 2001, 2:00 p.m. (D-1)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION

Adopted Resolution 2001-033.

RESOLUTION 2001-033

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO
DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO ANNEX
TERRITORY TO THE NORTH NATOMAS
NEIGHBORHOOD LANDSCAPING COMMUNITY
FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 99-02, CITY OF
SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND TO LEVY A
SPECIAL TAX THEREIN TO FINANCE
MAINTENANCE SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED
WITHIN SAID DISTRICT (ANNEXATION NO.
2)

- 1.4 Change Order No. 2 for Haggin Oaks Drainage/Irrigation Project (PN: OB56) Well Replacement (D-All)
- A. Approve Change Order #2 with Nor Cal Pump and Well Services in the amount of \$204,626.29;
 - B. Ratify the City Manager's prior approval of Change Order No. 1 and restore the City Managers authority to issue change orders on this contract

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION

Adopted Resolution 2001-034.

RESOLUTION NO. 2001-034

HAGGIN OAKS DRAINAGE/IRRIGATION
PROJECT (PN: OB56) WELL REPLACEMENT.
APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2

- 1.5 Change Order Nos. 4, 5 and 8 for the Haggin Oaks Drainage/Irrigation Project, appropriate funds as directed in resolution No. 2000-714, dated December 12, 200, ratify City Manager's prior actions, and restore City Manager's authority (PN:OB56) (D-2)
- A. Approve Change Order #4 and 5 previously issued to Key Turf Construction for the Haggin Oaks Drainage/Irrigation Project (Contract No. CO 00-033), in the amounts of \$368,750.00 and \$130,481.00, respectively;
 - B. Approve Change Order #8, with Key Turf Construction (Contract No. CO 00-33) for the amount of \$1,367,382.71 (Attachment A);
 - C. Ratify the City Manager's prior actions executing of Change Orders No. 1, 2,3, 6 and 7 and restore the City Managers authority to issue change orders on this contract;
 - D. Appropriate funds to OB56

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: A-D ADOPT RESOLUTION

Adopted resolution 2001-035.

RESOLUTION 2001-035

APPROVE CHANGE ORDER NOS. 4, 5 AND 8
FOR THE HAGGIN OAKS
DRAINAGE/IRRIGATION PROJECT (PN: OB56)
/ APPROPRIATE FUNDS AS DIRECTED IN
RESOLUTION NO. 2000-741, DATED
DECEMBER 12, 2000 / RATIFY CITY
MANAGER'S PRIOR ACTIONS / AND RESTORE
MANAGER'S AUTHORITY

- 1.6 Approval of Artist, Proposal and Agreement to purchase artwork (\$198,000) from Artist Gordon Huether of Huether + Partners for the Midtown Parking Garage C Public Art Project (VD72) (D-1)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTIONS APPROVING AGREEMENTS

Adopted Resolution 2001-036 approving Agreement 2001-008.

RESOLUTION 2001-036

RESOLUTION APPROVING OF \$198,000
AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE ARTWORK FROM
ARTIST GORDON HUETHER OF
HUETHER+PARTNERS FOR THE MIDTOWN
PARKING GARAGE C PROJECT (805 14TH
STREET) (CIP #VD72)

- 1.7 Approval of Final Map and Subdivision Improvement Agreement entitled "River View #2 Village 3A located north of San Juan Road and east of El Centro Road(98-080) (D-1)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENT

Adopted Resolution 2001-037 approving Agreement 2001-009.

RESOLUTION 2001-037

APPROVING FINAL MAP AND SUBDIVISION
IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT ENTITLED "RIVER
VIEW #2, VILLAGE 3A" (P98-080)

- 1.8 Approval of Final Map and Subdivision Improvement Agreement entitled "River View #2 Village 4A located north of San Juan Road and east of El Centro Road. (98-080) (D-1)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENT

Adopted Resolution 2001-038 approving Agreement 2001-010

RESOLUTION 2001-038

APPROVING FINAL MAP AND SUBDIVISION
IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT ENTITLED "RIVER
VIEW #2, VILLAGE 4A" (P98-080)

- 1.9 Approval of Modification of Funding Agreement No. 2000-114 with U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation for Water Intake Fish Screen Improvements at the Sacramento River Water Treatment Plan (PN:ZF56) and the E.A. Fairbairn Water Treatment Plant (PN:ZF46) (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION

Adopted Resolution 2001-039.

RESOLUTION 2001-039

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY
MANAGER AND CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE A
MODIFICATION TO CITY AGREEMENT NO.
2000-114 BETWEEN THE CITY OF
SACRAMENTO AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION
FOR INTAKE FISH SCREEN IMPROVEMENTS AT
THE SACRAMENTO RIVER WATER TREATMENT
PLAN (PN: ZF56) AND THE E.A. FAIRBAIRN
WATER TREATMENT PLANT (PN: ZF46)

- 1.10 Meeting agenda items for the Joint Meeting with West Sacramento, scheduled for the evening of January 23, 2001 (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: FOR COUNCIL INFORMATION

Moved to Item 4.2.

- 1.11 Donation of surplus Memorial Auditorium chairs and carts to the friends of Sacramento Memorial Auditorium. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION

Adopted Resolution 2001-040

RESOLUTION 2001-040

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DONATION OF
SURPLUS MEMORIAL AUDITORIUM CHAIRS AND
CARTS TO THE FRIENDS OF SACRAMENTO
MEMORIAL AUDITORIUM

- 1.12 Request to open an application period for the Housing Code Advisory and Appeals Services for one (1) seat due to term expiration. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: OPEN APPLICATION PERIOD; 1/16/01;
APPLICATIONS DUE: 2/16/01

Application period opened.

- 1.13 Request to open an application period for the Meadowview development Committee for six (6) seats due to term expiration. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: OPEN APPLICATION PERIOD; 1/16/01;
APPLICATIONS DUE: 2/16/01

Application period opened.

2.0 SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS/GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS

None

3.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS

- 3.1 Extension of Temporary Alley Closures of thirty-one (31) Alleys for eighteen (18) months. (D-5)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: CLOSE HEARING;
ADOPT RESOLUTION

Harold Stewart, Neighborhood Services Resources Coordinator, presented the report which requests council to authorize the extension of the temporary street closures for an additional eighteen months.

Councilmember Hammond asked what the next step should be, since the maximum legal time the alleys can be closed has almost expired. She asked how many alleys are in District 5.

Mr. Stewart responded that there were approximately 50 alleys in District 5. The next step would be to begin the alley closure process again.

Councilmember Hammond asked who the future alley coordinator will be. She expressed her frustration due to a large turnover of staff in this position, and asked City Manager Bob Thomas to look into this issue.

Bob Thomas, City Manager, responded that there were actually two issues before council: (1) implementing the closures more quickly, and (2) reviewing the context of the State law in an effort to change the process.

Harold Stewart noted that page 2, item 2, of the report's resolution had been changed. This was the section which stipulated the length of the street closure; the renewal should indicate just 18 months.

Mark Gillett, City resident, expressed concern about re-opening the alleys due to a problem with prostitution and theft in his neighborhood. There are no lights in the alley and it is very dark.

Marie Beasley, City resident, agreed with keeping the alleys closed and requested that the securing chain length fencing be thicker.

Max Fernandez, Area Director, responded that thicker fencing seemed possible and his staff will look into the matter.

Councilmember Hammond reiterated that Council has been everything possible to keep alleys closed.

A motion was made by Councilmember Hammond, seconded by Vice-Mayor Pannell, to adopt the resolution. The motion carried with a vote of 8-0.

Adopted Resolution 2001-041.

RESOLUTION 2001-041

RESOLUTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT
REGARDING EXTENDING THE TEMPORARY
CLOSURE OF THE THIRTY-ONE (31) ALLEYS
LOCATED WITHIN COUNCIL DISTRICT 5 THAT
WERE INITIALLY APPROVED FOR TEMPORARY
CLOSURE BY CITY COUNCIL ON AUGUST 30,
1994 (RESOLUTION NO. 94-536); TSC00-01

4.0 STAFF REPORTS

4.1 Fiscal Year 2001/2002 Transportation Sales Tax Expenditure Plan (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: ADOPT RESOLUTION

Nicole Olate, Transportation Analyst, presented the report. She stated the fiscal year 2001-2002 expenditure plans were based on the transportation CIP (Capital Improvement Project), outlined in attachment A, and which were reviewed again in Attachment B. Some funds have been shifted. The two items from Measure A which have now been recommended for funding in 2002 are the plan to elevate Northgate Boulevard and the road reconstruction program.

Mayor Fargo asked if the portion of the elevate Northgate Boulevard project listed here was delayed, would that delay the whole entire project.

Nicole Olate responded that the project would not be affected; the funding would be altered. The traffic safety signal program would be 100 percent Measure A funded. Fernandez reconstruction would be a repayment of interdepartment funding.

Councilmember Jones asked some detailed technical questions about the red-light running program, and the difficulties in funding the program.

Angie Fong, Supervising Engineer, responded that the problem has not been in implementing the red light running program, but in collecting the revenues associated with that program. It has been a problem with the State and County and the dispute is complex and on-going.

Bob Thomas, City Manager, noted that there have been two problems with the program. The first problem was the legal posting issue; the signs have now

been put up and properly posted. The second issue has been the County court's ability to identify the amount of money collected from traffic citations versus red light running, and get that money to the City of Sacramento.

Councilmember Hammond asked if these funds could be spent on existing or new staff, to which Angie Fong responded it could be spent on existing staff.

Councilmember Cohn made a motion, seconded by Vice-Mayor Pannell, to adopt the resolution. The motion carried with a vote of 8-0.

Adopted Resolution 2001-042.

RESOLUTION 2001-042

RESOLUTION APPROVING APPROPRIATIONS
FOR THE TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX
EXPENDITURE PLAN AND AMENDMENT TO THE
TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001/2002

- 4.2 Meeting agenda items for the Joint Meeting with West Saramento, scheduled for the evening of January 23, 2001 (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: FOR COUNCIL INFORMATION

Greg Shulte, Administrative Services Officer, Economic Development Department, presented the report. He briefly outlined that the agenda for the joint evening meeting with the West Sacramento City Council would consist of three parts: (1) action items; (2) satus items; (3) informational items. Dinner beforehand at 5:30 P.M. There will be a dinner beforehand at 5:30 p.m.

Information was received and filed.

5.0 **SHRA**

5.1 Public Hearings

Stockton Boulevard West 9th/10th Avenue Property Acquisition - Resolution of Necessity (D-5)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: CONTINUE TO JANUARY 30, 2001 (STAFF REQUEST)

A motion was made by Councilmember Hammond, seconded by Vice-Mayor Pannell to continue this item to the meeting of January 30, 2001. The motion carried 7-0 with Councilmember Cohn being absent.

- 5.2 Conflict of Interest Code Amendments (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: CLOSE HEARING;
 ADOPT AGENCY RESOLUTION;
 ADOPT AUTHORITY RESOLUTION

Joan Roberts, Director of Public and Internal Communication, SHRA, presented the report. She noted this is an update to their regular process of amending the Redevelopment Agency's Conflict of Interest Code.

Councilmember Cohn made a motion, seconded by Councilmember Hammond to adopt the resolutions. The motion carried with a vote of 8-0.

Adopted Redevelopment Agency Resolution 2001-003 and Housing Authority Resolution 2001-001.

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY RESOLUTION 2001-003

AMENDMENT OF DESIGNATED EMPLOYEE LIST
FOR CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE

HOUSING AUTHORITY RESOLUTION 2001-001

AMENDMENT OF DESIGNATED EMPLOYEE LIST
FOR CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE

- 5.3 Acquisition of 810 J Street (D-1):
- A. Establish just compensation for a commercial-zoned parcel located at 810 J Street (APN006-0097-004);
 - B. Execute an Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Real Property with the owner of the property for not substantially more than just compensation, and to take all other actions necessary to acquire the subject properties;
 - C. Amend the Agency budget to transfer \$500,000 from Developer's Assistance (630-100-3071) to the Downtown Development predevelopment budget (206-500-KC67)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: CLOSE HEARING;
 ADOPT AGENCY RESOLUTION

Michelle Nelson, Senior Management Analyst, presented the report. The Redevelopment Agency has recommended the establishment of just compensation and authorization to purchase a parcel located on the south side of J Street in the Merged Downtown Redevelopment Project Area, between 8th and 9th Streets in the Central Business Center area. Acquisition of the parcel would contribute toward eliminating blight in the J Street commercial corridor and achieving other Downtown revitalization goals, plans and policies. The proposed action will also enable the Agency to acquire a strategically located site that can subsequently be consolidated with, and developed in conjunction with, future development of the half block.

Councilmember Jones asked when the project will actually be visible.

Ms. Nelson responded that the project is currently in the environmental review process. The Agency will be meeting with the Planning Commission and Design and Review very soon. This project should be coming back to council

at the end of March.

A motion was made by Councilmember Hammond, seconded by Councilmember Jones, to adopt the resolution. The motion carried with a vote of 8-0.

Adopted Redevelopment Agency Resolution 2001-004.

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY RESOLUTION 2001-004

ESTABLISHING JUST COMPENSATION FOR A
PARCEL LOCATED AT 810 J STREET (APN
006-0097-004) AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY
MANAGER TO CARRY OUT ALL NECESSARY
ACTIONS RELATED TO ACQUISITION

6.0 REGIONAL ISSUES

- 6.1 Comments on the draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) regarding Sacramento County's General Plan Amendment for long-term planning in North Natomas or other appropriate areas. (D-1)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: FOR COUNCIL INFORMATION, REVIEW AND COMMENT

Gary Stonehouse, Director of Planning, presented the report. He noted that today's report is centered around receiving comments on the Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Bob Thomas, City Manager, responded that this issue concerned the City's "sphere of influence". The Council may want to refer any concerns to Councilmember Hammond who is involved with the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO).

Fred Budari, Senior Planner, noted that the City received many EIRs from other entities regarding projects which are near our jurisdiction. EIR's adjacent to City Limits may not address future City land use policy. The Planning Department will transmit any of today's council comments today to the county immediately.

The County EIR outlined three options for the City to consider: (1) modify the general plan land use diagram to include the project area in the urban services boundary which would essentially move the urban services boundary; (2) modify the land use diagram and add a general plan policy that would allow the moving of the urban services boundary to include all or part of the 6,519 acre project area based upon an approval of a supermajority vote of the Board of Supervisors for a specifically identified area, and; (3) adding or modifying a general plan policy to allow infrastructure planning without moving the urban services boundary, including being in a city's sphere of influence or as initiated by the Board of Supervisors.

The County requested an immediate response to the following: an alternative based upon input from the Natomas Community Association and the Natomas Community Planning Advisory Council, (2) an additional alternative based on different land use projections. There were other areas for comment outlined in the report.

Mayor Fargo noted that Council's comments were due to the County by 5:00 p.m. today. She stated she was disturbed by some issues in the County's EIR, specifically agricultural preservation and the habitat protection program. Making a move of this magnitude moving the urban services boundary could be growth inducing and damaging to the City of Sacramento. There are several issues involved here which affect the City.

Councilmember Hammond reminded staff that AB2838 implemented new requirements with LAFCO. One of the changes was that entities are no longer required to appear before LAFCO, although the whole point of LAFCO was to be helpful by being proactive. She noted that she is concerned that if Council does not take a strong stand, other entities may overrun the City's policies. In this past year, Sacramento County as a whole has become more incorporated than unincorporated.

Bob Thomas stated there were a number of policies which will be coming to council for information.

Councilmember Cohn expressed his disappointment over the County's EIR and stated it goes against the principles the City and County had agreed upon. Urban growth boundaries in the Natomas area should be established by the City and the City has announced policies to protect development. If there are areas to be developed, it should be done in ways to preserve and enhance. The City should not be competing with the County.

Councilmember Yee stated that this EIR raised a number of questions, and option number two disturbs him the most. The City and County have been discussing the sphere of influence for a long time. How could the County's decision affect the City's sphere of influence?

Bob Thomas replied that the County's proposal was the result of the property owner's request to urbanize, not as a result of the City's discussion with the County. Council adopted certain standards for open spaces, which needed to be on a regional basis.

Gary Stonehouse noted that the report confused the issues and to which entity to go to for answers. Council would have the option to go to LAFCO and annex property and large sphere of influence.

Bob Thomas advised that this would allow people to go to their choice of either the City or County to get approval for entitlements. It would be wiser to annex the property and retain the City's approval authority.

Councilmember Jones clarified that this was not a turf battle, but an issue of smart growth and land use. He asked where the County stood on the issues of habitat conservancy, infill policy, mixed land use, and housing density. The County has not taken as aggressive a position on these issues as the City. The City has a very good record in these areas, which surpasses other entities. We should ask the County to analyze their policies versus those of the City in these areas and implementation practices. The question was, who would be the best steward of that property.

Mayor Fargo stated that the issues were whether or not, and how much, land should be developed. Sometimes the best use of the land would be in leaving it farm land.

Councilmember Waters asked if current pending items would be affected in any future sphere of influence decisions, to which Gary Stonehouse replied they would not be affected.

Marni Leger, concerned citizen, asked staff to add that this would have a very negative impact on the Smart Growth Plan for North Natomas, and the Natomas Community Plan. These plans have been centered around flood control, habitat, and air quality. If the land out to the Sutter County line was developed, all drainage would come to Natomas. She asked if additional time could be requested in the letter to the County.

Mayor Fargo concurred with requesting an extension of time be included in letter to County. Development could affect the City's infill projects.

Report information received and filed.

7.0 COUNCIL IDEAS AND QUESTIONS

7.1 Councilmember Yee urged all City staff and constituents to do everything possible to conserve power during this energy usage alert.

8.0 CITIZENS ADDRESSING COUNCIL (MATTERS NOT ON AGENDA)

8.1 Stephanie Trake stated she feels the new proposed fees at Haggin Oaks golf course are unfair to those who do not use a golf cart and who do not use range balls.

9.0 RECESSED INTO CLOSED SESSION at 3:30 p.m.

SHRA

9.1 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6 - Chief Negotiators: Ed Takach and SHRA Executive Director, Anne Moore, to discuss tentative labor agreements negotiations with recognized employee organization - American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 146

COUNCIL

9.2 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(b) for matters pertaining to threatened litigation: one potential case

9.3 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(a) for matters pertaining to pending litigation in the case of National Wildlife Federation, et al. vs. Bruce Babbitt, et al., United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. CIV-S-99-274 DFL/JFM.

9.4 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6 - Chief Negotiator: Dee Contreras to discuss matters pertaining to negotiations with recognized employee organizations which include: Sacramento Area Fire Fighters, Local 522; Sacramento Police Officers Association

- 9.5 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6 - Chief Negotiator: Dee Contreras to discuss matters pertaining to negotiations with recognized employee organizations which include: Stationary Engineers, Local 39; International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers; Sacramento-Sierra's Building and Construction Trades Council; and Western Council of Engineers. Sacramento Area Fire Fighters, Local 522; Sacramento Police Officers Association

ADJOURNMENT at 5:10 p.m.

Submitted



Valerie Burrowes, City Clerk

Approved



Heather Fargo, Mayor