



Metropolitan Water Planning

1.6

January 29, 1999

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

FEB 9 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Sacramento City County
Office of Metropolitan
Water Planning

5770 Freeport Boulevard
Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95822

Phone: 916-433-6276
FAX: 916-433-6295

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 8 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 96-029 WITH SURFACE WATER RESOURCES, INC., FOR THE CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER PLANNING (\$125,000)

LOCATION/COUNCIL DISTRICT: City-County

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the execution of Supplement No. 8 to City Agreement No. 96-029 with Surface Water Resource, Inc., for an amount not to exceed \$125,000 for the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) work needed for the **Water Forum Agreement** and Final EIR during Fiscal Year 1998-99.

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Davidson, Senior Administrative Officer, 433-6287

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: February 9, 1999

SUMMARY:

This report identifies the need for continued environmental impact report consulting services for the **Water Forum** and the City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning (CCOMWP) for the development of the final **Water Forum Agreement** and Final Environmental Impact Report.

COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION: None

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- On February 27, 1996, the City Council approved a Professional Services Agreement with Hydrologic Consultants, Inc., for \$70,730.62 to provide professional services to the CCOMWP for the development of an EIR related the development of a **Water Forum Agreement**. Hydrologic consultants, Inc., has since reorganized and changed its name to surface Water Resources, Inc.
- Between August 20, 1996 and April 23, 1998, Supplements numbered 1 – 7 to City Agreement No. 96-029 with Surface Water Resources, Inc., for professional services to the CCOMWP were approved for a total amount of \$701,691.

The scope of work under Supplement No. 8 of the City Agreement No. 96-029 with Surface Water Resources, Inc., continues the working partnership with the CCOMWP staff and other EIR consulting firm EDAW, Inc. and includes:

- completion of the **Water Forum's** Draft EIR.
- Initiation of evaluation of Draft EIR comments and initial preparation of responses.
- Preparation for and attendance at DEIR public meetings and hearings with
- Technical coordination and project management team meetings with CCOMWP staff and EDAW, Inc.
- Preparation of ad hoc data and response to information requests.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The current agreement with Surface Water Resources, Inc., is for a "not-to-exceed amount" of \$772,421.62. Supplement No. 8 to City Agreement No. 96-029 is for \$125,000. This would bring the new "not-to-exceed amount" to \$897,421.62. As of January 29, 1999, the 1998-99 operating budget for the CCOMWP had an unobligated amount of \$248,000 in line-item 4258 for policy/technical consulting services.

Funding for the CCOMWP during the 1998-1999 Fiscal Year is being provided by a number of local government entities which have agreed to cost-share this "transition period" of the **Water Forum** process. The CCOMWP is supported by a cost-sharing partnership among the City's Water Fund (34.7%), the County's Water Agency (Zone 13) (50%), the cities of Folsom (2.8%), Galt (0.7%), and Roseville (6.5%), the Placer County Water Agency (2.7%), and the San Juan Water District in Placer County (1.6%). The funding amount attributed to each of the cost-sharing partners is based upon the number of water customer's connections served by each entity. The El Dorado County Water Agency also contributes to the **Water Forum** process on behalf of the El Dorado Irrigation District and the Georgetown Divide Public Utility District.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:

This report recommends no action that would constitute a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

Section V of the Interagency Agreement between the City and County of Sacramento which established the CCOMWP, requires that all contracting procedures shall follow the procedures used by the City. The rationale for hiring the services of a consultant is the need for specialized professional EIR consulting services that are a continuation of the specific tasks being performed by the consultant for the **Water Forum** and the CCOMWP.

City Council
Supplement No. 8 to City Agreement No. 96-029
January 29, 1999

MBE/WBE EFFORTS:

The consultant, Surface Water Resources, Inc., does not qualify as an MBE/WBE firm. They are however uniquely qualified to continue to provide the EIR services sought by the *Water Forum* and the CCOMWP.

Respectfully submitted,



JONAS M. MINTON
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:



W WILLIAM H. EDGAR
City Manager

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

FEB 9 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 99-049

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER AND THE CITY CLERK
TO EXECUTE SUPPLEMENT NO. 8 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 96-029
WITH SURFACE WATER RESOURCES, INC., TO PROVIDE EIR CONSULTING
SERVICES FOR THE CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER
PLANNING IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$125,000.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

That the City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute
Supplement No. 8 to City Agreement No. 96-029 with Surface Water Resources,
Inc., to provide EIR consulting services for the *Water Forum* and the City-
County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning for an amount not to exceed
\$125,000.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____