

**SPECIAL MEETING SYNOPSIS**

Joint Session Meeting of the Sacramento City Council, Cable T.V. Commission and the County Board of Supervisors.

**SPECIAL MEETING SYNOPSIS FOR NOVEMBER 14, 1983**

<b>VOTING RECORD LEGEND:</b>			
VOTING RECORD REFLECTS FINAL VOTE OF COUNCIL			
MOV	MOVED	ABST:	ABSTAIN
SEC	SECOND	ABS:	ABSENT
M	MAYOR MILLER		
D1	SHORE	D5	SERNA
D2	FISHER	D6	GARCIA
D3	POPE	D7	KASTANIS
D4	RUDIN	DR	ROBTE

This Joint Session Meeting was held for the purpose of a preliminary report back from staff.

\*\*\*\*\*

The meeting adjourned at the hour of 4:37 P.M.

318 Park Av.,  
Galt, Ca.  
November 14, 1983

11-14-83  
meeting

Dear Members of Sacramento Metro C.T.C.,

Here's an important item I'd like to have discussed before we get to voting day:

In voting to grant the franchise---

Who is eligible to vote? (Will there be 3 separate entities voting?)

What percentage of votes is necessary to award the franchise?

Will the vote be by ballot? (I believe it should be).

What percentage of the vote will be necessary to rank a given second place, etc.?

These, and other voting considerations, should be publicly announced before the day of choosing the franchisee.

Another topic--

I believe that all of the negotiations should take place before the award; in other words, once the franchisee is selected there should be no protracted negotiations with that firm. Is a motion needed to clarify this attitude? At least, we should instruct the executive director as to the limitations of further negotiations.

Sincerely,

  
Orvell Fletcher