



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE  
**RECEIVED**  
JAN 12 1982

WILLIAM R. POWELL  
FIRE CHIEF

DEPARTMENT OF FIRE  
915 "I" STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814  
CITY HALL - ROOM 3 TEL. (916) 449-5267

January 13, 1982

Honorable City Council  
Council Chambers  
City Hall  
Sacramento, CA

Members in Session:

Subject: Resolution Setting a Hearing Date to Assess Costs of Weed Abatement as a Lien

SUMMARY:

Attached is a resolution setting a hearing for February 2, 1982, to assess the costs of various unpaid weed abatement work. This hearing is set in accordance with the provisions of the California Government and City Codes. Approval of the resolution is recommended.

BACKGROUND:

Resolution No. 80-051, January 22, 1980, declared certain parcels of property throughout the City of Sacramento to be a public nuisance due to weeds and rubbish and requiring that said nuisances be abated either by the owner of said property or by a City Contractor.

With the adoption of this Resolution, a form as prescribed by Chapter 13 of the California Government Code was issued to 14,000 property owners and a date was set of February 5, 1980, to hear objections of owners so notified.

Said hearing was held and on February 12, 1980, Resolution No. 80-071 was adopted over-ruling such objections and ordering the Weed Abatement Division to proceed with the abatement of weeds and rubbish throughout the City of Sacramento.

Bids were solicited from a group of 11 to 15 contractors and the award for abatement was made to the lowest bidder.

**APPROVED**  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JAN 20 1982

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

*HRG 2-2-82*

Honorable City Council

Physical abatement was made during the period April 4th to November 30th and 3,043 lots were abated by City Contractors and invoices issued in the amount of \$173,832.00. All lots were inspected by this office both prior to and after abatement work, and the charges verified as to performance and quality.

The charges that remain apparently are not going to be paid and this resolution effects the placing of the charges as a lien against the property. Inasmuch as the invoices were issued on February 24, 1981, it is felt that sufficient time has elapsed and that steps should be taken to record them as a special assessment lien in the manner provided by Government Code Sections 39560-39587.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is respectfully requested that a printout of these unpaid weed abatement charges, in the amount of \$52,865.00, be posted outside the Council Chambers as prescribed by law and that a public hearing be set for the date of February 2, 1982, to hear objections of affected property owners who may wish to voice any objections.

Respectfully submitted,

  
William R. Powell  
Fire Chief

Recommendation Approved:

  
Walter J. Slipe City Manager

Attachment

January 19, 1982  
All Districts

# RESOLUTION NO. 82-035

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL ON DATE OF

RESOLUTION SETTING A HEARING DATE TO ASSESS  
COSTS OF UNPAID WEED ABATEMENT WORK AS A LIEN

WHEREAS pursuant to the provisions of Section 39500 et seq. of the California Government Code, and City of Sacramento Resolution No. 80-051, dated January 22, 1980, and Resolution No. 80-071, dated February 12, 1980, the Fire Marshal has directed that abatement work be performed at the address hereto set forth, and

WHEREAS the owners of said property failed to perform abatement work as required by said provisions, and

WHEREAS the Fire Marshal ordered that said abatement work be done by the City and the costs of same be made a special assessment lien upon the property, and

WHEREAS said work has been done by contractors of the City, and

WHEREAS the owners of the property upon which abatement work was performed have not voluntarily paid the City for the costs of said abatement.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. That the City Council hold a hearing before it on February 2, 1982, in the City Council Chambers, 915 I Street, Sacramento, California, to hear and consider all protests, if there shall be any, and then affirm or reject the placing of the costs of such abatement work upon such property as a special assessment lien.
2. The property upon which said abatement work has been performed, and proposed lien is attached, Pages 1 through 17 and is to be posted outside the Council Chamber as prescribed by law.

ATTEST

<sup>a</sup>  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

**APPROVED**  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JAN 20 1982

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK