



Metropolitan Water Planning

1.16

June 19, 2000

City Council
Sacramento, California

APPROVED
JUL 18 2000
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Sacramento City-County
Office of Metropolitan
Water Planning

660 J Street
Suite 260
Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: 916-264-1999
FAX: 916-264-5286

Honorable Members in Session:

**SUBJECT: CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER PLANNING -
APPROVAL OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 10 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 91-
205 WITH JAMES L. McCORMACK, P.E. (\$112,320).**

LOCATION/COUNCIL DISTRICT: City-County

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the execution of Supplement No. 10 to City Agreement No. 91-205 with James L. McCormack, P.E. for an amount not to exceed \$112,320 for the "Successor Effort" of the **Water Forum** during the 2000-01 fiscal year.

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Davidson, Senior Administrative Officer, 264-1997

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: July 18, 2000

SUMMARY:

This report identifies the need for continued technical engineering services for the Water Forum Successor Effort (WFSE) and the City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning (CCOMWP) in the 2000-01 fiscal year.

COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION: None

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- On October 29, 1991, the City Council and Sacramento County Board of Supervisors approved the original budget and organization for the CCOMWP and approved the services of James L. McCormack, P.E., a retired county engineer with a high level of knowledge about the area's water resources.

City Council
Supplement No. 10 to City Agreement No. 91-205
June 19, 2000

- Supplemental agreements to original City Agreement No. 91-205 include:
 - Supplement No. 1 for Fiscal Year 1992-93
 - Supplement No. 2 for Fiscal Year 1993-94
 - Supplement No. 3 for Fiscal Year 1994-95
 - Supplement No. 4 for Fiscal Year 1995-96
 - Supplement No. 5 for Fiscal Year 1996-97
 - Supplement No. 6 for the first half of Fiscal Year 1997-98
 - Supplement No. 7 for the second half of Fiscal Year 1997-98
 - Supplement No. 8 for Fiscal Year 1998-99
 - Supplement No. 9 for Fiscal Year 1999-2000

The scope of work under Supplement No. 10 of City Agreement No. 91-205 with Mr. McCormack includes:

- Technical and policy assistance for the WFSE, in particular for the South Area groundwater management negotiations.
- Technical assistance in conversion of procedural agreements within the Water Forum Agreement to full Purveyor Specific Agreements;
- Liaison to and WFSE coordination of participation in other processes such as: SWCRB water right proceedings; the American River Operations Group; and the update of the LAR Flow Standard;
- Technical assistance in responding to changed conditions that might have water supply implications for the Water Forum Agreement;
- Technical assistance to purveyors to obtain approvals for major water supply projects included in the Water Forum Agreement.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The current agreement with Mr. McCormack is for a "not-to-exceed amount" of \$750,840. Supplement No. 10 to City Agreement No. 91-205 is for \$112,320. This would bring the new "not-to-exceed amount" to \$863,160. The FY 2000-01 WFSE portion of the operating budget for the CCOMWP has an unobligated amount of \$112,320 in line-item 513-880-8810-4252 for professional engineering consulting services.

Funding for the CCOMWP is being provided by a number of local government entities which have agreed to cost-share the WFSE. The WFSE is supported by a cost-sharing partnership among the City of Sacramento (Water Fund), the County's Water Agency (Zone 13), the cities of Folsom and Roseville, the Placer County Water Agency, the San Juan Water District in Placer County, the

City Council
Supplement No. 10 to City Agreement No. 91-205
June 19, 2000

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD), and the El Dorado County Water Agency (EDCWA). The funding amount attributed to each of the cost-sharing partners is based upon the number of water customers' connections served by each entity except for SMUD and the EDCWA, which will pay flat amounts.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:

This report recommends no action that would constitute a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

Section V of the Interagency Agreement requires that all contracting procedures shall follow the procedures used by the City. The rationale for hiring the services of a consultant is the need for specialized professional engineering services that are a continuation of the specific tasks being performed by the consultant for the Water Forum Successor Effort and the CCOMWP.

ESBD EFFORTS:

The City Office of Small Business Development has certified the consultant, Mr. McCormack, under the Emerging and Small Business Development program as a Small Business Enterprise. In addition to the certification, Mr. McCormack is uniquely qualified to continue to provide the specific technical engineering services sought by the Water Forum Successor Effort and the CCOMWP.

Respectfully submitted,


JONAS MINTON
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:



Robert P. Thomas
City Manager

APPROVED

JUL 18 2000

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 2000-433

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER AND THE CITY CLERK
TO EXECUTE SUPPLEMENT NO. 10 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 91-205
WITH JAMES L. McCORMACK, P.E. TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES
FOR THE CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER PLANNING
IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$112,320.**

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

That the City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute Supplement No. 10 to City Agreement No. 91-205 with James L. McCormack, P.E., to provide engineering services for the Water Forum Successor Effort and the City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning for an amount not to exceed \$112,320.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

4