

15



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING
915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
CITY HALL ROOM 207 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
SEP - 3 1980

R. H. PARKER
CITY ENGINEER
J. F. VAROZZA
ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

September 3, 1980

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving Negative Declaration for Lighted
Tennis Courts at Anthony School

SUMMARY:

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

BACKGROUND:

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On August 11, 1980 the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On August 20, 1980 Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in the Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RECOMMENDATION:

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Approve the Negative Declaration.
3. Approve the project.
4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

M. H. Johnson
for R.H. Parker
City Engineer

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe
Walter J. Slipe, City Manager

September 10, 1980
District No. 7

RESOLUTION No.

80-593

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

September 10, 1980

RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

WHEREAS, on August 11, 1980, R. H. Parker, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated project:

Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no appeals were received.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. That the proposed project Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School will not have a significant effect on the environment.

2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is hereby approved.

3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the purpose of Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SFP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF
INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR
LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL

Notice is hereby given that the City of Sacramento has conducted an Initial Study and prepared a Negative Declaration for the Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

The proposed project would consist of Construction of Two Night Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School.

Copies of the Initial Study and the Negative Declaration are available for review at the City Engineer's office, Room 207, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California.

In order for any public comments concerning the adequacy of said Initial Study and Negative Declaration or other matters relating thereto, to be considered, they must be submitted, in writing, to reach the City Clerk's office, Room 203, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California 95814 no later than August 31, 1980

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

Publish Once: 8/20/80

Cost Center No.: 2161

One Advance Proof Requested

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Location of Project:

DETROIT BOULEVARD, BETWEEN SHRADER CIRCLE AND BURLINGTON WAY IN OPEN AREA SOUTH OF ANTHONY SCHOOL BUILDINGS.

3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento

4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.

5. The Initial Study was Prepared by GARRETT D. CRISPELL

6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

ENDORSED:

Filed

DATED: 8-7-80

AUG 11 1980

J.A. Smith
BY AL WOODS
DEPUTY

Environmental Coordinator of
the City of Sacramento,
California, a municipal
corporation

RECEIVED
AUG 5 1980

By 
R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
ENGINEER'S OFFICE

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT
ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

AREA TO BE DEVELOPED IS OWNED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT. AREA IS BORDERED ON EAST BY DETROIT BOULEVARD, WITH
PRIVATE RESIDENCES ACROSS THE STREET, ON SOUTH BY PRIVATE RESIDENCES,
ON WEST AND NORTH BY ANTHONY SCHOOL PROPERTY AND BUILDINGS.

3. Environmental Effects - Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).

4. Mitigation Measures - Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).

5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

PROJECT CONFORMS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND GENERAL PLAN OF
THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.

Date AUGUST 1, 1980

Janeth D. Caspell
(Signature)

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

	Yes	Maybe	No
i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	—	—	<u>X</u>
4. <u>Plant Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	—	—	<u>X</u>
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	—	—	<u>X</u>
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	—	—	<u>X</u>
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	—	—	<u>X</u>
5. <u>Animal Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	—	—	<u>X</u>
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	—	—	<u>X</u>
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	—	—	<u>X</u>
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	—	—	<u>X</u>
6. <u>Noise.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u>X</u>	—	—
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	—	<u>X</u>	—
7. <u>Light and Glare.</u> Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	—	<u>X</u>	—
8. <u>Land Use.</u> Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	—	—	<u>X</u>
9. <u>Natural Resources.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	—	—	<u>X</u>
b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	—	—	<u>X</u>
10. <u>Risk of Upset.</u> Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	—	—	<u>X</u>
11. <u>Population.</u> Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	—	—	<u>X</u>
12. <u>Housing.</u> Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	—	—	<u>X</u>
13. <u>Transportation/Circulation.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	—	—	<u>X</u>
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	—	—	<u>X</u>
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	—	—	<u>X</u>
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	—	—	<u>X</u>
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	—	—	<u>X</u>
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	—	—	<u>X</u>
14. <u>Public Services.</u> Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
a. Fire protection?	—	—	<u>X</u>
b. Police protection?	—	—	<u>X</u>

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached additional sheets if necessary)

II. 1. b. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO TENNIS COURTS WILL RESULT IN THE DISRUPTION, DISPLACEMENT AND OVERCOVERING OF THE SOIL FOR THE AREA REQUIRED FOR THE TENNIS COURTS.

II. 6. a. THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE IN EXISTING NOISE LEVELS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE TENNIS COURTS AS A RESULT OF THE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT. FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE OVER THE CURRENT NOISE LEVELS WHEN THE TENNIS COURTS ARE IN USE, RESULTING FROM BALLS BOUNCING, BEING HIT BY RACKETS, AND CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE USERS OF THE COURTS.

II. 6. b. THERE MAY BE A SITUATION DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE WHERE PEOPLE COULD BE EXPOSED TO SEVERE NOISE LEVELS DEPENDING UPON THE SOIL COMPOSITION AND THE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR EXCAVATION OF THE COURT BASE.

II. 7. THE NIGHT LIGHTING MAY RESULT IN INCREASED GLARE WHEN THE COURTS ARE IN USE AT NIGHT.

II. 19. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS WILL IMPROVE THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF THE EXISTING RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

II. 1. b. NONE.

II. 6. a. NO MITIGATING MEASURES TO BE TAKEN DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE. PERIMETER LANDSCAPING WILL BE UTILIZED TO LESSEN THE IMPACT OF USE FOR THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS.

II. 6. b. NONE.

II. 7. LIGHTING AND GLARE AFFECTING THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS WILL BE HELD TO A MINIMUM BY THE USE OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING TO SCREEN OFF THE LIGHTS AND BY THE USE OF FIXTURES WHICH DIRECT THE LIGHT ONTO THE TENNIS COURTS, REDUCING THE AMOUNT OF SPILLOVER LIGHT.

II. 19. NONE.

**NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF
INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR
LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL**

Notice is hereby given that the City of Sacramento has conducted an Initial Study and prepared a Negative Declaration for the Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

The proposed project would consist of Construction of Two Night Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School.

Copies of the Initial Study and the Negative Declaration are available for review at the City Engineer's office, Room 207, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California.

In order for any public comments concerning the adequacy of said Initial Study and Negative Declaration or other matters relating thereto, to be considered, they must be submitted, in writing, to reach the City Clerk's office, Room 203, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California 95814 no later than August 31, 1980

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

Publish Once: 8/20/80

Cost Center No.: 2161

One Advance Proof Requested

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SFP 1 0 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Location of Project:

DETROIT BOULEVARD, BETWEEN SHRADER CIRCLE AND BURLINGTON WAY IN OPEN AREA SOUTH OF ANTHONY SCHOOL BUILDINGS.

3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento

4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.

5. The Initial Study was Prepared by GARRETT D. CRISPELL

6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

ENDORSED:

Filed

AUG 11 1980

DATED: 8-7-80

J.A. Woods
BY _____ AL WOODS
ENGINEER

Environmental Coordinator of
the City of Sacramento,
California, a municipal
corporation

By 
R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

RECEIVED
AUG 5 1980

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
ENGINEER'S OFFICE

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT
ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

AREA TO BE DEVELOPED IS OWNED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT. AREA IS BORDERED ON EAST BY DETROIT BOULEVARD, WITH
PRIVATE RESIDENCES ACROSS THE STREET, ON SOUTH BY PRIVATE RESIDENCES,
ON WEST AND NORTH BY ANTHONY SCHOOL PROPERTY AND BUILDINGS.

3. Environmental Effects - Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).

4. Mitigation Measures - Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).

5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

PROJECT CONFORMS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND GENERAL PLAN OF
THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.

Date AUGUST 1, 1980

Janeth D. Crispell
(Signature)

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

	Yes	Maybe	No
1. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	—	—	X
4. <u>Plant Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	—	—	X
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	—	—	X
5. <u>Animal Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	—	—	X
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	—	—	X
6. <u>Noise.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in existing noise levels?	X	—	—
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	—	X	—
7. <u>Light and Glare.</u> Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	—	X	—
8. <u>Land Use.</u> Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	—	—	X
9. <u>Natural Resources.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	—	—	X
b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	—	—	X
10. <u>Risk of Upset.</u> Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	—	—	X
11. <u>Population.</u> Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	—	—	X
12. <u>Housing.</u> Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	—	—	X
13. <u>Transportation/Circulation.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	—	—	X
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	—	—	X
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	—	—	X
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	—	—	X
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	—	—	X
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	—	—	X
14. <u>Public Services.</u> Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
a. Fire protection?	—	—	X
b. Police protection?	—	—	X

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached additional sheets if necessary)

II.1.b. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO TENNIS COURTS WILL RESULT IN THE DISRUPTION, DISPLACEMENT AND OVERCOVERING OF THE SOIL FOR THE AREA REQUIRED FOR THE TENNIS COURTS.

II.6.a. THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE IN EXISTING NOISE LEVELS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE TENNIS COURTS AS A RESULT OF THE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT. FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE OVER THE CURRENT NOISE LEVELS WHEN THE TENNIS COURTS ARE IN USE, RESULTING FROM BALLS BOUNCING, BEING HIT BY RACKETS, AND CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE USERS OF THE COURTS.

II.6.b. THERE MAY BE A SITUATION DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE WHERE PEOPLE COULD BE EXPOSED TO SEVERE NOISE LEVELS DEPENDING UPON THE SOIL COMPOSITION AND THE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR EXCAVATION OF THE COURT BASE.

II.7. THE NIGHT LIGHTING MAY RESULT IN INCREASED GLARE WHEN THE COURTS ARE IN USE AT NIGHT.

II.19. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS WILL IMPROVE THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF THE EXISTING RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

II.1.b. NONE.

II.6.a. NO MITIGATING MEASURES TO BE TAKEN DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE. PERIMETER LANDSCAPING WILL BE UTILIZED TO LESSEN THE IMPACT OF USE FOR THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS.

II.6.b. NONE.

II.7. LIGHTING AND GLARE AFFECTING THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS WILL BE HELD TO A MINIMUM BY THE USE OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING TO SCREEN OFF THE LIGHTS AND BY THE USE OF FIXTURES WHICH DIRECT THE LIGHT ONTO THE TENNIS COURTS, REDUCING THE AMOUNT OF SPILLOVER LIGHT.

II.19. NONE.

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF
INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR
LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL

Notice is hereby given that the City of Sacramento has conducted an Initial Study and prepared a Negative Declaration for the Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School

The proposed project would consist of Construction of Two Night Lighted Tennis Courts at Anthony School.

Copies of the Initial Study and the Negative Declaration are available for review at the City Engineer's office, Room 207, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California.

In order for any public comments concerning the adequacy of said Initial Study and Negative Declaration or other matters relating thereto, to be considered, they must be submitted, in writing, to reach the City Clerk's office, Room 203, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California 95814 no later than August 31, 1980

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

Publish Once: 8/20/80

Cost Center No.: 2161

One Advance Proof Requested

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Location of Project:

DETROIT BOULEVARD, BETWEEN SHRADER CIRCLE AND BURLINGTON WAY IN OPEN AREA SOUTH OF ANTHONY SCHOOL BUILDINGS.

3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento

4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.

5. The Initial Study was Prepared by GARRETT D. CRISPELL

6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

ENDORSED:

Filed

DATED: 8-7-80

AUG 13 1980

J.A. ...
BY _____ AL WOODS
E1807

Environmental Coordinator of
the City of Sacramento,
California, a municipal
corporation

By 
R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

RECEIVED
AUG 5 1980

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
ENGINEER'S OFFICE

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS AT
ANTHONY SCHOOL.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

AREA TO BE DEVELOPED IS OWNED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT. AREA IS BORDERED ON EAST BY DETROIT BOULEVARD, WITH
PRIVATE RESIDENCES ACROSS THE STREET, ON SOUTH BY PRIVATE RESIDENCES,
ON WEST AND NORTH BY ANTHONY SCHOOL PROPERTY AND BUILDINGS.

3. Environmental Effects - Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).

4. Mitigation Measures - Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).

5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

PROJECT CONFORMS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND GENERAL PLAN OF
THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.

Date AUGUST 1, 1980

Janeth D. Quispell
(Signature)

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

	Yes	Maybe	No
f. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	—	—	X
4. <u>Plant Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	—	—	X
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	—	—	X
5. <u>Animal Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	—	—	X
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	—	—	X
6. <u>Noise.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in existing noise levels?	X	—	—
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	—	X	—
7. <u>Light and Glare.</u> Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	—	X	—
8. <u>Land Use.</u> Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	—	—	X
9. <u>Natural Resources.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	—	—	X
b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	—	—	X
10. <u>Risk of Upset.</u> Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	—	—	X
11. <u>Population.</u> Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	—	—	X
12. <u>Housing.</u> Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	—	—	X
13. <u>Transportation/Circulation.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	—	—	X
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	—	—	X
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	—	—	X
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	—	—	X
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	—	—	X
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	—	—	X
14. <u>Public Services.</u> Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
a. Fire protection?	—	—	X
b. Police protection?	—	—	X

II.1.b. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO TENNIS COURTS WILL RESULT IN THE DISRUPTION, DISPLACEMENT AND OVERCOVERING OF THE SOIL FOR THE AREA REQUIRED FOR THE TENNIS COURTS.

II.6.a. THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE IN EXISTING NOISE LEVELS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE TENNIS COURTS AS A RESULT OF THE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT. FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE OVER THE CURRENT NOISE LEVELS WHEN THE TENNIS COURTS ARE IN USE, RESULTING FROM BALLS BOUNCING, BEING HIT BY RACKETS, AND CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE USERS OF THE COURTS.

II.6.B. THERE MAY BE A SITUATION DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE WHERE PEOPLE COULD BE EXPOSED TO SEVERE NOISE LEVELS DEPENDING UPON THE SOIL COMPOSITION AND THE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR EXCAVATION OF THE COURT BASE.

II.7. THE NIGHT LIGHTING MAY RESULT IN INCREASED GLARE WHEN THE COURTS ARE IN USE AT NIGHT.

II.19. THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NIGHT LIGHTED TENNIS COURTS WILL IMPROVE THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF THE EXISTING RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

II.1.b. NONE.

II.6.a. NO MITIGATING MEASURES TO BE TAKEN DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE. PERIMETER LANDSCAPING WILL BE UTILIZED TO LESSEN THE IMPACT OF USE FOR THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS.

II.6.b. NONE.

II.7. LIGHTING AND GLARE AFFECTING THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS WILL BE HELD TO A MINIMUM BY THE USE OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING TO SCREEN OFF THE LIGHTS AND BY THE USE OF FIXTURES WHICH DIRECT THE LIGHT ONTO THE TENNIS COURTS, REDUCING THE AMOUNT OF SPILLOVER LIGHT.

II.19. NONE.