



Metropolitan Water Planning

1.10

July 1, 1999

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JUL 20 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Sacramento City-County
Office of Metropolitan
Water Planning

5770 Freeport Boulevard
Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95822

Phone: 916-433-6276
FAX: 916-433-6295

**SUBJECT: CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER PLANNING -
APPROVAL OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 9 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 91-205
WITH JAMES L. McCORMACK, P.E. (\$100,840).**

LOCATION/COUNCIL DISTRICT: City-County

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the execution of Supplement No. 9 to City Agreement No. 91-205 with James L. McCormack, P.E. for an amount not to exceed \$100,840 for the "EIR Completion and Stakeholder Approval Phase" of the **Water Forum** process during the 1999-00 fiscal year.

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Davidson, Senior Administrative Officer, 433-6287

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: July 20, 1999

SUMMARY:

This report identifies the need for continued technical engineering services for the **Water Forum** and the City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning (CCOMWP) for the "EIR Completion and Stakeholder Approval Phase" of the **Water Forum** process in 1999-00.

COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION: None

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- On October 29, 1991, the City Council and Sacramento County Board of Supervisors approved the original budget and organization for the CCOMWP and approved the services of James L. McCormack, P.E., a retired county engineer with a high level of knowledge about the area's water resources.

City Council
Supplement No. 9 to City Agreement No. 91-205
July 1, 1999

- Supplemental agreements to original City Agreement No. 91-205 include:
 - Supplement No. 1 for Fiscal Year 1992-93
 - Supplement No. 2 for Fiscal Year 1993-94
 - Supplement No. 3 for Fiscal Year 1994-95
 - Supplement No. 4 for Fiscal Year 1995-96
 - Supplement No. 5 for Fiscal Year 1996-97
 - Supplement No. 6 for the first half of Fiscal Year 1997-98
 - Supplement No. 7 for the second half of Fiscal Year 1997-98
 - Supplement No. 8 for Fiscal Year 1998-99

The scope of work under Supplement No. 9 of City Agreement No. 91-205 with Mr. McCormack includes:

- development of planning information as to future water demands;
- performance of engineering studies and analysis relevant to the mission of CCOMWP and the **Water Forum**;
- preparation of engineering reports and other documents related to water resources management and completion of the EIR; and
- technical and policy assistance for the **Water Forum**, in particular for the Groundwater and Surface Water Elements.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The current agreement with Mr. McCormack is for a "not-to-exceed amount" of \$650,000. Supplement No. 9 to City Agreement No. 91-205 is for \$100,840. This would bring the new "not-to-exceed amount" to \$750,840. The 1999-00 operating budget for the CCOMWP has an unobligated amount of \$100,840 in line-item 4252 for professional engineering consulting services.

Funding for the CCOMWP during this "EIR Completion and Stakeholder Approval Phase" is being provided by a number of local government entities which have agreed to cost-share this period of the **Water Forum** process. The CCOMWP is supported by a cost-sharing partnership among the City of Sacramento (Water Fund) (34.7%), the County's Water Agency (Zone 13) (50%), the cities of Folsom (2.8%), Galt (0.7%), and Roseville (6.5%), the Placer County Water Agency (2.7%), and the San Juan Water District in Placer County (1.6%). The funding amount attributed to each of the cost-sharing partners is based upon the number of water customers' connections served by each entity. The El Dorado County Water Agency also contributes to the **Water Forum** process on behalf of the El Dorado Irrigation District and the Georgetown Divide Public Utility District (1%).

City Council
Supplement No. 9 to City Agreement No. 91-205
July 1, 1999

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:

This report recommends no action that would constitute a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

Section V of the Interagency Agreement requires that all contracting procedures shall follow the procedures used by the City. The rationale for hiring the services of a consultant is the need for specialized professional engineering services that are a continuation of the specific tasks being performed by the consultant for the **Water Forum** and the CCOMWP.

ESBD EFFORTS:

The City Office of Small Business Development has determined the consultant, Mr. James McCormack, meets the criteria for certification under the Emerging and Small Business Development program as a Small Business Enterprise. Mr. McCormack is also uniquely qualified to continue to provide the specific technical engineering services sought by the **Water Forum** and the CCOMWP

Respectfully submitted,



JONAS MINTON
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:



Robert P. Thomas
City Manager

RESOLUTION NO. 99-100

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JUL 20 1999

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER AND THE CITY CLERK
TO EXECUTE SUPPLEMENT NO. 9 TO CITY AGREEMENT NO. 91-205
WITH JAMES L. McCORMACK, P.E. TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES
FOR THE CITY-COUNTY OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN WATER PLANNING
IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$100,840.**

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

That the City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute Supplement No. 9 to City Agreement No. 91-205 with James L. McCormack, P.E., to provide engineering services for the **Water Forum** and the City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning for an amount not to exceed \$100,840.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____