

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

1231 'I' STREET, SUITE 200, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

APPLICANT	KQVR TV, Inc., 1216 Arden Way, Sacramento, CA 95816		
OWNER	KQVR-TV, Inc., 1216 Arden Way, Sacramento, CA 95816		
PLANS BY	Foothill Design Group		
FILING DATE	11-7-86	ENVIR. DET.	11-10-86
ASSESSOR'S-PCL. NO.	274-320-14, 15		
		REPORT BY	FG:rt

- APPLICATION:
- A. Negative Declaration
 - B. Special Permit modification to allow the relocation of a 300 foot high T.V. transmission tower
 - C. Gateway Center PUD Guideline Amendment to allow a 300 foot high tower on the subject site and delete the existing tower location
 - D. Gateway Center PUD Schematic Plan Amendment to allow a 300 foot high tower on the subject site and delete the existing tower location

LOCATION: 2213 Venture Oaks Way

PROPOSAL: The applicant is requesting the necessary entitlements to allow a 300 foot high T.V. transmission tower on the subject site.

PROJECT INFORMATION:

1974 General Plan Designation:	Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial
1986 South Natomas Community Plan Designation:	Manufacturing, Research & Development
Existing Zoning of Site:	MRD-PUD
Existing Land Use of Site:	Vacant

Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:

North:	vacant; MRD-PUD
South:	office; OB-PUD
East:	vacant; office under construction; OB-PUD
West:	residential; R-1A - PUD

Property Dimensions:	Irregular
Property Area:	5.74 + acres
Height of Tower:	300 feet
Topography:	Flat
Street Improvements:	Existing
Utilities:	Available to site
Tower Material:	Tubular steel; nylon fabric

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: On July 11, 1985, the Planning Commission approved the necessary entitlements to construct a 60,000+ square foot television broadcast studio and a 300 foot high off-site transmission tower. The tower design was reviewed and approved by the Design Review Board on April 2, 1986. The Design Review Board Action was appealed to the Planning Commission. The Commission denied the appeal on May 22, 1986. The Commission action was appealed to the City Council. The Council continued the item so that the applicant and appellant can reach a mutually agreeable solution.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL: KOVR-TV, Inc. is requesting to modify the special permit and to amend the Gateway Center PUD Guidelines and schematic plan to allow an on-site TV transmission tower.

PROJECT EVALUATION: Staff has the following comments regarding this project:

- A. Special Permit Modification: The original special permit allowed a 300 foot high, tubular steel TV transmission tower to be located off-site approximately 1,500 feet northwest of the subject site. The tower was to be a self-supported, tripod design (see original staff report, Exhibit C).

The applicant now proposes to locate a T.V. transmission tower on the T.V. station site. The proposed tower would be 300 feet high and constructed of tubular steel ranging from 20" in diameter at the base to 6" in diameter at the top. The applicant proposes to use colored (green and rust-pink) nylon fabric which will be attached at various heights on the tower to visually reduce the height and mass of the column. It is unknown at this time either how well or how long the nylon fabric will withstand the elements. The applicant is also unaware of any similar use on transmission towers within the U.S. (see Exhibit A).

The proposed tower would be located on the main entrance side (east side) of the broadcast studio at the northeast corner of the building. The applicant has indicated that the tower will continue to be a joint-use facility (original plans include Channel 6 - KVIE).

Staff would object to the tower being located on the subject site since the MRD-PUD zone has no provisions for the construction of a T.V. transmission tower and because the PUD guidelines indicate that the tower should be located at the northerly edge of the PUD. Staff would not object to the new tower design so long as the design/materials is reviewed by the Design Review Board.

- B. Gateway Center PUD Guideline/Schematic Plan Amendment: The PUD Guidelines/schematic plan designate a communications tower and accessory communications equipment building to be located at the northerly edge of the PUD, either adjacent to or north of the existing utility towers (see Exhibit B). The applicant is requesting to amend the guidelines/schematic plan so as to allow a 300 foot high transmission tower and equipment building on the TV station site. The amendments would delete the existing approved tower site. The applicant's request has come about due to possible impacts upon the future shopping center site to the north of the existing tower site. The present request is to relocate the tower on the TV station site; however, the problem of impacts on adjacent land uses will not be solved.

Existing offices and residences are located on neighboring properties and would be impacted to a greater degree than if the tower were to be erected on the original site. The original site is located adjacent to lots which will in all likelihood be developed with shopping center (commercial) uses and offices. These uses can accept a greater degree of impact from adjacent uses than a residential use would be able to withstand. The original site is also presently developed with utility transmission tower which admittedly is some 200+ feet lower in height than the proposed T.V. tower. However, since the site was already developed with a transmission facility corridor and given the possible adjacent uses, planning staff recommended the original site in favor of a new site in the PUD. Staff recommends that the original site location be retained and that this application be denied as an inappropriate location for a T.V. tower site.

- C. Other Review: The Design Review Board must review and approve any changes to the design materials or color of the proposed tower.


ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The Environmental Coordinator has determined that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and has filed a negative declaration.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the following action:

- A. Ratify the negative declaration;
- B. Deny the Special Permit modification to allow the relocation of a 300 foot high TV transmission tower based upon Findings of Fact which follow;
- C. Recommend denial of the Gateway Center PUD Guidelines to allow a 300 foot high TV transmission tower on the subject site and to delete the existing tower site based upon Findings of Fact which follow;
- D. Recommend denial of the Gateway Center PUD Schematic Plan to locate a TV transmission tower on the subject site and delete the existing tower site based on Findings of Fact which follow;

Findings of Fact:

1. The project is not based upon sound principles of land use in that the subject site and proposed T.V. transmission tower does not comply with the South Natomas Office Park PUD Development Guidelines.
2. The project will be injurious to the public health, welfare and result in the creation of a nuisance in that the proposed T.V. tower will be located adjacent to residential uses and would be incompatible with said residential uses.




VICINITY MAP

P85-257

~~12-4-86~~
~~7-11-85~~ 12-18-86

Item 18



KEY

LANDSCAPE LEGEND

- EXISTING ALNUS RHOMBIFOLIA
- EXISTING SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS
- EXISTING PRUNUS YEDOENSIS
- QUERCUS SUBER
- FRAXINUS HOLOTRICHA "MORNAINE"
- LIRIODENDRON TULIPIFERA
- ACACIA MELANOXYLON
- SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS
- PRUNUS YEDOENSIS
- ALNUS RHOMBIFOLIA
- CONCRETE MOW STRIP
- GROUND COVER
- GROUND COVER AND / OR SHRUBS
- MOUNDS, SHOWN DIAGRAMMATICALLY 10" HIGH ALONG STREET PARALLELS
- LAWN (HYDRASEED)
- PARKING LOT LIGHT STANDARD

ALL PLANNERS SHALL BE CONTAINED BY A STANDARD 6" HIGH CONCRETE CURB.

SITE PLAN AND PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN


SPRINKLER DESIGN CRITERIA	VICINITY MAP	PARKING LOT SHADE 15% WITHIN 15 YEARS	
		S.E.W. PERIMETER HALF (18%)	N. PERIMETER & CORNERS QUARTER (22%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 116 STANDARD STALLS 13 COMPACT STALLS 15 HANDICAPPED STALLS 100 TOTAL PARKING SPACES PROVIDED 0 CLASS 1 BIKE PARKING 0 CLASS 2 BIKE PARKING 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ALNUS RHOMBIFOLIA 3' x 300' - 1,000' QUERCUS SUBER 10' x 100' - 1,100' FRAXINUS HOLOTRICHA 3' x 100' - 3,000' ACACIA MELANOXYLON 3' x 100' - 3,000' SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS 3' x 100' - 3,000' 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,100 SQ. FT. SHADE BY CANOPY 21,400 SQ. FT. SHADE BY TREES 41,700 SQ. FT. TOTAL SHADE 10,300 SQ. FT. PARKING 30.0% SHADE
PARKING SUMMARY		BASED ON CITY'S FORMULA	

PFS-257


12-4-86
 12-18-86

Item 7

EXHIBIT A



WEST



SOUTH

ELEVATIONS

SCHEME II

No Scale

LOCATION OF DISHES
APPROXIMATE

P85-257

12-18-86

Item 7

GATEWAY CENTRE

LEGEND

PAPER	AREA	SCALE	SQ. FT.
1	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
2	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
3	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
4	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
5	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
6	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
7	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
8	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
9	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
10	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
11	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
12	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
13	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
14	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
15	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
16	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
17	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
18	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
19	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
20	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
21	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
22	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
23	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
24	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
25	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
26	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
27	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
28	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
29	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
30	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
31	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
32	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
33	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
34	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
35	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
36	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
37	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
38	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
39	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
40	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
41	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
42	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
43	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
44	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
45	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
46	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
47	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
48	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
49	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
50	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
51	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
52	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
53	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
54	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
55	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
56	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
57	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
58	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
59	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
60	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
61	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
62	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
63	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
64	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
65	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
66	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
67	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
68	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
69	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
70	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
71	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
72	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
73	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
74	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
75	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
76	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
77	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
78	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
79	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
80	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
81	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
82	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
83	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
84	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
85	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
86	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
87	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
88	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
89	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
90	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
91	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
92	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
93	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
94	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
95	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
96	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
97	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
98	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
99	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
100	OFFICE	1/8" = 1'-0"	2,100
TOTALS			300.0
	RESIDENTIAL		300.0


O = stop w/ turnout
 ⊗ = stop w/ turnout
 : = shelter
 = Tower location (existing)

Proposed tower location

DEVELOPER
 LEE C SAMING COMPANY
 1651 HIVE
 SACRAMENTO
 SACRAMENTO

EXHIBIT B

SCHEMATIC PLAN



P85-257.

26 12-18-86
12-4-86

Item 7

REPORT AMENDED BY STAFF 7-8-85
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

1231 I STREET, ROOM 200, SACRAMENTO, CALIF.

EXHIBIT C

APPLICANT KOVR-TV, Inc., 1216 Arden Way, Sacramento, CA 95815		
OWNER Gateway Center Associates, 1451 River Park Drive, #110, Sacramento, CA 95815		
PLANS BY Providence Partnership, One Charles Street, Providence, RI		
FILING DATE 6-7-85	50 DAY CPC ACTION DATE	REPORT BY: FG:bw
NEGATIVE DEC 6-13-85	EIR	ASSESSOR'S PCL NO. 274-320-14,15

- APPLICATION:**
- A. Negative Declaration
 - B. Special Permit to construct a 60,000± square foot television broadcast studio and a 300 foot high off-site transmission tower.
 - C. Variance to waive 35 required parking spaces.

LOCATION: 2213 Venture Oaks Drive

PROPOSAL: The applicant is requesting the necessary entitlements to construct a TV broadcast facility and an off-site transmission tower.

PROJECT INFORMATION:

1974 General Plan Designation:	Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial
1978 South Natomas Community Plan Designation:	Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial
Existing Zoning of Site:	MRD-PUD
Existing Land Use of Site:	Vacant

Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:

North: Vacant; MRD-PUD
 South: Office (under construction); OB-PUD
 East: Vacant; OB-PUD
 West: Residential (under construction); R-1A-PUD)

Setbacks:	<u>Required</u>	<u>Provided</u>
Front:	50'	146'
Side(St.):	60'	50'
Rear:	15'	85'
Parking Required:	238 spaces	
Parking Provided:	203 spaces	
Property Dimensions:	Irregular	
Property Area:	5.74± acres	
Square Footage of Building:	59,500: 1st flr=42,400; 2nd flr=14,800 Mechanical penthouse=2,300	
Height of Building:	44 feet	
Topography:	Flat	
Street Improvements:	Existing	
Utilities:	Available to site	
Exterior Building Materials:	Granite	
Lot Coverage: Building =	16.96%	
Surfaced =	37.85%	
Landscaped =	45.19%	

APPLC. NO. P85-257

MEETING DATE 100.00% July 11, 1985

CPC ITEM NO. 18
15

~~12-4-86~~
12-18-86

BACKGROUND: The subject site consists of a vacant 5.74 acre lot within the Gateway Centre PUD. On January 2, 1985, the City Council rezoned the subject site from the Townhouse (R-1A-PUD) to the Manufacturing Research and Development (MRD-PUD) zone (P83-1452).

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL: KOVR-TV, Inc. has an option to develop the site with a 60,000+ square foot broadcast facility. A 300 foot high transmission tower will be located off site, approximately 1,500 feet northwest of the subject site. The facility will operate 24 hours a day and will employ 150 people.

PROJECT EVALUATION: Staff has the following comments regarding this project:

- A. **Special Permit/Variance Entitlements:** The entitlements requested relate specifically to 1) special permit to construct the broadcast facility; 2) special permit to erect a 300 foot high transmission tower, and 3) variance to waive 35 required parking spaces.
- B. **General Plan Designation/Zoning:** The subject site is designated for heavy commercial/light industrial uses on both the General Plan and the 1978 South Natomas Community Plan. The site is zoned Manufacturing, Research and Development - Planned Unit Development (MRD-PUD). Surrounding uses include vacant land and both office and residential uses which are under construction.
- C. **Building Design:** The proposed structure consists of a three-story building (including mechanical penthouse) with building materials of brown/gray granite and blue/silver reflective glass. A three-tiered fountain will highlight the front entrance to the building, with landscaped terraces located at the front of the building on the second story exterior. The overall building configuration, colors and materials will compliment the State Fund Building (under construction) and the proposed Orchard Office Park. The project complies with the Architectural Design Criteria of the South Natomas Office Park pud Guidelines as relates to quality of materials and design.

The building is divided into two principle uses - office and studio. The studio square footage is as follows:

Studio A = 2,400 square feet
Studio A = 4,356 square feet
Total = 6,756 sq. ft. (11% of total building)
Offices, etc. =52,744 sq. ft. (89% of total building)

- D. **Transmission Tower:** The proposed tower would be 300 feet in height. The tower would be located approximately 1,500 feet northwest of the site. The tower would be constructed of tubular steel with a one-story masonry block equipment building located beneath the tower. The applicant has not decided upon a color for the tower; however, it should be noted that the tower does not have to be painted the traditional red and white. Staff recommends that the Design Review Board make the final determination as to the appropriate tower color.

The tower is located in the area designated for such use on the South Natomas Office Park PUD Guidelines. The proposed location is appropriate in that existing electrical transmission towers are located on the site. This site is designated for a 'joint use' transmission tower which shall be shared by all users in the PUD. It is unclear at this point as to whether or not other PUD tenants will require transmission facilities. The applicant has indicated that the tower can accommodate other users (within reasonable limits). The tower site has been reviewed by the County Airport Department and Natomas Air Park. No comments have been received.

- E. Satellite Dishes: The applicant is proposing to locate two receiving dishes at the rear of the site in the parking lot. Each dish would be seven meters (22.9 feet) in diameter and stand no higher than 25 feet. The dishes would be visible from Venture Oaks Way and to a lesser degree from Gateway Oaks Drive and I-5. As adjacent properties develop, it is likely that the dishes will also be visible from upper story office windows.

Staff recommends that the dishes be screened by locating them inside a structure similar to that used by Federal Express at their Point West facility. The structure should be constructed of a material which is compatible with the proposed building and climbing ivy should be planted at the base of the structure. The Design Review Board should make the final recommendation as to design and color of the structure.

- F. Parking/Circulation: The applicant's site plan indicates that the parking calculations have been based upon the net square footage and not the gross square footage as is required by the Zoning Ordinance. The proposed broadcast facility contains 59,500 square feet of gross floor area which, at a ratio of one space per 250 feet of gross floor area, would require that 238 parking spaces be located on site. The site plan indicates that only 203 spaces have been provided, or 35 spaces less than required by ordinance. *Staff feels that the studio can be classified as a warehouse use which would require six parking spaces and reduce the overall parking to 216 or 13 more spaces than are provided on site. Staff feels that warehouse classification for the studios is appropriate only if both studios are utilized for non-audience participation programming. In the event that an audience participation shows are broadcast from either studio, then parking must be calculated at a higher ratio. (staff added)*

The applicant has not indicated what, if any, unique circumstances exist which warrant the granting of a parking variance. A redesign of the parking configuration (30% compact spaces and utilization of helipad site) would in all likelihood provide the necessary parking ratio. Staff recommends that the parking variance be denied.

The applicant indicates that an enclosed secure parking area will be located at the rear of the building. Company cars will be located in this area, along with mechanical equipment and gas pumps. The parking must be completely enclosed and the enclosure must be constructed of a material which is similar to the material use on the main structure.

It appears that the handicapped parking spaces shown on the site plan will not meet code. All handicapped parking must be redesigned and/or relocated so as to comply with all applicable standards.

The proposed development is subject to the City's trip reduction ordinance only to the extent that it relates to a minor development project (i.e. 50-199 full-time workers). The applicant need only to provide information which describes the various transportation options available to the employee. This development will necessitate the construction of 12 bicycle storage facilities, of which 50% shall be Class I. The remainder may be Class II or III.

G. Landscaping: The applicant's landscaping plan indicates that 45% of the site will be landscaped. The site plan indicates that the applicant has provided for the required 50 foot and 25 foot landscape setback on Gateway Oaks Drive and Venture Oaks Way, respectively. All landscape setback areas shall be bermed (minimum 4 ft. high) and all berms shall be landscaped with predominantly evergreen trees, shrubs and ground cover. In addition, the parking lot must be planted with trees so that at least 50% of the parking lot will be shaded. A complete landscape and irrigation plan must be submitted to the Planning Director for review and approval prior to the issuance of building permits.

H. Building Setbacks/Heights: The PUD Guidelines require a 50-foot building setback along both street frontages; however, in addition the MRD zone requires that the maximum building height shall be 25 feet for that portion of any building located within 100 feet of the east curb of the part of Gateway Oaks Drive that abuts residentially designated, zoned or used land to the west.

As proposed, a corner of the building would extend into the height restricted area along Gateway Oaks Drive. Staff recommends that the site plan be revised to locate the building outside of the height restricted area or at least that portion of the upper floors of the building which are greater than 25 feet in height.

I. Signage: The applicant shall submit a signage plan which complies with the PUD Development Guidelines. In the MRD zone the applicant may erect one non-illuminated monument sign at the major entry to the site. The sign can be no more than 48 square feet in size and no higher than 12 feet from street grade or parking lot grade, whichever is lower. It should also be noted that no signage is to be allowed on the transmission tower.

J. Other Agency Approvals/Recommendations: The proposed tower requires that the applicant complete the necessary Notice of Proposed Construction to the Federal Aviation Administration. Staff will require that verification be submitted to the Planning Division prior to the issuance of occupancy permits for the proposed studio.

Regional Transit is requesting an easement and funding for a bus shelter to be located on Gateway Oaks Drive. This project requires review/approval of the Design Review Board. No other agency has commented on this project.

- K. Gateway Centre PUD Square Footage Summary: The development agreement permits an overall gross square footage (GSF) in the MRD zone in Gateway Centre not to exceed 500,000. A maximum of 50 percent of the permitted overall GSF may consist of offices as primary uses (250,000 GSF). The KOVR-TV studio is the first project in the MRS zone to date and contains 60,000± square feet of office use.
- L. Helicopter Landing Site: Although a helipad is shown on the site plan, the applicant is not applying for the helipad use at this time. At a later date the applicant may choose to submit a special permit application for the helipad. Staff would like to recommend that the helipad be located at another site. The proposed helipad site is inappropriate due to its location adjacent to Venture Oaks Way, the future/proposed office uses adjacent to the subject site and the residential uses being developed to the west of the site.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the following action:

- A. Ratification of the Negative Declaration;
- B. Approval of the Special Permit to develop a 60,000± square foot broadcast facility and 300 foot high off-site transmission tower, subject to conditions and based upon Findings of Fact which follow;
- C. Denial of the Variance to waive 35 required parking spaces, based upon the Findings of Fact which follow.

Conditions Special Permit

1. A revised final site plan, detailed landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted to the Planning Director for review and approval, prior to issuance of building permit, which incorporates the following changes:
 - a. detailed landscape plan for the entire project site;
 - b. four-foot high berms along the street frontages;
 - c. relocation of the building so that it does not encroach into the height restricted area along Gateway Oaks Drive;
 - d. 238 on-site parking spaces and 12 bicycle storage lockers.
2. The trash enclosure facilities shall be constructed in accordance with the following criteria:
 - a. The walls of the trash enclosure structure shall be constructed of solid masonry material with decorative exterior surface finish compatible to the main office structure;
 - b. The trash enclosure structure shall be designed to allow walk-in access by janitors without having to open the main enclosure gates;

- c. The trash enclosure structure shall have decorative heavy gauge metal gates and be designed with cane bolts on the doors to secure the gates when in the open position;
- d. The perimeter of the trash enclosure structure shall be planted with landscaping, including a combination of shrubs and/or climbing evergreen vines;
- e. A concrete apron shall be constructed in front of the trash enclosure facility or at point of dumpster pickup by the waste removal truck. The location, size and orientation of the concrete apron shall depend on the design capacity of the trash enclosure facility (number of trash dumpsters provided) and the direction of the waste removal truck at point of dumpster pickup.

The minimum dimensions of the concrete apron for a single, two cubic yard dumpster shall be: width 10 feet or width of enclosure facility; length 20 feet. Larger trash enclosure facilities shall require a larger concrete apron, subject to the approval of the City Building Inspections Division Building Technician (Plan Checker). Paving material shall consist of five-inch aggregate base rock and six-inch portland cement paving.

- f. A trellis structure covering the trash facility shall be constructed to screen the enclosure from view from the upper floors of the office building;
- 3. The applicant shall submit a signage program for the project for review and approval by the Planning Director prior to issuance of sign permits.
- 4. The applicant shall adhere to all provisions of the South Natomas Office Park PUD Guidelines.
- 5. No signage shall be permitted to be placed on the transmission tower.
- 6. The applicant shall submit verification of Federal Aviation Administration approval of the transmission tower site.
- 7. The ^{base of the} proposed satellite dishes shall be screened by an enclosure. The enclosure shall be planted with climbing evergreen vines. The design and materials of the structure shall be approved by the Design Review Board prior to the issuance of building permits. (CPC amended)
- 8. The secured parking area shall be completely enclosed with a maximum six-foot high wall which is compatible with the main office building.
- 9. The applicant shall comply with the 50 percent parking lot shading requirement.

10. // The // applicant // shall // contact // and // work // with // Regional // Transit // regarding // easement // and // funding // for // a // bus // shelter // to // be // located // on // Gateway // Oaks // Drive. (see page 7)

12-4-86
12-18-86

7

10. The applicant shall provide Regional Transit with an easement and funding for a bus shelter to be located on Gateway Oaks Drive unless RT determines that the planned bus stop or shelter at the northern landing of the PUD (on Gateway Oaks Drive) is sufficient for further transit service needs. (CPC amended)

11. The proposed project shall be subject to Design Review Board approval.

*see below

Findings of Fact - Special Permit

1. The special permit, as conditioned, is based upon sound principles of land use, in that the project complies with the South Natomas Office Park PUD Development Guidelines.
2. The special permit, as conditioned, will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, nor result in the creation of a nuisance, in that the project provides adequate on-site parking, landscaping and building setbacks and meets other PUD development requirements.
3. The special permit is consistent with both the General Plan and the 1978 South Natomas Community Plan which designate the site for heavy commercial/light industrial uses.



**Findings of Fact - Variance

1. The proposed variance, if approved, would be a special privilege extended to one property owner, in that the site can be redesigned to meet the parking standards.
2. The requested variance would be injurious to the public welfare and properties in the vicinity, in that, other office development in the area has been required to provide adequate parking on site.

*Condition added by staff:

12. ~~Stalls A and B shall be utilized for non-automobile parking/programming~~
(CPC deleted)

**CPC approved the Variance with Findings of Fact and Conditions due for consideration at the July 25, 1985 meeting.



LAND USE & ZONING MAP

P85-257

7-11-85 12-18-86
12-4-86

18
Item 157

SITE PLAN

EXHIBIT A


THE
PROVIDENCE
PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION

ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING

ONE CHARLES STREET
PROVIDENCE
RHODE ISLAND

BROAD
HOUSE
STREET

SHEET NO
C



SITE DATA

BUILDING COVERAGE — 45,000 SF • 64.8%

IMPERVIOUS AREA — 1,000 SF • 1.4%

LANDSCAPED AREA — 24,000 SF • 34.8%

TOTAL SITE AREA — 69,000 SF • 100.0%

TOTAL PARKING — 100 SPACES

BUILDING DATA



1ST FLOOR — 45,000 SF

2ND FLOOR — 15,000 SF

MECH. RECEPTION — 2,000 SF

TOTAL — 62,000 SF

NOTE:
PLANNING IS BASED ON ASSUMPTIONS
OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION
OF PARKING SPACES & COMMON
MECH. SPACES & 100 SF • 100 TRUCK SP.




P85-257

7-11-85


Item 15
248

EXHIBIT B ELEVATIONS

THE
PROVIDENCE
PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION
ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING
25 HILL STREET
PROVIDENCE
RHODE ISLAND



SOUTH ELEVATION 10/11/85



WEST ELEVATION 10/11/85

P85-257

12-18-86
12-4-86
7-11-85

Item 15


7
18
15

THE PROVIDENCE PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION
ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING
ONE CHARLES STREET
PROVIDENCE
RHODE ISLAND




ELEVATIONS

NS	1	DATE	
A		4	



NORTH ELEVATION



EAST ELEVATION

P85-257

12-18-86
12-4-86
7-11-85

Item 13


THE
PROVIDENCE
PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION
ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING
ONE CHARLES STREET
PROVIDENCE, RI
02903

BROADCAST
HOUSE

FLOOR PLANS

1st Flr

EXHIBIT C



12-18-86

J. W. H. A. C.

P85-257

7

THE
PROVIDENCE
PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION
ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING
ONE CHARLES STREET
PROVIDENCE
RHODE ISLAND


BROADCAST

FLOOR	1	DATE	
-------	---	------	--

FLOOR PLANS

2nd Flr

2



P86-257


12-18-86
12-4-86
7-11-85

7
18
Item 15

LANDSCAPE PLANS

EXHIBIT D

THE PROVIDENCE PARTNERSHIP
A CORPORATION
ARCHITECTURE
ENGINEERING
PLANNING
ONE CHARLES STREET
PROVIDENCE
RHODE ISLAND




LANDSCAPING PLAN

SITE DATA


BUILDING FOOTPRINT — 42,000 SF • 42,000 SF
 PARKING AREA — 2,300 SF • 2,300 SF
 LANDSCAPING AREA — 14,500 SF • 14,500 SF
 TOTAL SITE AREA — 60,800 SF • 60,800 SF
 TOTAL PARKING — 200 SPACES

BUILDING DATA

1ST FLOOR — 42,000 SF
 2ND FLOOR — 14,500 SF
 TOTAL FLOOR AREA — 56,500 SF
 TOTAL VOLUME — 1,030,000 SF
 BUILDING & PARKING STRUCTURE
 BY PROVIDENCE PARTNERSHIP & COMPANY
 100 VENTURE OAKS WAY • PROVIDENCE, RI



PLAN OF SITE & TOWER SITE




PLAN AT TOWER

12-18-86

~~7-18-85~~ 12-4-86

7-18
 Thom



P85-257

12-18-86
~~12-9-86~~
~~11-05~~

7
18
Items

OAK PARK NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION
P. O. BOX 5694
SACRAMENTO, CA 95817-0694

Petition to the Sacramento City Planning Commission

Whereas:

Tokyo Spa, located at 3228 Broadway, Sacramento, CA, has applied for a special permit (number P86-375) to allow a time extension for operation of an existing adult related establishment consisting of a private sauna/massage; and

Whereas:

This establishment does not comply with Section 8(b)1-3 inclusive of Ordinance Number 83-145, and cannot comply with the spirit or letter of this ordinance because:

- 1) The Tokyo Spa is injurious to nearby properties by eliciting negative comments from prospective clients and customers of nearby businesses and schools.
- 2) The Tokyo Spa contributes to a "skid row" atmosphere, being located in the middle of a prominent Sacramento "stroll" as documented by extensive citizen reports to the police, and apparently being perceived by some street prostitutes as an authorized location of activity for which they are being arrested.
- 3) The continuance of the Tokyo Spa at this location is contrary to the spending of \$2.9 million by the City of Sacramento to improve the image of and to redevelop the Broadway section of Oak Park.

Therefore:

Operation of this business in this location should be discontinued in conformance with Section 10(c)3 of Ordinance Number 83-145. The Oak Park Neighborhood Improvement Association and concerned citizens do hereby petition the Sacramento City Planning Commission to deny the applicant's request for a time extension.

NAME (Signature)	NAME (Printed)	ADDRESS	ZIP CODE
<i>William Moore</i>	Mrs. WILMA M. MOORE	3801 9th City	95828
<i>George K. Fuller</i>	George K. Fuller	4017 - 33rd St	95820
<i>Margie M. Kukumaha</i>	GEORGE M. HENNAN	7243 - 22nd St	95822
<i>Sandra Perkins</i>	SANDRA PERKINS	7336 Greenhaven Dr # 232	95831
<i>Andrew McDaniel</i>	ANDREW MCDANIEL	3354 2nd St	95817
<i>David M. Brown</i>	DAVID M. BROWN	1990 DIESEL CIR	95822
<i>Vivian L. Martens</i>	Vivian L. Martens	6311 Lake Park Dr Sacto	95831
<i>Wanda Adams</i>	WANDA ADAMS	7684 10th MINGOBA WAY	95820
<i>Christine M. Roberts</i>	CHRISTINE M. ROBERTS	5221 Pleasant Dr	95822
<i>Curtis Brown</i>	CURTIS BROWN	7517 Collingwood St Sacto	95822
<i>Paul Evans</i>	PAUL EVANS	1605 Carmichael Way Sacto	95815
<i>Calvin Cordelius</i>	CALVIN CORDELIUS	5205 14th St Sacto	95823
<i>Harry L. S. Collins</i>	HARRY L. S. COLLINS	4541 - 21st St Sacto	95820
<i>Edna H. Cupus</i>	EDNA H. CUPUS	1605 Carmichael Way #3 Sacto	95815
<i>Edward V. McLeod</i>	EDWARD V. McLEOD	3308 - 4th Ave Sacto	95817
<i>Benjamin V. McLeod</i>	BENJAMIN V. McLEOD	3308 - 4th Ave Sacto	95817

Oak
Park
United
Church
of
Christ

CITY PLANNING DIVISION

OCT 31 1986

RECEIVED

P-86-375

12-4-86
11-13-86
12-18-86

item 4