

915 I STREET

CITY HALL ROOM 207

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING APR 119

F/Ref.

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

C.C. 1857

R. H. PARKER CITY ENGINEER

J. F. VAROZZA ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

March 31, 1981

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

APR :71981

OFFICE OF THE

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving Negative Declaration for BROADWAY AND STOCKTON

BOULEVARD - STREET WIDENING

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281

SUMMARY:

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

BACKGROUND:

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the subject project would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On March 10, 1981 the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On March 19, 1981 Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in The Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

- 1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. Approve the Negative Declaration.

- 3. Approve the project.
- 4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. PARKER City Engineer

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe, City Manager

RESOLUTION No. 8/-26/

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

April 7, 1981

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR BROADWAY AND STOCKTON BOULEVARD

APR 7 1981 OFFICE OF THE

WHEREAS, on March 10, 1981 R. H. Parker, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated project:

BROADWAY AND STOCKTON BOULEVARD

WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no appeals were received,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

- 1. That the proposed project, Broadway and Stockton Boulevard will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is hereby approved.
- 3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the widening of Stockton Boulevard to provide bus turn-out lanes and widen Broadway to improve street alignment at the intersection
- 4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.

		•
ATTEST:		MAYOR

CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:

Broadway and Stockton Boulevard - Widen Stockton Boulevard to provide bus turn-out lanes, and widen Broadway to improve street alignment at the intersection.

2. Location of Project:

Intersection of Stockton Boulevard and Broadway.

- 3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by KIMLAND YEE.
- 6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

 APPROVED
 BY THE CITY COUNCIL

APR :71981

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

DATED: March 9, 1981

ENDORSED

MAR 10 1981

J.A. SIMPSON, CLERK By R. WEESHOFF, Deputy Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation

Ву

R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

Broadway and Stockton Blvd-The project consists of widening Stockton Blvd. to provide bus turn-out lanes and widening Broadway between San Diego Way and Stockton Blvd to improve the alignment of Broadway. The construction will consist of removing and replacing curbs, gutters and sidewalks and street widening. The traffic signals will also be upgraded.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

The area is an urban environment. Both Broadway and Stockton Blvd are major arterials of Sacramento's major street system. Along both sides of Broadway and Stockton Blvd are primarily business and commercial developments.

- 3. Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))
 The project is compatible with the Zoning Ordinance and General Plan
 of the City of Sacramento.

Date March 9, 1981

Title Associate Engineer

CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

C.C. No. 1857
Date: 3-9-81

ı.	BAC	K GROUND .			
	١.	Name of Project Broadway & Stockton Blvd.			
	•	City Department Initiating Project Engineering			
	_	N. C. S. A. A. A. Duranda Charleston Vinda 3 35			
	3.	-			
	4.				
11.	ENV (Ex	TRONMENTAL IMPACTS planations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)			
			Yes	Maybe	No
	1.	Earth. Will the proposal result in:	•		
		a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?			<u>x</u>
		b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?	<u>x</u>	_	
		c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?			<u>x</u>
		d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?		· —	x
		e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?			X
		f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?			x
		g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	_	_	<u>x</u>
	2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?		· ·	x
		b. The creation of objectionable odors?			x
		c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	_		x
	3.	Water. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	_	_	x
		b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	<u>x</u>		
		c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?		_	x
		d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			X
		e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?			x
		f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.			X
		g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	•		x
		h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	_		x

		<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	<u>No</u>
	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?		· —	<u>X</u>
4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	x	_	
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?		_	<u>x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	_		x
	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	_	_	x
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	_	_	<u>x</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	_		<u>x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	_	_	x
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?		_	<u>x</u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?			<u>x</u>
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?		_	<u>x</u>
7.	<u>Light and Glare</u> . Will the proposal produce new light or glare?			<u>x</u>
8.	<u>Land Use</u> . Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?		_	<u>x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	_		<u>x</u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u>x</u>
10.	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?		_	<u>x</u>
11.	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?		_	x
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			x
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			<u>x</u>
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			<u>x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			<u>x</u>
	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	<u>x</u>		_
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			<u>x</u> _
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			X
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
	a. Fire protection?			<u>x</u>
	b. Police protection?			<u>x</u>
	c. Schools?			<u>x</u>

•		Yes	Maybe	No
	d. Parks or other recreational facilities?		_	<u>x</u>
•	e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			<u>x</u>
	f. Other governmental services?			x
15.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			<u>x</u>
	b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			x
16.	Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:			
	a. Power or natural gas?	_	_	x
	b. Communications systems?			x
	c. Water?			x
	d. Sewer or septic tanks?			<u>x</u>
	e. Storm water drainage?			<u>x</u>
	f. Solid waste and disposal?			<u>x</u>
17.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			<u>x</u>
	b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			<u>x</u>
18.	Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	_		<u>x</u>
19.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?			x
20.	Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?			<u>x</u>
21.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			x
	b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)			<u>x</u>
	c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.			x
	d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause			
	substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			<u>x</u>

manders on contract and fully recommendated to design the first bound of the property of the second of the second

additi	ional sheets if necessary)
1b.	The widening of Broadway & Stockton Blvd. and the construction of
	curb, gutter and sidewalk will result in the displacement, compac
	and over covering of soil.
3b.	This project will produce more covered surface which will decreas
	the amount of soil absorbtion.
4a.	This project will require the removal of seven small trees two
	inches (2") in diameter and one tree four inches (4") in diameter
134.	The proposed signalization and street widening will provide a saf
	and less congested route for motorists who must use Broadway and
	Stockton Blvd. intersection. Also the widening of Broadway between
	San Diego Way and Stockton Blvd. will eliminate the alignment pro
	which exists for eastbound motorists on Broadway.
	ation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. ain in detail - if none, so state)
(Expl	ain in detail - if none, so state) All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a
(Expl	ain in detail - if none, so state) All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a
(Expl	ain in detail - if none, so state) All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else.
(Expl	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (
(Exp)	All but the four inch (4") diameter tree were planted during a tree planting program conducted by the City. Most of the trees can be saved by transplanting them somewhere else. Much of the street widening is to provide bus turn-outs at the bus stops, making it safer for the buses. Also the sidewalks at the bus stops are to be constructed to the standard eight feet (

The second secon

	"No	Pro	ojec	t" -	- 11	tn	ere	15	nc) p:	roj	ect	, t!	зе .	tra	ffi	c c	ong	jest	ion	at	the
	int	ter	sect	ion	of	Bro	adw	аy	and	ı s	toc	kto	n B	Lvd	. W	<u>ill</u>	co	nti	nue	to	be	a
	pro	bl.	em.	Th	e pr	obl	ems	du	e t	0	con	ges	io	ı w	i 1 1	. be	ai	r j	011	uti	on,	time
	105	ss,	and	en	ergy	, wa	ste	•	The	a .	lig	nme	nt j	pro	ble	m o	n B	roa	adwa	y w	i 1 1	cont
	to	be	a p	ote	ntia	ıl a	cci	den	t p	rol	ole	m.		··								
								-														
													·									
																						<u>. </u>
								-														
								_														
																			-			
											-											
																						
																•						
	DETER	MINAT	ION				•															
	On th	e bas	is of	this	initi	ial si	tudy:															
	[x]	I fi	nd th	e prop DECLA	osed RATIO	proje	ect C(OULD prepa	NOT (have	a si	gnifi	cant	effe	ct o	n the	env	i ron	ment,	and a		
	[]	ment de so	nd the the ribed	re wi in I	ll not V abov	t be a ve hav	a sign ve bed	nific en ad	ant ded	effec to ti	it in ne pr	this oject	case	bec the p	ause ossi	the	miti	gati	on mea	sures		
	[]		nd the								nific	ant e	ffect	on	the	envir	onme	nt,	and ar	1		
æ	Mar	ch	9,	198	<u> 1</u>								2	/	/	In .	2 -					
``-													- /			IN	' //					