



REPORT TO COUNCIL

City of Sacramento

915 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-2604
www.CityofSacramento.org

CONSENT
June 15, 2010

Honorable Mayor and
Members of the City Council

**Title: Year End Adjustments to Parks and Recreation Capital Improvement
Program (CIP) Budgets (FY2009/10)**

Location/Council District: Council Districts 1, 3, 4 and 6

Recommendation: Adopt a **Resolution:** 1) Transferring \$195,330 of Quimby In Lieu Fees (Fund 2508) from the R Street Corridor project (L19012000) back to contingency; 2) transferring \$315,759 of Park Development Impact Fees (Fund 3204) from the Peregrine Park project (L19148000) back to contingency; 3) closing Park Easements N & S Natomas (L19008000) and transferring the remaining fund balance of \$12,000 (Fund 3702) to Gardenland Park PSIP Tier III (L19809000); 4) appropriating Planning Area 5 Park Development Impact Fees (Fund 3204) in the amount of \$140,000 to Camellia Park (L19202000) and \$10,269 to Redding Avenue Park MP (L19150000); and 5) appropriating Planning Area 1 Quimby In Lieu Fees (Fund 2508) in the amount of \$14,366 to Southside Park Ph2 (L19162101).

Contact: Sonja Jarvis, Fiscal Lead, 808-8824; J.P. Tindell, Park Planning & Development Manager, 808-1955

Presenters: Not applicable

Department: Parks and Recreation

Division: Park Planning & Development Services

Organization No: 19001121

Description/Analysis

Issue: Long-term designs of public facilities are reviewed and approved by City Council. City Council approval is required to establish CIP projects and make appropriation changes exceeding \$100,000. With this recommendation, the total appropriation changes will exceed the \$100,000 threshold and is outside staff's approval authority.

As part of the ongoing management of the Department of Parks and Recreation's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), a periodic review and reallocation of the available financial resources are made to provide capital improvements in a timely and efficient manner and to maximize resources. This process results in recommended budget adjustments to ensure progress continues on priority projects.

Policy Considerations: As stated in City Council Resolution No. 2009-406, Sections 10.2 and 10.8, City Council approval is required to establish CIP projects and make appropriation changes exceeding \$100,000.

Utilizing Park Development Impact Fees (PIF), Fund 3204, to augment project budgets is consistent with Sacramento City Code Section 18.44.160 as these funds will be "expended on park facilities within the planning area in which the development project paying the fee is located."

Utilizing Quimby Act Fees In Lieu (of land dedication), Fund 2508, for improvements to a park is consistent with Sacramento City Code Section 16.64.060 as these funds will be used for the "...improvement, and expansion of the public parks, playgrounds, and recreational facilities."

Providing parks and recreation facilities is consistent with the City's strategic plan to enhance livability in Sacramento's neighborhoods by expanding park, recreation, and trail facilities throughout the City.

Committee/Commission Action: Not applicable.

Environmental Considerations:

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA): This report concerns administrative activities that will not have a significant effect on the environment, and does not constitute a "project" as defined by the CEQA [CEQA Guidelines Sections 15061(b)(3); 15378(b)(2)].


Sustainability Considerations: Not applicable.

Rationale for Recommendation: Establishment of capital improvement projects, fund appropriations, funding transfers, allocation of grant funds and project closures all require the approval of City Council. Staff is recommending that the remaining PIF and Quimby funds in the R Street Corridor Acq and Peregrine Park be transferred back to contingency to be made available elsewhere as these projects are just about complete. Redding Avenue Park, Southside Park Ph2, Camellia Park and Gardenland Park PSIP Tier III need additional funds to complete these projects.

Financial Considerations: Staff recommends that the City Council make the adjustments to the CIP budgets as outlined. The recommendations within this report will allow staff to better manage existing resources and to ensure that the available financial resources to provide capital improvements are maximized and that progress continues on priority projects. There are adequate resources in the specified funds to accomplish staff's recommendations.

Emerging Small Business Development (ESBD): Not applicable.

Respectfully Submitted by:


JAMES L. COMBS
Director, Parks and Recreation

Recommendation Approved:



GUS VINA
Interim City Manager

Table of Contents:

Report	pg. 1
Attachments	
1 Background Information	pg. 5
2 Resolution	pg. 6

Attachment 1

Background Information

As part of the ongoing management of the Department of Parks and Recreation's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), a periodic review and reallocation of the available financial resources are made to provide capital improvements in a timely and efficient manner and to maximize resources. This process results in recommended budget adjustments to ensure progress continues on priority projects. Adjustments are proposed to reallocate funding from some existing projects to other projects that are ready to proceed but may lack sufficient appropriations.

RESOLUTION NO. 2010-

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

June 15, 2010

APPROVING YEAR END ADJUSTMENTS TO PARKS AND RECREATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) BUDGETS (FY2009/2010)

BACKGROUND

- A. As part of the ongoing management of the Department of Parks and Recreation's CIP, a periodic review and reallocation of the available financial resources are made to provide capital improvements in a timely manner and to maximize resources.
- B. As stated in City Council Resolution No. 2009-406, Section 10.8, City Council approval is required to make appropriation changes exceeding \$100,000.
- C. With this recommendation, the total appropriation changes will exceed the \$100,000 threshold and is outside staff's approval authority.
- D. Utilizing Park Development Impact Fees, Fund 3204, to augment project budgets is consistent with Sacramento City Code Section 18.44.160 as these funds will be "expended on park facilities within the planning area in which the development project paying the fee is located."
- E. Utilizing Quimby Act Fees, Fund 2508, for improvements to a park is consistent with Sacramento City Code Section 16.64.060 as these funds will be used for the "...improvement, and expansion of the public parks, playgrounds, and recreational facilities."

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. That \$195,330 of Quimby In Lieu Fees (Fund 2508) from the R Street Corridor Acquisition (L19012000) is transferred back to contingency.
- Section 2. That \$315,759 of Park Development Impact Fees (Fund 3204) from Peregrine Park (L19148000) is transferred back to contingency.
- Section 3. That the Park Easements North & South Natomas (L19008000) project has been completed and is hereby closed and the remaining fund balance of \$12,000 (Fund 3702) is transferred to the Gardenland Park Park Safety Improvement Plan (PSIP) Tier III (L19809000).

Section 4. That Planning Area 5 Park Development Impact Fees (3204) in the amount of \$140,000 is appropriated to Camellia Park (L19202000) and \$10,269 is appropriated to Redding Ave Park (L19150000).

Section 5. That Planning Area 1 Quimby In Lieu Fees (Fund 2508) in the amount of \$14,366 is appropriated to Southside Park Ph2 (L19162101).