

RESOLUTION NO. 2014-0298

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

August 26, 2014

A RESOLUTION AMENDING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FEES AND CHARGES TO ESTABLISH FEES FOR THE ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT PROGRAM

BACKGROUND

- A. The Sacramento City Council has adopted Chapter 5.108 of the Sacramento City Code relating to Entertainment Establishments.
- B. Section 5.108.180 of the Sacramento City Code authorizes the processing of fees related to entertainment permits.
- C. Proposed new fees are set forth in Exhibit A.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. The City of Sacramento Fee and Charge Report, Community Development Department, is hereby amended as set forth in Exhibit A, to establish the fees authorized by Section 5.108.180 of the Sacramento City Code.
- Section 2. Exhibit A forms part of this resolution.
- Section 3. This resolution shall become effective on the same date as the ordinance—which the Sacramento City Council adopted on August 19, 2014—amending Chapter 5.108 of the Sacramento City Code relating to Entertainment Establishments.

Table of Contents

Exhibit A - Entertainment Permit Program Fees

Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on August 26, 2014, by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Cohn, Hansen, McCarty, Schenirer and Warren

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Member Fong and Mayor Johnson

Vacant: District 8

Attest:

Shirley A. Concolino

Digitally signed by Shirley A. Concolino
DN: cn=Shirley A. Concolino, o=City of Sacramento, ou=City
Clerk, email=sconcolino@cityofsacramento.org, c=US
Date: 2014.08.28 17:34:21 -07'00'

Shirley Concolino, City Clerk

ATTACHMENT 6 – EXHIBIT A

ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT PROGRAM FEES

Fee Name: Entertainment Permit Fee – New (2-Year)
Current Fee: \$1,722.00
Proposed Fee: \$1,722.00
Justification for Fee: Recover costs associated with the program administration and inspection costs and imposed for each new entertainment permit application.

Proposition 26: This charge is not a tax under Proposition 26; it is a regulatory fee under Exception 3. The proposed fee will offset the department's cost of administering the program.

Fee Name: Entertainment Permit Fee – Renewal (2-Year)
Current Fee: \$1,331.00
Proposed Fee: \$1,331.00
Justification for Fee: Recover costs associated with the program administration and inspection costs and imposed for each new entertainment permit application.

Proposition 26: This charge is not a tax under Proposition 26; it is a regulatory fee under Exception 3. The proposed fee will offset the department's cost of scheduling and performing inspections.

Fee Name: Entertainment Permit Fee - Special
Current Fee: \$1,405.00
Proposed Fee: \$1,405.00
Justification for Fee: Recover costs associated with the program administration and inspection costs and imposed for each special entertainment permit application.

Proposition 26: This charge is not a tax under Proposition 26; it is a regulatory fee under Exception 3. The proposed fee will offset the department's cost of scheduling and performing inspections.

Fee Name: Modification Fee
Current Fee: \$0.00
Proposed Fee: \$100.00
Justification for Fee: Recover administrative and other related costs when a permit holder request modifications to the permit conditions in excess of the amount established by City Code.

Proposition 26: This charge is not a tax under Proposition 26; it is a regulatory fee under Exception 3. The proposed fee will offset the department's administrative cost of reviewing and

approving requests to modify permit conditions and other services related to the program.

Fee Name:	Re-inspection Fee
Current Fee:	\$00.00
Proposed Fee:	\$127.00
Justification for Fee:	Recover administrative and inspection costs when a re-inspection is required due to failure to correct violations noted during the initial inspection or if an applicant or permit holder agrees upon a day and time for an inspection and the applicant or permit holder fails by act or omission to provide access onto the premises.
Proposition 26:	This charge is not a tax under Proposition 26; it is a regulatory fee under Exception 3. The proposed fee will offset the department's cost of scheduling and performing inspections.