



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

15

DEPARTMENT OF FIRE
915 "I" STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814
CITY HALL - ROOM 3 TEL. (916) 449-5267

WILLIAM R. POWELL
FIRE CHIEF

April 22, 1981

Honorable City Council
Council Chambers
City Hall
Sacramento, CA

Members in Session:

Subject: Resolution Setting a Hearing Date to Assess Costs of Weed
Abatement as a Lien

SUMMARY:

Attached is a resolution setting a hearing for May 12, 1981, to assess the costs of various unpaid weed abatement work. This hearing is set in accordance with the provisions of the California Government and City Codes. Approval of the resolution is recommended.

BACKGROUND:

Resolution No. 79-115, March 6, 1979, declared certain parcels of property throughout the City of Sacramento to be a public nuisance due to weeds and rubbish and requiring that said nuisances be abated either by the owner of said property or by a City Contractor.

With the adoption of this Resolution, a form as prescribed by Chapter 13 of the California Government Code was issued to 14,012 property owners and a date was set of March 27, 1979, to hear objections of owners so notified.

Said hearing was held and on April 3, 1979, Resolution No. 79-190 was adopted over-ruling such objections and ordering the Weed Abatement Division to proceed with the abatement of weeds and rubbish throughout the City of Sacramento.

Bids were solicited from a group of 11 to 15 contractors and the award for abatement was made to the lowest bidder for each individual lot.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

HR9

APR 28 1981

5-14-81

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Honorable City Council

April 22, 1981


Physical abatement was made during the period April 15th to November 30th and 2,786 lots were abated by City Contractors and invoices issued in the amount of \$138,787.50. All lots were inspected by this office both prior to and after abatement work, and the charges verified as to performance and quality.

The charges that remain apparently are not going to be paid and this resolution effects the placing of the charges as a lien against the property. Inasmuch as the invoices were issued on April 23, 1980 it is felt that sufficient time has elapsed and that steps should be taken to record them as a special assessment lien in the manner provided by Government Code Sections 39560-39587.


RECOMMENDATION:

It is respectfully requested that this printout of unpaid weed abatement charges, in the amount of \$51,296.00, be posted outside the Council Chambers as prescribed by law and that a public hearing be set for the date of May 12, 1981, to hear objections of affected property owners who may wish to voice any objections.

Respectfully submitted,


William R. Powell
Fire Chief

Recommendation Approved:


Walter J. Slupe, City Manager

Attachment

April 28, 1981
All Districts

RESOLUTION NO. 81-298

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

RESOLUTION SETTING A HEARING DATE TO ASSESS COSTS OF UNPAID WEED ABATEMENT WORK AS A LIEN

WHEREAS pursuant to the provisions of Section 39500 et seq. of the California Government Code, and City of Sacramento Resolution No. 79-115, dated March 6, 1979, and Resolution No. 79-190, dated April 3, 1979, address hereto set forth, and

WHEREAS the owners of said property failed to perform abatement work as required by said provisions, and

WHEREAS the Fire Marshal ordered that said abatement work be done by the City and the costs of same be made a special assessment lien upon the property, and

WHEREAS said work has been done by contractors of the City, and

WHEREAS the owners of the property upon which abatement work was performed have not voluntarily paid the City for the costs of said abatement,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. That the City Council hold a hearing before it on May 14, 1981, in the City Council Chambers, 915 I Street, Sacramento, California, to hear and consider all protests, if there shall be any, and then affirm or reject the placing of the costs of such abatement work upon such property as a special assessment lien.
2. The property upon which said abatement work has been performed, and proposed lien is attached, Pages 1 through 34 and is to be posted outside the Council Chamber as prescribed by law.

MAYOR

ATTEST

CITY CLERK