

City of Sacramento
State Legislature

14

B I L L R E F E R R A L

URGENT!

DATE: MAR 21 1990 COMMITTEE ACTION: _____

TO: JAL Burrowes
City Clerk DATE: _____

FROM: KENNETH EMANUELS, LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATE

REPLY NO LATER THAN: APR 3 1990

A.B. 4128, As Amended 3-2-90* Author Chacon

S.B. _____, As Amended _____ * Author _____
*Date of introduction or latest amendment

Please review the attached measure to determine its effect upon the City of Sacramento and complete the following questions as appropriate. During your analysis of this measure, if questions arise, please feel free to contact Ken Emanuels at 444-6789 (FAX 444-0303) (1400 K Street, Suite 306, Sacramento, CA 95814.) This questionnaire should be returned to the City Attorney's Office for presentation to the Council Committee on Law and Legislation. PLEASE LEAVE THE BILL ATTACHED TO THIS FORM.

NO RECOMMENDATION. If you think no Committee action on this bill should be taken, either because the bill is not of sufficient importance to the City or for any other reason, please mark here, do not fill out the rest of the form, and return this form to the City Attorney's Office. _____.

PLEASE TYPE YOUR RESPONSE

- Briefly describe the provisions of the bill (attach additional sheets if necessary).
- This measure should be: (Please circle desired position)

Supported	<u>Opposed</u>	Supported if Amended
Placed on Watch List		Other (explain)
- Please explain your reasons for the above determination, including how this measure effects your Department and the fiscal impact of this measure on the City. Please make your comments in a format that can be used in a letter to state officials. (Continue on next page or attach additional sheets if necessary.)

*The cost the City could possibly incur.
Unless negotiations could be had.*

(Continue answer to Question No. 3 here)

4. Specify the City's legislative policy guideline(s) applicable to this measure (if any).

5. If this measure could be amended to either improve its favorable aspects or to minimize its adverse aspects, which amendments would you propose?

None

6. List known support or opposition to this measure by groups with which you are familiar and include addresses and phone numbers, if known. League of California Cities position:

7. Does this bill involve a State-mandated local program? If so, does the bill contain a State-mandated waiver, or an appropriation for allocation and disbursement to local agencies pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 2231?

8. Using a rating scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 as the most important), how important do you think this bill is to the City of Sacramento? 10

FORM COMPLETED BY:

Patricia Burrows

DATE:

3/28/90

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 4128

Introduced by Assembly Member Chacon

March 2, 1990

An act to amend Section 23311 of the Elections Code, relating to elections.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 4128, as introduced, Chacon. Consolidated elections: cost: reimbursement.

Existing law provides a procedure for the consolidation of local and statewide elections that are held on the same day. Among other things, it provides that the governing body ordering consolidation may provide for the appointment of precinct boards, the formation of precincts, and the expenses of the election in the territory affected.

This bill would provide that, for the purposes of determining the expenses of the election, the governing body ordering consolidation may be reimbursed for the proportionate cost and expense incurred in the conduct of the election. It would require that the cost and expense of an election within the boundaries of a city for city offices or propositions, or both, be apportioned between the city and the county in the ratio that the total number of offices to be filled and propositions to be voted upon by the electors of the city bears to the total number of offices to be filled and propositions submitted.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 23311 of the Elections Code is
2 amended to read:

3 23311. (a) Except as otherwise provided in this
4 chapter, when elections are consolidated, the governing
5 body ordering consolidation may, in the territory
6 affected thereby, provide for *all of the following*:

7 ~~(a)~~

8 (1) The appointment of precinct boards.

9 ~~(b)~~

10 (2) The formation of precincts for ~~such~~ those
11 elections.

12 ~~(c)~~

13 (3) The expenses of the election.

14 (b) *For purposes of determining the expenses of the*
15 *election as provided in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a),*
16 *the governing body ordering consolidation may be*
17 *reimbursed for the proportionate cost and expense*
18 *incurred in the conduct of the election. The cost and*
19 *expense of an election within the boundaries of a city for*
20 *city offices or propositions, or both, shall be apportioned*
21 *between the city and the county in the ratio that the total*
22 *number of offices to be filled and propositions to be voted*
23 *upon by the electors of the city bears to the the total*
24 *number of offices to be filled and propositions submitted.*