

SPECIAL MEETING SYNOPSIS

February 23, 1981

CABLE TELEVISION HEARING

CLOSE HEARING

COUNCIL
MOV:D5 SEC:D1
AYES: UNANIMOUS
BOARD
MOV:SHEEDY
SEC:COLLIN
AYES: UNANIMOUS
ABS: BRYAN, SMOLEY

1. Chapter 1 - General Provisions

Without Objection

Purposes

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Definitions

Tentative Approval except
for a. Basic Services, and
h. Fair Rate of Return
subject to the following
reports:

Without Objection

1. Reduction of 2 channels
requirement
2. Alternate language more
restrictive and broader
basic services
3. Evaluate relationship
between basic service
and basic fee life line
rate

Franchise Required

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

General Characteristics
of Franchise Issued

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Franchise Areas

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Utility Poles

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Notices

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Leases

Tentative Approval

Without Objection

Future Incorporations	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Annexations	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Ordinances- Police Powers	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Amendements	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Vested Rights and Changes of Law	Reserved: Vested Rights needs more specificity and clarity, and Changes of Law to be made as tough as possible and seek outside legal counsel.	Without Objection
Franchisor	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Reservation of Rights	Tentative approval subject to exploring subject of notice and non-completion of service area	Without Objection
Communications with Regulatory Agencies	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
Rights of Intervention	Tentative Approval	Without Objection
2. Chapter 2 - Cable Television Commission	Tentative Approval subject to the following: 1. Language that would allow governing board to appoint representatives to serve on their behalf 2. Feasibility of payment for members of Commission 3. The percent of payment that is identified in the sixth paragraph the direct cost incurred by the City and County and exploring the possibility of direct payment as opposed to percentage formula. 4. Identification of alternative formula for distribution of that money between City and County besides population. One of the alternatives mentioned was roadways or possibly houses that are served	<u>COUNCIL</u> MOV:D6 SEC:D4 AYES: UNANIMOUS ABS:D1 <u>BOARD</u> MOV: JOHNSON SEC: COLLIN AYES: UNANIMOUS ABS: BRYAN, SMOLEY

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3. Isenberg: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Redo schedule of Activities 2. Complete Ordinance as soon as possible | <p>REFERRED TO CITY AND COUNTY STAFF</p> |
| 4. Hoeber: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Send letter to Howard Gann requesting him to tell us on what it should take to evaluate proposal in 2 months as opposed to 3 months. 2. Initiate communication with SMUD and Pacific Telephone on ways they are going to participate and ways to improve underground program and use of utility poles | <p>Without Objection</p> |
| 5. Request report on merit of having an outside legal counsel for select legal issues | | <p>REFERRED TO CITY ATTORNEY AND COUNTY COUNSEL</p> |
| 6. Status Report due 3-9-81 on list of items discussed in Work-Study Sessions | | <p>REFERRED TO CITY AND COUNTY STAFF</p> |
| 7. Staff to take into consideration suggestions submitted by speakers | | <p>REFERRED TO CITY AND COUNTY STAFF</p> |