

Sacramento, California,

February 6, 1931.

The Civil Service Board of the City of Sacramento met in special session on the above date at 7:10 o'clock P. M. in the office of the Board, Room 106 City Hall, President Chenu presiding.

PRESENT: Commissioners Maloney and Chenu.

ABSENT : Commissioner Weisman.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved as read.

Mr. Fred Hobson, Foreman at the Incinerator, appeared before the Board and was questioned regarding the work being performed by A. J. Angelo, an employee under his supervision. Mr. Hobson explained that Mr. Angelo was at one time serving in the capacity of Stoker, receiving a rate of \$125.00 per month. Due to the character of the work, Mr. Angelo was changed to another position at the plant, change being made with the consent of Mr. Angelo. The salary prevailing for the position to which Mr. Angelo was transferred was \$5.00 per day. The position of Stoker left by Mr. Angelo was later classified as Stationary Fireman at a time when Mr. Angelo was working as Laborer. The records do not show that Mr. Angelo had ever had a classification of Stoker, although working at one time in that capacity. The Board suggested to Mr. Hobson the employment of men already working for the city, when temporary work exists in other classifications.

A communication was received from the City Clerk, informing the Board that the resolution recently adopted by the Board and forwarded to the Council had been ordered filed by that body. The communication from the City Clerk was ordered filed.

A communication was received from the City Attorney in reply to a request from the Board for an opinion as to the proper placement of the position of Superintendent of Traffic, in accordance with the provisions of Section 43 of the City Charter. The City Attorney advised that in his opinion the position was not in the classified service.

Commissioner Maloney moved that a communication be directed to the City Council acknowledging receipt of letter advising of action of that body upon the resolution adopted by the Civil Service Board; advising the Council that in compliance with Resolution #114 adopted by the Council, an opinion was requested from the City Attorney as to whether the position of Superintendent of Traffic, under provision of Section 43 of the City Charter, was in the classified service or a Chief Deputy; that the following opinion was received:

"City of Sacramento
State of California
February 5, 1931.

Re: Office of Superintendent of Traffic Bureau.

Municipal Civil Service Board,
Sacramento, California.

Dear Sirs:

I am in receipt of your communication asking my opinion whether or not the office of Superintendent of the Traffic Bureau is in the classified service as defined by Section 43 of the City Charter.

In reply, will state that, in my opinion, such office is not in said classified service. The reasons for my opinion are set out fully in my letter to your Board dated October 30, 1928; and also in a letter to City Manager Kluegel dated December 13, 1928, a copy of which is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

(Signed)

HUGH B. BRADFORD
CITY ATTORNEY"

Enc.
HBB/GGH

that it is desirable that a friendly suit be instigated in order to clarify the status of the position of Superintendent of Traffic and determine whether it is in the classified service or is a Chief Deputy; that a court decision will assist in materially clarifying the status of other positions analogous in the City Government, this procedure being in accord with the expressed desire of the City Manager.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Chenu. The vote was as follows:

AYES: Commissioners Maloney and Chenu.
 NOES: None. ABSENT: Commissioner Weisman.

A communication was received from the City Manager relative to the procedure to be followed by the various department heads when requesting work to be performed relating to Carpentry, Plumbing, Machine work, etc. The communication was ordered filed.

A communication was received from Mrs. Andrew Hanson relative to status of Andrew Hanson, an eligible on the eligible list for Janitor and Watchman. Commissioner Maloney moved that the Secretary be instructed to communicate with Mrs. Hanson. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Chenu. The vote was as follows:

AYES: Commissioners Maloney and Chenu.
 NOES: None. ABSENT: Commissioner Weisman.

The Board reviewed the papers in the recent test for Uniform Fireman.

Adjournment was taken at 9:30 o'clock P. M. to meet again Tuesday, February 10, 1931.

J. H. Maloney
 Secretary.

APPROVED:

Chas. J. Chenu
 President.