



November 25, 1985

Budget & Finance Committee of the City Council Sacramento, CA

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority

SUMMARY

The attached report is submitted to you for review and recommendation prior to consideration by the Housing Authority of the City of Sacramento.

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends approval of the recommendations outlined in the attached report.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM H. EDGAR

Executive Director

TRANSMITTAL TO COMMITTEE:

SOLON WISHAM, JR. Assistant City Manage





DATE: December 2, 1985

Housing Authority of the City of Sacramento Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority

of the City and County of Sacramento

SUMMARY

This report requests the following items:

- 1. The Energy Conservation Program is approved as presented and the Executive Director is authorized to proceed with energy conservation measures in the housing projects.
- 2. The Executive Director is authorized to solicit bids to perform energy conservation measures at Cal 7-4, Cal 5-19, Cal 5-3, Cal 7-1, Cal 7-2B, and Cal 7-2A.
- 3. The Executive Director is authorized to award and execute contracts to the lowest responsible bidders.
- 4. The Executive Director is authorized to amend the contract with Ahmo Garden to include additional services to perform the above work or additional services related to the Energy Conservation Program.
- 5. The Executive Director is authorized to loan \$180,000 from Parking Facility funds at 9% interest to be reimbursed upon receipt of Energy Bank and P.G.&E. rebates

Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority of the City and County of Sacramento December 2, 1985
Page 2

BACKGROUND

In resolution City 85-105 dated May 14, 1985 authorization was given to the Executive Director to apply for a grant from the California Energy Bank: Second Release, 1985. The Agency was ranked number one among the applicants and has received an initial award of \$241,797.00. We have also been informed that we are one of two applicants under consideration for a possible supplemental award. These funds have not been received by the Housing Authority as they are reimbursable expenses for actual installation.

The Executive Director was also authorized to apply for Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) "Cashback" rebates. Agency applications to date have been successful in the amount of \$160,763. These funds have been received as reimbursable expenses for previously installed work. The total funding approval for both programs is \$402,560.

By resolution City 85-039, the Executive Director was authorized to expend the California Energy Bank and PG&E Cashback rebates as was presented in that report. In that report staff could not present a full picture of the Energy Conservation Program due to unavailablity of all data. This report and as shown attached represents a full scope of the Energy Conservation Program.

The datas were gathered by Ahmo Garden and Associates, the Energy Consultant hired to prepare the documents for the Energy Bank and PG&E Cashback Rebates. The above funds will be spent on energy conservation measures in our low income and elderly housing projects. California Energy Bank fund conservation measures eligible for expenditures are as follows:

Clock thermostats, load management devices, replacement heat pumps, heat pump water heaters, meter conversion, caulking/weatherstripping, furnace modification/replacement, building insulation, water heater insulation, storm windows & doors, replacement air conditioner, new air conditioners, flow controllers, energy control systems, lighting system improvements, energy recovery systems, and cogeneration systems.

Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority of the City and County of Sacramento December 2, 1985
Page 3

Cashback fund expenditures are for energy conservation measures which are eligible for additional Cashback refund, measures are as follows:

Insulation, caulking weatherstrip, H/W wrap, duct wrap, low-flow showerheads, storm windows, storm doors, clock therm, light coversions and common usage lighting.

The program data as shown in Attachment A shows the housing projects that require energy conservations measures, the measure to be installed, the cost for the measure, and the possible PG&E Cashback and Energy Bank rebates for the installation.

The summary of this program data indicates that it will cost \$911,046 to install all the measures indicated to the housing projects listed. The possible rebates that may be received for these installations may total \$773,196.

Both Cashback and the California Energy Bank reimburse expenditures for actual measures installed. Neither of the programs allow for draw down of funds in advance or anticipation of expenditure. This means that in order to use these programs adequate cash reserves to actually pay for reimbursable line items are needed. In other words the Housing Authority will need \$911,046 worth of installation in order to receive the maximum cash rebates of \$773,196. As we do not have funds to perform all the work, a scaled down version is presented.

As previously indicated the Housing Authority has received a total of \$160,763 from PG&E as reimbursable expenses for previously installed measure. Of this amount, approximately \$40,000 plus have been spent for the purchase of shower heads, caulking material, payment of consultant fees and miscellaneous related energy conservation items, leaving approximately \$120,000 in the energy conservation account.

At this writing Ahmo Garden & Associates will submit invoices for reimbursement for work presently being undertaken. As shown in Attachment B, the Housing Authority is eligible to receive reimbursement totaling \$179,727 for a total installation of \$283,559 performed under the 1983 CIAP. With this reimbursement

Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority of the City and County of Sacramento December 2, 1985
Page 4

the energy conservation account will total approximately \$300,000 (\$120,000 & \$179,727).

Staff is therefore proposing to perform approximately \$300,000 of Energy Conservation measures instead of the full scope of \$911,046. The prioritization of work to be performed in the housing projects and their costs are listed below.

	5-19 5-3	\$127,750 89,695 61,672 30,743 9,150 11,400
TOTAL	/-2A	\$330,410

The actual amounts will depend on the receipts of actual bids and the work scope decreased as necessary to balance the budget to include administrative funds.

It should be noted that if the reimbursement of \$179,727 is not received at the time of contract award, the Executive Director is authorized to advance these funds to be reimbursed upon receipt of the Energy Bank and Cashback rebates.

Upon completion of this work the Housing Authority will submit invoices to the Energy Bank for reimbursement and applications to PG&E for rebates possibly totaling \$200,000, which can then be recycled for further energy measures.

FINANCIAL DATA

The Energy Conservation account presently has approximately \$120,000, it is expected that at the time of contract award an amount of \$179,727 will be received from rebates or advanced from Tax Increment for a short period. The consultant fees will be paid from these funds not to exceed \$18,000.00.

Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority of the City and County of Sacramento December 2, 1985
Page 5

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

A project of this nature is exempt from environmental review requirements.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The proposed actions are consistent with previously adopted policy and there are no policy changes being recommended.

VOTE AND RECOMMENDATION OF COMMISSION

At its regular meeting of December 2, 1985, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission adopted a motion recommending adoption of the attached resolution. The votes were as follows:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends adoption of the attached resolution :

- 1. The Energy Conservation Program is approved as presented and the Executive Director is authorized to proceed with energy conservation measures in the housing projects.
- 2. The Executive Director is authorized to solicit bids to perform energy conservation measures at Cal 7-4, Cal 5-19, Cal 5-3, Cal 7-1, Cal 7-2B, and Cal 7-2A.
- 3. The Executive Director is authorized to award and execute contracts to the lowest responsible bidders.

Energy Conservation Program for the Housing Authority of the City and County of Sacramento December 2, 1985
Page 6

- 4. The Executive Director is authorized to amend the contract with Ahmo Garden to include additional services to perform the above work or additional services related to the Energy Conservation Program.
- 5. The Executive Director is authorized to loan \$180,000 from Parking Facility funds at 9% interest to be reimbursed upon receipt of Energy Bank and P.G.&E. rebates.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM H. EDGAR Executive Director

TRANSMITTAL TO COUNCIL:

WALTER J. SLIPE City Manager

Contact Person: Roy Tjen-A-Looi

RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO ON DATE OF

ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM FOR THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

Section 1: The Energy Conservation Program is approved as presented and the Executive Director is authorized to proceed with energy conservation measures in the housing projects.

Section 2: The Executive Director is authorized to solicit bids to perform energy conservation measures at Cal 5-19 and Cal 5-3 and execute contracts to the lowest responsible bidders.

Section 3: The Executive Director is authorized to amend the contract with Ahmo Garden to include additional services to perform the above work or additional related to the Energy Conservation Program.

Section 4: The Executive Director is authorized to loan \$180,000 of Parking Facility funds at 9 percent interest to be reimbursed upon receipt of Energy Bank and PG&E rebates.

		CHAIR
ATTEST:	·	
	SECRETARY	

z:hacsenergycons

SHRA: Estimated Energy Measure Expenditures and Energy Bank Fund Projections

		Complex Desci	riptio)n		DIY		DIY		Installed			DïY
Ţ	AL	Complex	-	Ho.	\$	Shwrhead		H20 Yrap		Caulking		Yeathe	rstrip
	*	Name	MUD	Units	Apps.	Total \$		Total \$		Total \$		Drs/	Total \$
	:				••	@\$12.29ea		@ \$10 each		@\$35 ea.		Unit	@ \$8 each
5	-2	River Oaks Project	SFD	40	35	\$492	ı	\$400		\$406	C	2	\$640
5	-3	New Helvetia	SFD	104	68	\$1278	ļ	\$1040		\$1055	C	. 2	\$1664
- 1	-14	Colonial Heights Villa	SFD	80	70	\$983		\$800		\$2800		1	\$640
- 4	-2	Kennedy Estates	SFD	36	24	\$442		\$0	В	\$1260		1	\$288
1	-3	4th Ave Park Terrace		24		\$295		\$240		\$840		2	\$384
!	-5	Wildflower	SFD	10		\$123		\$100		\$350		2	\$160
1	-1	Dos Rios Project	SFD	168	152	\$2065	1	\$0	Н	\$1704	l	2	\$2688
1 -	-28	Dos Rios Project	SFD	50	ū	\$615	1	\$0		\$507	;	2	\$800
1	-13	William Land Villa	SFD	28	27	\$344	•	\$280		\$980		2	\$448
<u> </u>	-2	River Oaks Scat Sites		9		\$111		\$90		\$315		2	\$144
1	-19	Scattered Sites	SFD	102		\$959	þ	\$1020		\$791	P	2	\$1632
1	-20	Rehabilitated Units	SFD	60		\$0	•	\$0		\$0		0	\$0
	-21	Scat. Substan. Rehab.		47		\$0		\$0		\$0		0	\$0
1	~4 -4	Scattered Sites	SFD	ខ		\$98		\$80		\$280		2	\$128
1	-2a		SFD	50	47	\$615	ı	\$500		\$1750		. 2	\$300
1	-4	Scattered Sites	SFD	103	7.	\$1266	•	\$1030		\$3605		2	\$16 4 8
į -		Substan, Rehab, County		33		\$406		\$0	М	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	\$528
		odoskan, Kenab, Codnik	1010		•	φτου			. ,			-	****
		Total Scattered Sites	i	412	47	\$3,453		\$2,720		\$6,741			\$4,880
		Total SFD		952	423	\$10,090		\$5,580		\$15,642			\$12,592
					,								
						@\$12.29ea		2@\$25		@ \$10 each			
5	-5	Sutter's View	MUD	77	60	\$946		\$50		\$770		1	\$770
5	-6	Sierra View	MUD	78	61	\$959		\$50		\$780		1	\$780
5	-7	Capitol Terrace	MUD	84	94	\$1032		\$50		\$840		1	\$840
5	-8	The Comstocks	MUD	80	47	\$983	1	\$50		\$800		1	\$800
5	-9	Washington Plaza	MUD	76	61	\$934		\$50		\$760		1	\$760
5	-10	Big Trees	MUD	29	- 26	\$356		\$50		\$290		1	\$290
5	- í 1	Sherman Oaks Plaza	MUD	32		\$393		\$50		\$320		1	\$320
5	-12	Lincoln Manor	MUD	18	15	\$221		\$50		\$180		1	\$180
5	-15	Gibson Oaks	MUD	80	68	\$983	1	\$50		\$800		1	\$800
	-16	. Riverview	MUD		89	\$1327		\$50		\$1080		1	\$1080
5	-17	Pine Knoll	MUD	30	29	\$369		\$300		\$300		1	\$300
5	-13	Gran Casa Linda	MUD	40	26	\$492	İ	\$0		\$400		1	\$400
6	-1	Ping Yuen Center	MUD	72	50	\$385		\$50		\$720		1	\$576
	-1	New Helvetia	MUD	254	165	\$3122	ļ	\$2540		\$2576	1	1	\$2032
- 1	-2	River Oaks Project	MUD	351	288	\$4314	ł	\$0		\$3559	1	1	\$2808
6	-2	Kennedy Estates	MUD	64	61	\$737		\$0		\$640		1	\$512
		-											
		Total MUD		1473	1140	\$18,103		\$3,390		\$14,815			\$13,248
		Total SFD plus MUD				\$28,193		\$8,970		\$31,456			\$25,840

M=May Need C=Claimed

l=Installed B=boilers P=Partial Installation

H=New Heaters

SHRA: Estimated Energy Measure Expenditures and Energy Bank Fund Projections

		Installed		Installed		Installed		Installed	Installed
CAL	Attic In	sulation		Yail Insul.		Setback	•	Therm doors	Lighting
#	Units	Total \$		Total \$		Total \$		Total \$	Total \$
-	w/attica	SFD \$210				@ \$65 each			@3×\$54
5-2	40	\$0	1	\$16000		\$2000	Ε	\$7252	1 \$4080
5-3	104	\$0		\$41600		\$6760		\$0	\$10608
5-14	80	\$4188		\$0		\$5200		\$0	\$8160
6-2	0.	\$0		\$0		\$2340		\$0	\$3672
6-3	24	\$5040		\$0		\$1560		\$0	\$2448
6-5	10	\$2100		\$0		\$650		\$0	\$1020
7-1	٥	\$0		\$45958	8	\$10920		\$0	\$17136
7-25	0	\$0		\$13678		\$3250		\$0	\$5100
5-13	28	\$5880		\$0	_	\$1820		\$0	\$2856
5-2	4	\$0	ī	\$5400		\$585		\$0	\$918
5-19	51	-	P	\$61200		\$6630		\$0	\$10404
5-20	0	\$0	•	\$18000		\$3900		\$0	\$6120
5-21	0	\$0		\$14100		\$3055		\$0	\$4794
6-4	8,			\$4800		\$520		\$0	\$816
7-2A	50	\$0		\$13678	8	\$3250		\$0	\$5100
7-4	103	\$41200		\$61800	_	\$6695		\$0	\$10506
7-9	0	\$0		\$9900		\$2145		\$ 0	\$3366
		40		\$ 3300		\$21.10		••	******
		\$54,000		\$188,878		\$26,780		\$0	\$42,024
	502	\$71,208		\$306,114		\$61,230		\$7,252	\$97,104
									@3×\$34
5-5	11	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$7,854
5-6	11	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$7,956
5-7	10	\$0		\$ 0		\$0		\$0	\$8,568
5-8	10	\$8085	l	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$8,160
5-9	10	\$0	•	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$7,752
5-10	10	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,958
5-11	16	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$3,264
5-12	, 9	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,836
5-15	10	\$1375	P	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$8,160
5-16	108	\$0	•	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$11,016
5-17	30	\$0		\$0		\$1950		\$0	\$3,060
5-18	24	_	1	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$4,080
6-1	24	\$0	-	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$7,344
5-1	254		ı	\$0			1	\$0	\$25,908
5-2	351	\$0	•	\$0		\$17550		\$63632	\$35,802
6-2	64	\$0	R	\$0		\$4160	-	4	\$6,528
-	J.			•		******			, , , , , ,
		\$19,580		\$0		\$40,170	-	\$63,632	\$150,246
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$90,788		\$306,114		\$101,450		\$70,884	\$247,350

	Complex Descri	ption		_		
CAL	Complex	Project	Energy	Total	Energy	Energy
3	Hame	Total	Bank	PS&E	Bank plus	Conserv.
_			Funds #	Rebate	Rebate	Account
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$31,269	\$13,595	\$9,200	\$22,795	\$8475
5-3	New Helvetia	\$64,005	\$26,698	\$28,080	\$54,778	\$9226
5-14	Colonial Heights Villa	\$22,771	\$7,306	\$0	\$7,306	\$ 15466
6-2	Kennedy Estates	\$8,002	\$2,165	\$3,420	\$5,585	\$2417
6-3	4th Ave Park Terrace	\$10,807	\$4,179	\$4,800	\$8,979	\$1827
6-5	Wildflower	\$4,503	\$1,741	\$950	\$2,691	\$1811
7-1	Dos Rios Project	\$80,470	\$31,667	\$33,059	\$64,725	\$15744
7-28	Dos Rios Project	\$23,950	\$9,425	\$9,839	\$19,264	\$4686
5-13	William Land Villa	\$12,608	\$4,876	\$0	\$4,876	\$7732
5-2	River Oaks Scat Sites	\$ 7,563	\$3,322	\$3,555	\$6,877	\$685
5-19	Scattered Sites	\$92,236	\$40,916	\$43,920	\$84,836	\$ 7400
5-20	Rehabilitated Units	\$28,020	\$10,950	\$14,100	\$25,050	\$2970
5-21	Scat. Substan. Rehab.	\$21,949	\$8,578	\$11,045	\$19,623	\$2327
6-4	Scattèred Sites	\$9,922	\$4,553	\$4,760	\$9,313	\$609
7-2A	Dos Rios Scattered	\$25,693	\$10,296	\$21,589	\$31,885	(\$6193)
7-4	Scattered Sites	\$127,750	\$58,622	\$61,285	\$119,907	\$7843
7-9	Substan, Rehab, County	\$16,345	\$6,489	\$5,610	\$12,099	\$ 4245
	,	•				
	Total Scattered Sites	\$329,476	E9450%			
	Total SFD	\$597,862	\$245,379	\$255,212	\$500,591	\$87,271
		A4 A		*	• • • • • •	
5-5	Sutter's View	\$10,390	\$2,078	\$9,722	\$11,800	(\$1410)
5-6°	Sierra View	\$10,525	\$2,105	\$9,848	\$11,953	(\$1428) (\$1540)
5-7	Capitol Terrace	\$11,330	\$2,266	\$10,604	\$12,870	(\$1540)
5-8	The Comstocks	\$18,878	\$3,776	\$11,100	\$14,876	\$4003
5-9	Washington Plaza	\$10,256	\$2,051	\$9,596	\$11,647	(\$1391)
5-10	Big Trees	\$3,944 \$4.747	\$789	\$3,674	\$4,463	(\$518) (\$574)
5-11	Sherman Oaks Plaza	\$4,347 \$2,467	\$869	\$4,052	\$4,921 \$2,701	(\$574) (\$714)
5-12 5-15	Lincoln Manor	\$2,467 *12,169	\$493	\$2,288	\$2,781 \$12,574	(\$314) (\$765)
5-15 5-14	Gibson Oaks Riverview	\$12,168 \$14.557	\$2,434	1	\$12,534 \$14,539	(\$365) (\$1985)
5-16 5-17	i l	\$14,553 \$6,279	\$2,911 \$1,256	\$13,628 •4 900	\$16,539 \$6,276	(\$1985) \$43
5-18:	Pine Knoll Gran Casa Linda	\$6,279 \$15,492	\$1,256	\$4,980 \$7,440	\$6,236 \$10,538	
6-1	Ping Yuen Center	\$13,432 \$9,575	\$3,098 \$1,915	\$7,440 \$9,092	\$11,007	\$4953 (\$1432)
5-1	New Helvetia	\$52,687	\$10,537	\$37,084	\$47,621	\$5066
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$127,665	\$25,533	\$54,756	\$80,289	\$47376
6-2	Kennedy Estates	\$12,627	\$2,525	\$10,004	\$12,529	\$47578
	veiniend Estates	4.2,021	EB @20%	Ψ.υ,υυ-	¥1.202.7	₩ 2 î
	Total MUD	\$323,184	\$64,637	\$207,968	\$272,605	\$50,579
	101011100	4020,107	₩ ₩.,001	#£01,700	4212,000	400,017
	Total SFD plus MUD	\$911,046	\$310.016	\$463,180	\$777 10¢	\$177 OSD
	I O COLO DIO DIO DI IONI	4711,070	4010,010	#TU,100 (₹ 110,170	\$137,850

±Lighting Conversion in SFD not included

CAL	Complex	Hotes
3	Name .	
]
5-2	River Oaks Project	Caulking Claimed at \$10.14 per unit not installed. Attic Insul. installed before EB
5-3	New Helvetia	Caulking Claimed at \$10.14 per unit not installed. Attic Insul. installed before EE Attic Insul. is low. Check with Contractor.
5-14 6-2	Colonial Heights Villa Kennedy Estates	Aftic misur. Is 10%. Check with contractor.
6-3	4th Ave Park Terrace	·
6-5	Wildflower	
7-1	Dos Rios Project	Walls are actual amount
7-28	•	
5-13	William Land Villa	
5-2	River Oaks Soat Sites	Units w/ attics have been halved.
5-19		Caulking Claimed at \$10.14 per unit not installed
5-20		Assuming half of walls are insulated
5-21		Assuming half of walls are insulated
6-4	Scattered Sites	Wa titie Incul Cailings are waulted
7-2 A 7-4	Dos Rios Scattered Scattered Sites	No Attic Insul. Ceilings are yaulted
7-9	Substan, Rehab, County	Assuming half of walls are insulated
	Substain, Kenab, County	Hastining hate of Fairs at Chisalacea
<u> </u>		
_		
5-5	Sutter's View	Attic Insul, estimated.
5-6 5-7	Sierra View Capitol Terrace	Attic Insul. estimated.
5-8	The Comstocks	
5-9	Washington Plaza	
5-10	Big Trees	
5-11	Sherman Oaks Plaza	
5-12	Lincoln Manor ,	
5-15	Gibson Oaks	Insulation in community room only
5-16	Riverview	
5-17	Pine Knoll	
5-18	Gran Casa Linda	
6-1	Ping Yuen Center	Coulling at \$10.14/unit title inculation installed agins to ED aggrees
5-1 5-2	New Helvetia River Oaks Project	Caulking at \$10.14/unit Attic Insulation installed prior to EB program Caulking at \$10.14/unit
6-2	Kiver Daks Project Kennedy Estates	Counting at Pro.IT/unit
0-2	Veilliend Extates	<u>.</u>
ſ		<u> </u>
ι		
ſ		
Ł		

program.	Thermostats need	to be	accurate	ly priced	
program					
					•
				-	
	•				
	•				
				-	
	•				
			•		
•					
			•		
		!			
		1			
		,			
	······				7.7.7.2.2.2
				<u>·</u>	

CAL	Complex	SFD/	No.	5	hover	hea	ds	Hot	Water	Wrep		Caulkin	g
	Name	MUD	Units	Project	PG8 Cashi		EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds
	·			№\$12.29 ea.	@ \$1	O ea.	₽ 50%	@\$10 ea	@\$10 ea	e 50%		@\$15 ea	€ 50%
5-2	River Oaks Project	SFD	40	\$492		\$0	\$246	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$406	s \$0	\$203
5-3	New Helvetia	SFD	104			\$0	\$639	\$0	\$0		\$1,055		\$527
5-14	Colonial Heights Villa	SFD	80			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
5-19	Scattered Sites	SFD	102		P	\$0	\$479	\$0	\$0		\$791		\$395
7-1	Dos Rios Project	SFD	168	\$2,065		\$0	\$1,032	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,704	s \$ 0	\$852
7-2A	Dos Rios Scattered	SFD	50	\$615		\$0	\$307	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 <u> </u>
7-2B	Dos Rios Project	SFD	50	\$615		\$0	\$307	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$507	\$ \$0	\$254
	Total SFD		594	\$6,022		\$0	\$3,011	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,462	\$0	\$2,231
			,	 @\$12.29 ea. 	. @\$1	O ea.	€20%	@\$10 ea	@\$10 e	e 20%		@\$10ea	€ 20%
5-2	River Oaks Project	MUD	351	\$4,314	?	\$0	\$863	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,559	s \$0°	\$712
5-1	New Helvetia	MUD	254	\$3,122	?	\$0	\$624	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,576		
5-5	Sutter's View	MUD	77	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-6	Sierra View	MUD	78	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	· \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$Ū
5-8	The Cornstocks	MUD	80	\$983	N \$	800	\$197	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,280	P \$200	\$1,056
5-15	Gibson Oaks	MUD	80	\$983	N \$	800	\$197	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
5~18	Gran Casa Linda	MUD	40	\$492	N - \$	400	\$98	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,800	\$400	\$1,360
	Total MUD		960	\$9,893	\$2,0	000	\$1,979	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,215	\$600	\$3,643
	Total SFD and MUI	<u> </u>	1554	\$15,916	\$2,0	000	\$4,990	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,676	\$600	\$5,874

N=Assumed not submitted to PG&E

P=Partial: 78 units
- ?=Have these been submitted?

P=Partial: 20 units S=Submitted to PG&E

Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency: Energy Measure Fund Leveraging

CAL	CAL Complex Weatherstripping			Attic.	Roof Inst	ilation	Wall Insulation			
*	Name	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds
			@\$1 0eε	₱ 50%		\$200ea	e 50%			∲ 50%
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	* \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-3	New Helvetia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
5-14	Colonial Heights Yilla	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,188	E \$0	\$2,094	- \$0	\$0	\$0
5-19	Scattered Sites	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7-1	Dos Rios Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,958 I	B \$22,979	\$22,979
7-2A	Dos Rios Scattered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,678 1	8 \$6,839	\$6,839
7-2B	Dos Rios Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,678	B \$6,839	\$6,839
	Total SFD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,188	\$0	\$2,094	\$73,314	\$36,657	\$36,657
	,		₽\$1 0eε	₽20%		@\$100 ea.	● 20%		₽ \$100 ea.	№ 20%
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-1	New Helvetia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-5	Sutter's View	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-6	Sierra Yiew	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-8	The Comstocks	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,085	P \$1,000	\$1,617	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-15	Gibson Oaks	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-18	Gran Casa Linda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,120	P \$2,400	\$2,024	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total MUD.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,205	\$3,400	\$3,641	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total SFD and MUD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,393	\$3,400	\$5,735	\$73,314	\$36,657	\$36,657

E= All Electric does not qualify for PG&E
P=Partial amount: only 24 units with attics
B=Bid price

CAL	Complex	Setbac	k Therm	ostats	Th:	ermal doo	rs	Light	ing Conve	rsion
#	Hame	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	Project	PG&E	EB Funds
			@ \$30 ea.	@ 50%		\$15 each	● 50%		@ \$20 each	N/A
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$2,000 E	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$7,252 A	\$1,200	\$3,626	\$5,067 E	\$0	\$0
5-3	New Helvetia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	04	\$0
5-14	Colonial Heights Villa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	,\$0	\$0
5-19	Scattered Sites	* \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7-1	Dos Rios Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.	\$0	\$0	\$0 R	\$3,360	\$0
7-21	Dos Rios Scattered	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 R	\$1,000	\$0
7-2B	Dos Rios Project	· \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 R	\$1,000	\$0
	Total SFD	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$7,252	\$1,200	\$3,626	\$5,067	\$5,360	\$0
			\$30 each	Ф 20%		\$15 each	@ 20%		₽ \$24 each	e 20%
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$17,550 E	\$8,775	\$3,510	\$63,633	\$10,53 0	\$12,727	\$44,459 E	\$22,113	\$8,892
5-1	New Helvetia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-5	Sutter's View	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-6	Sierra View	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	,\$0	\$0
5-8	The Comstocks	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,600 P	\$456	\$520
5-15	Gibson Oaks	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500 P,	E \$750	\$300
5-18	Gran Casa Linda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,200 E	\$2,016	\$1,040
	Total MUD	\$17,550	\$8,775	\$3,510	\$63,633	\$10,530	\$12,727	\$53,759	\$25,335	\$10,752
	Total SFD and MUD	\$19,550	\$9,775	\$4,510	\$70,884	\$11,730	\$16,352	\$58,826	\$30,695	\$10,752

E=Esti mated

A≖Actual amount

R= Rebates estimated

E= Estimated

P=Partial installation

CAL	Complex	Pro	oject Total	7.5	PG&E plus
*	Name	Project	PG&E	EB Funds	EB Funds
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$15,216	\$2,200	\$5,074	\$7,274
5-3	New Helvetis	\$2,333	\$0	\$1,166	\$1,166
5-14	Colonial Heights Villa	\$4,188	\$0	\$2,094	
5-19	Scattered Sites	\$1,750	\$0	\$875	\$875
7-1	Dos Rios Project	\$49,726	\$26,339	\$24,863	\$51,202
7-2A	Dos Rios Scattered	\$14,293	\$7,839	\$7,146	\$14,985
7-2B	Dos Rios Project	\$14,800	\$7,839	\$7,400	\$15,239
	Total SFD	\$102,304	\$44,217	\$48,619	\$92,836
		V. (0.2)	<u> </u>	- 4 10 10 13	432,000
				·	
5-2	River Oaks Project	\$133,515	\$41,418	\$26,703	
5-1	New Helvetia	\$5,697	\$0	\$1,139	\$1,139
5-5	Sutter's View	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-6	Sierra View	:\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5-8	The Comstocks	\$16,948	\$2,456	\$3,390	
5-15	Gibson Oaks	\$2,483	\$1,550	\$497	1
5-18	Gran Casa Linda	\$22,612	\$5,216	\$4,522	\$9,738
	Total MUD	\$181,255	\$50,640	\$36,251	\$86,891
<u></u>	Total SFD and MUD	\$283,559	\$94,857	\$84,870	\$179,727

Complex	Notes
Name	.v
River Oaks Project New Helvetia Colonial Heights Villa	Showerheads @\$12.29 each, Thermostats are estimated.
Scattered Sites Dos Rios Project	78 units: Showerheads,Caulking,Attic Insulation Wall Insulation Amount is a Bid.
Dos Rios Scattered Dos Rios Project	
River Oaks Project New Helvetia	Thermal Doors @ 181.29 ea. Three Lights per unit:1@\$48,2@\$39
Sutter's View Sierra View	·
Gibson Oaks	Estimated 44 Lights @\$52.27 ea.&40 @\$72.50 ea.
GI BII Casa LIII08	Latiniated 44 Lights & \$52.21 ca.840 & \$12.50 cd.
	River Oaks Project New Helvetia Colonial Heights Villa Scattered Sites Dos Rios Project Dos Rios Scattered Dos Rios Project River Oaks Project New Helvetia Sutter's View Sierra View The Comstocks