

16



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING
915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
CITY HALL ROOM 207 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
SEP - 3 1980

R. H. PARKER
CITY ENGINEER
J. F. VAROZZA
ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

September 3, 1980

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving Negative Declaration for Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways, and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park

SUMMARY:

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

BACKGROUND:

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways, and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On August 11, 1980 the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On August 20, 1980 Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in the Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RECOMMENDATION:

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Approve the Negative Declaration.
3. Approve the project.
4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Johnson
for R.H. Parker
City Engineer

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe
Walter J. Slipe, City Manager

September 10, 1980
District No. 1

RESOLUTION No. 80-594

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

September 10, 1980

RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways,
and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park

WHEREAS, on August 11, 1980, R. H. Parker, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated project:

Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways,
and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park

WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no appeals were received.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. That the proposed project Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways,
and Night Lighting at Strawberry Park Manor will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is hereby approved.
3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the purpose of
Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways, and Night Lighting at Strawberry Park Manor
4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 10 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

**NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF
INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR**

**Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways,
and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park.**

Notice is hereby given that the City of Sacramento has conducted an Initial Study and prepared a Negative Declaration for the Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways, and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park.

The proposed project would consist of Installation of Automatic Irrigation, Landscaping, Walkways, and Night Lighting at Strawberry Manor Park.

Copies of the Initial Study and the Negative Declaration are available for review at the City Engineer's office, Room 207, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California.

In order for any public comments concerning the adequacy of said Initial Study and Negative Declaration or other matters relating thereto, to be considered, they must be submitted, in writing, to reach the City Clerk's office, Room 203, City Hall, 9th and I Streets, Sacramento, California 95814 no later than August 31, 1980

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

Publish Once: 8/20/80

Cost Center No.: 2183

One Advance Proof Requested

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 1 0 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:
*INSTALLATION OF AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION, LANDSCAPING, WALKWAYS,
AND NIGHT LIGHTING AT STRAWBERRY MANOR PARK.*

2. Location of Project:
DANVILLE AND COOKINGHAM WAYS

3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento

4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.

5. The Initial Study was Prepared by GARRETT D. CRISPELL

6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: 8-7-80

ENDORSED:

Filed

AUG 14 1980

J.A. _____ AL WOODS

BY _____

Environmental Coordinator of
the City of Sacramento,
California, a municipal
corporation

By 
R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

RECEIVED
AUG 5 1980

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
ENGINEER'S OFFICE

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

INSTALLATION OF AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION, LANDSCAPING, WALKWAYS
AND NIGHT LIGHTING AT STRAWBERRY MANOR PARK.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

AREA TO BE DEVELOPED IS OWNED BY THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.
AREA IS BOUNDED ON WEST BY PRIVATE RESIDENCES, ON NORTH BY
DANVILLE WAY, ON EAST BY COOKINGHAM WAY AND ON SOUTH BY BARTON
WAY. ALL STREETS HAVE PRIVATE RESIDENCES ACROSS FROM PARK.

3. Environmental Effects - Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).

4. Mitigation Measures - Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).

5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

PROJECT CONFORMS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND GENERAL PLAN OF
THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.

Date AUGUST 4, 1980

Saretha Russell
(Signature)

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

	Yes	Maybe	No
1. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	—	—	X
4. <u>Plant Life</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	—	—	X
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	—	—	X
5. <u>Animal Life</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	—	—	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	—	—	X
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	—	—	X
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	—	—	X
6. <u>Noise</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in existing noise levels?	X	—	—
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	—	X	—
7. <u>Light and Glare</u> . Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	—	X	—
8. <u>Land Use</u> . Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	—	—	X
9. <u>Natural Resources</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	—	—	X
b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	—	—	X
10. <u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	—	—	X
11. <u>Population</u> . Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	—	—	X
12. <u>Housing</u> . Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	—	—	X
13. <u>Transportation/Circulation</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	—	—	X
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	—	—	X
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	—	—	X
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	—	—	X
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	—	—	X
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	—	—	X
14. <u>Public Services</u> . Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
a. Fire protection?	—	—	X
b. Police protection?	—	—	X

114. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached additional sheets if necessary)

II.1.b. THE CONSTRUCTION WORK AT STRAWBERRY MANOR PARK WILL RESULT IN THE DISRUPTION AND DISPLACEMENT OF THE SOIL DURING THE GRADING PHASE OF THE CONSTRUCTION. THE AREA REQUIRED FOR THE WALKWAYS WILL BE OVERCOVERED BY CONCRETE.

II.1.c. THE CHANGES IN GRADING WILL RESULT IN A CHANGE OF TOPOGRAPHY AMOUNTING TO LESS THAN ONE FOOT.

II.6.a. THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE IN EXISTING NOISE LEVELS DURING CONSTRUCTION AS A RESULT OF THE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR THE WORK. FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, THERE WILL NOT BE ANY CHANGE IN THE EXISTING NOISE LEVEL.

II.6.b. THERE MAY BE A SITUATION DURING CONSTRUCTION WHERE PEOPLE COULD BE EXPOSED TO SEVERE NOISE LEVELS DEPENDING UPON THE SOIL COMPACTION AND THE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED TO MAKE THE CHANGES IN GRADING.

II.7. THE ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR THE PARK MAY RESULT IN INCREASED GLARE AT NIGHT.

II.19. THE AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION, LANDSCAPING, WALKWAY AND NIGHT LIGHTING PROJECT WILL IMPROVE THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF THE EXISTING RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE STRAWBERRY MANOR NEIGHBORHOOD.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

II.1.b. NONE.

II.1.c. NONE.

II.6.a. NONE.

II.6.b. NONE.

II.7. THE LIGHT AND GLARE WHICH MIGHT AFFECT THE ADJACENT RESIDENTS WILL BE HELD TO A MINIMUM BY THE USE OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING PLANTING OF TREES TO SCREEN OFF THE LIGHT FROM THE SINGLE LIGHT POLE TO BE INSTALLED.

II.19. NONE.