

3A

B I L L R E F E R R A L

DATE: May 9, 1988

COMMITTEE ACTION: _____

TO: City Manager
Director of Finance

DATE: _____

FROM: KENNETH EMANUELS, LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVE

REPLY NO LATER THAN: May 25, 1988

A.B. _____ S.B. 1961 (Watson) Relating to transient occupancy taxes

STATUS:

Please review the attached measure to determine its effect upon the City of Sacramento and complete the following questions as appropriate. During your analysis of this measure, if questions arise, please feel free to contact Kenneth Emanuels at 442-0412. This questionnaire should be returned to the City Attorney's Office for presentation to the Council Committee on Law and Legislation. PLEASE LEAVE THE BILL ATTACHED TO THIS FORM.

If you think no Committee action on this bill should be taken, either because the bill is not of sufficient importance to the City or for any other reason, please mark here, do not fill out the rest of the form, and return this form to the City Attorney's Office. _____

PLEASE TYPE YOUR RESPONSE

1. Briefly describe the provisions of the bill (attach additional sheets if necessary).

SB 1961 requires 25% of T.O. tax collected to be utilized for any or all the following purposes:

- 1. Convention/trade show facilities and services.
- 2. Convention/visitors bureau facilities and operations.
- 3. Tourism promotion.
- 4. Tourism services and support facilities.
- 5. Travel and trade conferences

2. Should this measure be: (Please circle desired position)

Supported

Opposed

Supported if Amended

Placed on Watch List

Other (explain)

3. Please explain your reasons for the above determination, including how this measure effects your Department and the fiscal impact of this measure to the City. Please make your comments in a format that can be used in a letter to State officials. (Continue on next page or attach additional sheets if necessary.)

(Continue answer to Question No. 3 here)

State should not interfere with local government fund raising activities.

4. Specify the City's legislative policy guideline(s) applicable to this measure (if any).

Oppose on principal of local control of local revenues and expenditures.

5. If this measure could be amended to either improve its favorable aspects or to minimize its adverse aspects, which amendments would you propose?

None.

6. List known support or opposition to this measure by groups with which you are familiar and include addresses and phone numbers, if known. League of California Cities position:

Opposed. Per League Legislative Bulletin dated 4/15/88, SB 1916 was referred to interim hearing.

7. Does this bill involve a State-mandated local program? If so, does the bill contain an S.B. 90 waiver, or an appropriation for allocation and disbursement to local agencies pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 2231?

No.

8. Using a rating scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 as the most important), how important do you think this bill is to the City of Sacramento?

3

SB 1961 as written will not adversely impact the City. However, the principal of local control of revenues must be clearly defended.

FORM COMPLETED BY: Mike Medina

DATE: 5/24/88