



1.6

DEPARTMENT OF UTILITIES

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

1391 - 35TH AVENUE
SACRAMENTO, CA
95822-2911

DIVISION OF
ENGINEERING SERVICES

PH 916-264-7250

June 23, 1992

*Engineer's
Estimate:
\$ 517,000.⁰⁰*

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members In Session:

SUBJECT: RATIFICATION OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION, APPROVAL OF THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS FOR SUMP 41 RECONSTRUCTION

LOCATION AND COUNCIL DISTRICT

The Sump 41 Reconstruction is proposed to be constructed in Council District No. 4 on Rio Lane in the Piedmont area. The existing pump station exists on adjacent residential property on Piedmont Drive.

SUMMARY

This report recommends approval of the plans and special provisions for the reconstruction of Sump 41 located on Rio Lane in the Piedmont area. This report also recommends the ratification of the Negative Declaration and requests authorization to advertise for bids.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council ratify the Negative Declaration by resolution. It is further recommended that the City Council approve the plans and specifications for the project and authorize the City Clerk to advertise for bids to be opened on July 15, 1992 at 2:00 p.m.

Fee ???



BACKGROUND

The existing Sump 41 is located in the rear yard of the residence at 989 Piedmont Drive, has a single pump and a very small wet well. The sump and its attendant infrastructure are inadequate, require excessive maintenance, and contribute to flooding in the streets in the Piedmont area.

After meeting with the adjacent property owner, staff has designed a new, adequately sized pump station to be constructed on the adjacent property owned by the SHRA. The proposed pump station will consist of two 25 horse power pumps in a reinforced concrete sump or wet well. The proposed wet well is to be a barrel structure, 27 feet square and 24 feet deep.

A Memorandum of Understanding was approved by Council and SHRA allowing the City to locate the pump station on SHRA property. In exchange the City will provide drainage capacity and a drainage stub for a proposed SHRA housing development adjacent to the proposed pump station. A final agreement will be developed allowing the City to use the site, prior to the start of construction.

In accordance with the State Guidelines for implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act 1970, an initial study was performed dated June 6, 1991. As a result of this study, the Environmental Coordinator has determined that the proposed project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and a Negative Declaration was prepared.

A Notice of Availability for public review and comment on the Negative Declaration was published in a local newspaper on September 27, 1991. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for comments regarding the Negative Declaration, and no comments have been received.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Additional funds may be needed for this project. The estimated total project cost, including all planning, design, construction, and construction management is \$744,000. There is \$650,000 budgeted in the FY 1987-88 Capital Improvement Program (PN:WC06) from the Storm Drainage Fund (425). The amount currently available for the project is \$534,000 as of June 1, 1992. It is proposed that the final budgetary recommendation be made following the receipt of bids.

A non-refundable fee of ^{30.00}~~\$20.00~~ will be charged for each set of plans and specifications to offset the cost of reproduction.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

This action is consistent with Chapter 58, Article III, Section 58.301 of the City Code concerning advertisement for bid.

City Council
Sump 41 Reconstruction
June 23, 1992

MBE/WBE EFFORTS


Plans and specifications are being sent to nineteen (19) plan rooms and construction services organizations for publication and use by the construction industry of Northern California. There are four (4) organizations on the distribution list that are directly involved with the MBE/WBE contractors.

Respectfully submitted,




Donald R. Jacobs, Manager
Engineering Services Division

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED BY:



WALTER J. SLIPE
City Manager



for DONALD M. DODGE
Director of Utilities

CONTACT FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Terry Paxton
Supervising Engineer
264-7793

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF:

June 23, 1992

RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMP 41.

WHEREAS, the City of Sacramento has determined the need for the Reconstruction of Sump 41, hereinafter called "Project", and has conditionally included the Project in the City of Sacramento Capital Improvement Program pending CEQA compliance, and

WHEREAS, the City of Sacramento has conducted an initial study to determine if the Project may have a significant effect on the environment, and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study concludes that there is no substantive evidence that the Project, or any of its aspects, may cause a significant effect on the environment and a Negative Declaration is therefore appropriate, and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study concluded that the Project involves no potential adverse effect, either individually or cumulatively on wildlife resources, and

WHEREAS, the City of Sacramento has provided for public review to accommodate response to the proposed Negative Declaration on the Project,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO THAT:

1. The City Council hereby ratifies and approves the Reconstruction of Sump 41 Negative Declaration, finding on the basis of the Initial Study and comments received that there is no substantive evidence that the Project may have a significant effect on the environment.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

2. The City Council hereby approves the implementation of the Reconstruction of Sump 41 Project. The Negative Declaration found that no mitigation measures are required.
3. The City Council hereby finds that there is no evidence before them that the Reconstruction of Sump 41 Project, located in Sacramento County, will have any potential adverse effect on wildlife resources.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____ .5.